



(11)

EP 2 467 798 B1

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT SPECIFICATION

(45) Date of publication and mention
of the grant of the patent:
15.04.2020 Bulletin 2020/16

(51) Int Cl.:
A61B 17/16 ^(2006.01) **A61B 34/20** ^(2016.01)
A61B 34/30 ^(2016.01) **B25J 9/16** ^(2006.01)
B25J 17/02 ^(2006.01)

(21) Application number: **10809631.4**

(86) International application number:
PCT/IL2010/000667

(22) Date of filing: **17.08.2010**

(87) International publication number:
WO 2011/021192 (24.02.2011 Gazette 2011/08)

(54) DEVICE FOR IMPROVING THE ACCURACY OF MANUAL OPERATIONS

VORRICHTUNG ZUR VERBESSERUNG DER GENAUIGKEIT MANUELLER BETÄTIGUNGEN
DISPOSITIF PERMETTANT D'AMÉLIORER LA PRÉCISION D'OPÉRATIONS MANUELLES

(84) Designated Contracting States:
**AL AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB
GR HR HU IE IS IT LI LT LU LV MC MK MT NL NO
PL PT RO SE SI SK SM TR**

(56) References cited:
**US-A1- 2002 120 188 US-A1- 2004 128 026
US-A1- 2005 171 553 US-A1- 2005 182 454
US-A1- 2006 142 657 US-A1- 2008 161 829
US-B1- 6 535 794**

(30) Priority: **17.08.2009 US 272109 P**

(43) Date of publication of application:
27.06.2012 Bulletin 2012/26

(73) Proprietor: **Mazor Robotics Ltd.
3088900 Caesarea (IL)**

(72) Inventor: **SHOHAM, Moshe
17915 M.P. Hamovil (IL)**

(74) Representative: **Modiano, Micaela Nadia et al
Modiano & Partners
Thierschstrasse 11
80538 München (DE)**

• **WEI TECH ANG ET AL: "Design and
implementation of active error canceling in
hand-held microsurgical instrument",
PROCEEDINGS OF THE 2001 IEEE/RSJ
INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON
INTELLIGENT ROBOTS AND SYSTEMS. (IROS
2001). MAUI, HAWAII, OCT. 29 - NOV. 3, 2001;
[IEEE/RSJ INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON
INTELLIGENT ROBOTS AND SYSTEMS], NEW
YORK, NY : IEEE, US, vol. 2, 29 October 2001
(2001-10-29), pages 1106-1111, XP010571844,
ISBN: 978-0-7803-6612-1**

Note: Within nine months of the publication of the mention of the grant of the European patent in the European Patent Bulletin, any person may give notice to the European Patent Office of opposition to that patent, in accordance with the Implementing Regulations. Notice of opposition shall not be deemed to have been filed until the opposition fee has been paid. (Art. 99(1) European Patent Convention).

EP 2 467 798 B1

Description

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

[0001] The present invention relates to the field of robotic correction of hand-directed tool operation, especially as applied to the correction of deviation from a pre-planned surgical plan, or the correction of deviation from a targeted region by a surgeon or physician using a hand-held surgical tool.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0002] Numerous manual operations require high precision dexterity on the part of the operator to attain the required results. Such precision is not always attainable by the free human hand. One example where manual precision achieved by the operator may be of critical importance is in surgical orthopedic operations, in which the surgeon has to mill or drill a bone at a precise location and at a precise angle in order to fit a given implant to the subject's bone. Avoiding damage to vital anatomical features also requires high manual precision on the part of the surgeon. The orthopedic surgeon or neurosurgeon generally uses a saw, a drill or a milling tool in order to shape bones to create the required geometric profile. This is usually done free-hand, with the surgeon holding the surgical tool and following a trajectory based on anatomical landmarks. The accuracy of the orthopedic procedure is thus dependent on the skill of the surgeon in following the predetermined plan with the hand-held surgical tool.

[0003] Another example where the precision of the operator's manual dexterity may be a limiting feature occurs when targeting a point close to a sensitive anatomical structure, often for a procedure in soft tissue. Contact with the sensitive anatomical structure could be damaging to the subject. Examples of such procedures are in tumor removal, biopsy performance, precise drug delivery, and others. Currently, proximity sensors or various imaging methods can be used to detect hazardous features such as blood vessels or nerves close to the target area. A warning such as a visual or audible signal can be issued to the surgeon or physician if, when aiming for the target area, the hazardous feature is approached by a predetermined distance. Techniques such as Doppler ultrasound flow detection can be used to detect blood vessels by the flow of blood therein, and neuro-monitors can be used to detect the presence and position of nerve structures. In order to warn the surgeon or physician of the presence of such a structure, and the danger of damaging it, a proximity warning is provided when the potentially damaging surgical tool is at a predetermined safety margin from the structure. Even though the real-time sensor provides a visual or audio warning signal when approaching the forbidden zone, reliance is still laid on the dexterity of the surgeon or physician to avoid damage, such that the predetermined safety margin is generally

chosen conservatively, to avoid potential damage.

[0004] The introduction of computer-assisted surgery enables tracking of the position of the surgical tool relative to the bone, so that more accurate bone shaping or drilling can be achieved. A number of different approaches exist in the prior art using such computer-assisted surgery.

[0005] In the Robodoc® system supplied by Curexo Technology Corporation of Fremont CA, for example, a robot holds and maneuvers the surgical tool based on data from a pre-operative plan. This results in more accurate bone shaping that enables, for instance, better fitting of hip implants. In such an active system, the entire milling operation procedure is executed by the robot, without the need for the surgeon to manipulate the tool, such that it can be said that the actual surgical operation is done by the robot. Thus although the result is generally very accurate, the system is large and costly, such that its use has been limited.

[0006] Another approach to bone shaping is to control the surgical tool while it is held "simultaneously" by the surgeon and the robot. The tool is held by the robotic arm, most conveniently at its upper extremity, so that the surgeon can hold the main part of the tool's body using a natural grip without impedance from the robotic arm. So long as the tool is within the allowed region of operation, as defined by the preoperative plan, the robot is transparent to the surgeon's hand motion, and allows the surgeon to perform the manual operation he intends. However, the moment that the surgeon's hand strays beyond of the permitted limits of operation, the robot control detects this departure, and stiffens the joints to physically block the tool. This procedure is called the "active constraint" approach, in which the tool is manipulated by the surgeon, but is blocked by the robot when moved beyond the allowed region. Two commercial systems are currently available, using this approach for shaping of the knee joint - the Acrobot system (www.acrobot.co.uk) manufactured by Acrobot Ltd., of London, E1, U.K., and the Rio system (www.makosurgical.com), manufactured by Mako Surgical Corporation, of Ft. Lauderdale, FL, U.S.A.. Alternatives to the "active constraint" approach are those that apply a control to the surgical tool itself, such as stopping its operation, or retracting the milling or cutting head from the forbidden zone, such as in system supplied by Blue Belt Technologies Inc. of Pittsburg, PA.

[0007] US 2005/171553 A1 describes a drill actuated relative to its housing along six degrees of freedom and a detection system adapted to relate the position of the drill relative to an object and to change the alignment of the drill, if the pose of the housing deviates outside a region where the drill is forbidden to operate.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.

[0008] The invention is defined by the appended independent claim. Preferred embodiments of the invention

are illustrated in the dependent claims.

[0009] In contrast to the above mentioned "active constraints" approach that keeps the robot as transparent to the surgeon as possible within the allowed region, and as stiff as possible once the surgeon exceeds the allowed limits, the present disclosure proposes a robotic system that remains stiff and hence inoperative so long as the surgeon is operating within the allowed region, but which become actively controlled once the surgeon exceeds the allowed limits and enters a forbidden region. The hand-held robot thus corrects the surgeon's free hand motions and compensates for their deviations, so that the tool remains in the allowed region or follows the pre-planned path even when the surgeon's hand deviates from the planned trajectory more than the predetermined allowance. The pose and path of the robotic operating head is ascertained in real time by means of a navigation or tracking system, or by use of an imaging system with signal processing capability to define the pose of the robotic operating head, used to determine the real time positions of the head or the tool born by the head and of the body part on which the tool is operating. Alternatively, the position of the robotic operating head is determined by means of a proximity device to measure the closeness of the operating head to a damage sensitive feature, such as a blood vessel, a nerve, a sensitive region of the brain, a bodily organ, or other areas the surgeon wants to avoid. As the tool deviates from its preoperatively determined path or pose, or comes too close to the hazardous area, the robot control comes into action to move the tool back to its predetermined pose or path, independently of the pose or path of the operating head defined by the grip of the surgeon, thus compensating for the errors in the path or pose chosen by the surgeon. This suggested system has several advantages over the prior art active constraint systems, as there is no need for a large robot that holds in parallel both the surgical tool and the mechanical control mechanism that makes the robot motion transparent within the allowed region and stiff at the borders of the allowed region.

[0010] One exemplary implementation involves a robotic system comprising:

(i) a hand held robotic operating head comprising:

- (a) a gripping body adapted to be held by the operator, and
- (b) a robot having a base and a robotically controlled platform, the base being attached to the gripping body, and the robotically controlled platform bearing an operating tool, and

(ii) a detection system adapted to relate the position of the operating tool relative to a region of an object where the operating tool is forbidden to operate, wherein the robot is adapted to use an output from the detection system to change the pose of the robotically controlled platform if the gripping body de-

viates by a amount which would cause the operating tool to operate in the forbidden region.

[0011] In such a system, the region where the operating tool is forbidden to operate may be a region in which the operating tool deviates by more than a predetermined amount from a preset path of operation, or alternatively, a region which deviates more than a predetermined amount from a surgical plan for execution on a subject. The operating tool may be a surgical tool for performing an orthopedic operation on a bone of the subject.

[0012] Other implementations may further involve a robotic system as described above, wherein the detection system comprises a tracking system which detects the pose of at least one of the gripping body, the robotically controlled platform, the operating tool and the object containing the region in which the operating tool is forbidden to operate.

[0013] Additionally, alternative implementations of the above-described robotic system may further be such that the region where the operating tool is forbidden to operate is a region which is closer by more than a predetermined distance from a feature which may be damaged by the operating tool. In such a case, the operating tool may be forbidden to operate in a region which deviates more than a predetermined amount from a surgical plan for execution on a subject. Alternatively, the operating tool may be a surgical tool for performing a surgical procedure in soft tissue close to a damage sensitive organ of a subject. Examples of such a damage sensitive organ include a nerve, a blood vessel, a bodily organ, and a sensitive region of the brain. The surgical tool may then be any one of a biopsy needle, a drug delivery needle, and a scalpel, and the surgical procedure may be any one of tumor removal, biopsy performance, and drug delivery.

[0014] Such systems where the operating tool is forbidden to operate in a region which is closer by more than a predetermined distance from a feature which may be damaged, may involve use of a detection system comprising a proximity sensor for determining the distance of the operating tool to the damage sensitive area. The proximity sensor may then comprise either of an ultrasound Doppler blood flow sensor, or a neural monitor.

[0015] Yet other implementations of the robotic system may comprise:

(i) a hand held robotic operating head comprising:

- (a) a gripping body adapted to be held by the operator, and
- (b) a robot having its base attached to the gripping body, and bearing an operating tool on its robotically controlled platform,

(ii) a tracking system to register the hand held robotic operating head with an object on which the operating tool is to operate, and

(iii) a controller adapted to change the pose of the

robotically controlled platform if the gripping body deviates by more than a predetermined amount from a predetermined path of operation on the object.

[0016] In such a robotic system, the object on which the operating tool is to operate may be a subject's bone, and the operating tool is then a surgical tool for performing an orthopedic operation on the bone. The tracking system may comprise a tracker head mounted on the object on which the operating tool is to operate and a tracking target mounted on at least one of the gripping body of the robotic operating head or the robotically controlled platform. Alternatively, the tracking system may comprise a tracker head mounted on at least one of the gripping body on the robotic operating head or the robotically controlled platform, and a tracking target mounted on the object on which the operating tool is to operate.

[0017] Further example implementations involve a robotic system comprising:

(i) a hand held robotic operating head comprising:

- (a) a gripping body adapted to be held by the operator, and
- (b) a robot having its base attached to the gripping body, and bearing an operating tool on its robotically controlled platform,

(ii) a position detection system to relate the proximity of the operating tool to an object which the operating tool is forbidden to approach by a predetermined distance, and

(iii) a controller adapted to change the pose of the robotically controlled platform if the gripping body deviates by an amount which would cause the operating tool to approach the object by less than the predetermined distance.

[0018] In such a robotic system, the object may be a damage-sensitive feature of a subject. This feature could be any one of a blood vessel, a nerve, a bodily organ or a sensitive brain section. The position detection system could be a proximity sensor.

[0019] Although the system is described in this disclosure is applicable to a surgical environment, it is understood that the system is not intended to be limited to surgical use, but can also be used for other non-medical applications, such as scribing, three dimensional modeling, and the like.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0020] The present invention will be understood and appreciated more fully from the following detailed description, taken in conjunction with the drawings in which:

Fig. 1 shows an exemplary hand-held robotic surgical system as described in this disclosure, for shaping

a subject's bone;

Fig. 2 shows the operation of the robotic controller in correcting for deviation of the surgeon's hand from a predetermined surgical plan for shaping of the bone;

Fig. 3 shows an application of the hand-held robot of Fig. 1, for performing a targeted procedure in soft tissue of a subject close to a sensitive anatomical structure, and

Fig. 4 shows an exemplary compact robotic operating head for hand held use by the surgeon.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0021] Reference is now made to Fig. 1 which illustrates an exemplary hand-held robotic system using the principle described hereinabove. The system described herewithin is a surgical system, illustrated here for shaping a bone, but it is to be understood that this is only one exemplary application of such a system and it can equally well be used for other applications where a hand guided operation is to be controlled to ensure that the operator does not deviate from predetermined bounds. Another such example is shown hereinbelow.

[0022] The robotic system includes a hand held robotic operating head 10, which is constructed of two parts. An upper part 11 is in the form of a gripping handle which is shaped so that it can be comfortably held in the hand 12 of the surgeon performing the operation. The surgeon uses a preoperative plan to decide in which pose (spatial position and angular orientation) to hold the head and which path to follow. Whereas in prior art surgical operating heads, the operating tool, whether a drill, a milling head, or any other surgical tool would be connected directly to the gripping handle, in this system, the tool 13 is connected to the gripping handle, and hence to the surgeon's hand, only through a controlled robot 14. The base 15 of the robot is attached to the gripping handle 11, while the operating tool 13 is held in the robotically controlled platform 16 of the robot. The robot can be of any type, and is illustrated in this disclosure as a Stewart-Gough parallel robot type, which has 6-extendible operating links between the base and the moveable platform. Such a robot is used to illustrate the implementation shown in the drawings and it clearly shows the operating action of the robot to correct the surgeon's deviation from the allowed path. However, it is to be understood that the robotic structure used can be of any suitable type, and in particular, a robot with actuating motors incorporated within the handle above the output platform may provide a more compact configuration. Compact dimensions are an important characteristic for such a hand-held application.

[0023] In Fig. 1, the operating head 10 is shown being used by the surgeon in a unicodyler knee replacement procedure, to mill the surface of a bone 18 with the operating tool 13, so that the milled bone head matches a preselected unicompartamental implant. Preoperatively

the surgeon has planned the optimal location of the implant, and from this plan, the milled shape of the knee surface is calculated. This shape is input to the controller 8 as the preoperative plan which the surgeon has to adhere to accurately in order to ensure compliance with the planned operation, and hence a good fit of the implant on the bone.

[0024] A tracking system is used intra-operatively, to enable dynamic referencing of the bone 18 on which the operation is being performed with the robotic operating head 10. This is performed in order to link the locations of the bone and the robotic operating head 10 to the same coordinate system, so that movements of the robotic operating head can be correlated with the position of the bone. The tracking system may utilize a tracker 6 surveilling the operating site, and determining the pose of the robotic operating head 10 and of the subject's bone 18 by means of referencing targets 2, 4, attached to these items. If an optical tracking system is used, the referencing targets may conveniently be constructed of a plurality of light emitting diodes (LEDs) arranged in a predetermined pattern. The tracker 6 may then include optical sensors which are able to determine the pose of the referencing targets, such as by means of triangulation. Alternative configurations may include the use of retro-reflectors in the referencing targets 2, 4, in which case the tracker 6 would include both the light emitting sources (usually LED's) and the detectors for receiving the light retro-reflected from the referencing targets. Additionally, trackers are now available which operate in a completely passive mode, requiring no light emitting sources, and relying solely on high reflection coatings on the referencing targets, to reflect the ambient light to the tracker detector 6. The tracking system transfers the positional data relating to the robotic operating head and to the bone to the system controller 8, which also contains the preoperative plan data. Although the system has been described herewithin using optical tracking, it is to be understood that any other form of tracking may be equally well used, such as RF, sonic, ultrasonic or magnetic tracking or even a contact tracker like a digitizer.

[0025] According to the invention, as long as the surgeon manipulates the robotic operating head such that the surgical tool 13 follows the preoperative plan within an allowed deviation region, as ascertained by the tracker inputs to the system controller, the robot 14 remains locked and the entire robotic operating head 10 is manipulated as one rigid body. Once the surgeon deviates from the allowed path or pose, this deviation is detected by the tracked position of the referencing target 4 on the robotic operating head 10, and the controller is programmed to send a correction signal to the robot 14 to alter its pose, such that the tool tip 13 is brought back into the allowed region, even though the surgeon's hand has directed the robotic operating head 10 beyond those limits.

[0026] This situation is shown in Fig. 2, where it is seen that the surgeon's hand 12 has deviated, as shown by

the axis 19 of the hand grip, from the angle which would maintain the axis 17 of the cutting tool 13 in the correct position and pose relative to the bone 18. However, this deviation has been sensed by the navigation 6 and control system 8, and the pose of the robot 14 has been changed in order to maintain the cutting tool 13 in the correct position and pose relative to the bone 18, despite the surgeon's hand deviation.

[0027] The robot 14 thus compensates for the surgeon's deviation and provides accurate compliance with the preoperative plan. In order to accomplish this successfully, the robot must react in real-time, which means that the system should have a response time sufficiently short that even with the most rapid movement the surgeon may make, the system will correct departure from the allowed preoperative plan before any damage is done. Typically, this means that the system should have a response bandwidth of the order of at least 10 Hz in order to follow the fastest human hand movements expected in such operations. Furthermore, the surgeon should not make movements much further from the allowed region that would cause the robot to exceed its working envelope. In general, both of these limitations should be achievable with the available robotic actuating motors and robotic control systems. The robotic actuators used can be of any type that can supply the required forces and the required speed, such that not only suitable electro-magnetic motors but also piezoelectric, hydraulic or pneumatic actuators may be used.

[0028] Furthermore some warning signal may be incorporated in the system, to advise the surgeon when his hand motion approaches the borders of the allowed region of operation according to the preoperative plan. Such a warning signal may be generated by the robot control, and could also be graduated, such as in intensity, tone or frequency, to indicate the extent of deviation of the surgeon from the preoperative plan. Possible implementations of this warning signal could be by an audible signal, or by a visual signal, or by some form of tactile feedback provided by the robot to the surgeon's hand.

[0029] Reference is now made to Fig. 3, which shows an additional exemplary application of the hand-held robot of Fig. 1, for performing a targeted procedure in a region 20 of soft tissue of a subject close to a sensitive anatomical structure, such as a nerve or a critical blood vessel 25. Contact with the sensitive anatomical structure could be damaging to the subject. Examples of such a procedure could be in tumor removal, biopsy performance, precise drug delivery, and others. The surgeon or physician can be warned of the presence of the hazardous feature either by an imaging system, such as a fluoroscopic system or by an ultrasonic imaging system, a probe of which 24 is shown in Fig. 3, or by means of a proximity sensor 29 attached to the needle 22 or surgical tool, which provides a warning signal when the sensor approaches the hazardous feature by a predetermined distance. Techniques such as Doppler ultrasound flow detection can be used to detect blood vessels by the flow

of blood therein, and neuro-monitors can be used to detect the presence and position of nerve structures. The proximity sensor can advantageously deliver its warning signal by radio transmission to the control unit 8. Even for open surgical procedures, where the surgeon or physician could see the damage-sensitive feature, the robotically controlled system of this disclosure enables him to perform the desired procedure close to the damage-sensitive feature without fear that he will cause damage to the feature by a careless and unintentional movement of the hand.

[0030] Either the imaging system or the proximity sensor provides a signal input to the control system 8, which then provides a feedback to the robot 10 to prevent the needle 22 or surgical tool from approaching the sensitive feature, even if the surgeon or physician's hand movement would have directed it to do so. This is illustrated in Fig. 3, where, although the axis 26 of the handle would have directed the needle 22 straight at the blood vessel 25, the robotic control has changed the pose of the robot so as to divert the needle away from the blood vessel 25 and back to its intended target 20. This implementation differs from that shown in Fig. 2, in that the control system does not limit the operating head to operation within a predefined envelope, but rather prevents the operating head from getting too close to a forbidden region of operation. However, both implementations share the common feature that the robotic control uses a warning signal provided by a position detection system in order to prevent the operating head from operating in a region where the operator's hand movement would have directed it to do so.

[0031] The use of this robotic compensation system has the advantage over prior art free-hand manual proximity warning systems in that the accuracy of the procedure can be increased compared to that of prior art systems having no active control of the surgeon's hand position. It is possible to provide greater precision and thus to operate closer to hazardous locations than using manual proximity warning systems, and thus to achieve a better operational result.

[0032] Reference is now made to Fig. 4, which shows a schematic cut-away representation of a complete robotic operating head 30 with a robot of sufficiently compact design that it can be incorporated into the body profile of the head. The head thus becomes much more compact and readily handled by the surgeon than that shown schematically in Figs 1 to 3. A schematic outline of a robot is shown in dotted lines within the body of the head. The surgical tool 13, shown in the example of Fig. 4 with a milling bur on its working extremity may be rotated by means of a motor 38 mounted on the moving platform 32 of the robot, or by a shaft driven by a motor located remotely. If the tool is of the type that does not require motion, such as scalpel ablation, coagulation, laser cutting, or similar procedures, the need for providing motion to the tool is obviated. The moving platform 32 may be actuated by means of robotically actuating arms 34 which

are affixed at the end remote from the driven platform to the robotic base, 36, which is fixed relative to the complete robotic operating head 30. As previously mentioned, any other suitable robot structure may equally well be used, with its base attached to the robotic operating head 30 and with its driven output element bearing the surgical tool.

[0033] For those implementations using a tracking system, the set-up described in Fig. 1 illustrates only one possible arrangement by which the mutual motion of the robotic operating head 10 and the bone 18 are correlated. As an alternative to, or in addition to the tracking target 4 mounted on the gripping part 11 of the robotic operating head 10, a tracking target 5 can be mounted on the robotic moving platform 16 of the robot 14, such that the actual position of the surgical tool 13 is tracked directly. Since the system controller 8 knows the pose of the robot 14 and hence the positional relation between the gripping portion 11 of the robotic operating head and the surgical tool 13, the use of both of these tracking targets 4, 5, provides a level of redundancy which may be used for increasing the safety of the system.

[0034] Since both the bone and the robotic operating head are situated very close to each other, it may be disadvantageous to use a conventional external navigating or tracking system, which is generally disposed above the operating table and at some distance from the operating site, with its concomitant problems of accuracy at such a distance, and of the need to maintain a clear line of sight between the tracker and the tracking targets. According to an alternative implementation of the present system, the tracking could advantageously be performed locally, such as by mounting the tracker on the bone 18 and the tracking target or targets on the robotic operating head, either on the gripper part 11 or on the robotically directed tool holder platform 16, or on both, or vice versa with the tracker on the robotic operating head (whether the gripper part 11 or the moveable platform 16, or on both) and a tracking target on the bone 18.

Claims

1. A robotic system comprising:

a hand held robotic operating head (10) comprising:

a gripping body (11) adapted to be held by the operator (12); and

a robot (14) having a base (15) and a robotically controlled platform (16), said base being attached to said gripping body (11), and said robotically controlled platform being configured to bear an operating tool (13); wherein said robotic operating head is such that said operator can free-handedly manipulate the entire robotic operating head; and

a detection system (6) adapted to relate the position of said operating tool relative to an object (18) where said operating tool is operating,

wherein said robot is adapted to use an output from said detection system to change the pose of said robotically controlled platform if said gripping body deviates by an amount which would cause said operating tool to operate in a region of said object where said operating tool is forbidden to operate,

characterized in that said robotic system is autonomously configured to be inoperative such that said hand held robotic operating head is rigid while said output indicates that said operating tool is operating outside of said region where said operating tool is forbidden to operate, and said hand held robotic operating head is configured to be actively controlled by said robotic system once said output indicates that said operating tool has reached said region where said operating tool is forbidden to operate.

2. A robotic system according to claim 1 wherein said region where said operating tool is forbidden to operate is a region in which said operating tool deviates by more than a predetermined amount from a preset path of operation.
3. A robotic system according to claim 1 wherein said region where said operating tool is forbidden to operate is a region which deviates more than a predetermined amount from a surgical plan for execution on a subject.
4. A robotic system according to either of claims 2 and 3, wherein said operating tool is a surgical tool for performing an orthopedic operation on a bone of the subject.
5. A robotic system according to any of the previous claims, wherein said detection system comprises a tracking system which detects the pose of at least one of said gripping body, said robotically controlled platform, said operating tool and said object.
6. A robotic system according to claim 1 wherein said region where said operating tool is forbidden to operate is a region which is closer by more than a predetermined distance from a feature which may be damaged by said operating tool.
7. A robotic system according to claim 1 wherein said region where said operating tool is forbidden to operate is a region which deviates more than a predetermined amount from a surgical plan for execution on a subject.
8. A robotic system according to claim 6, wherein said operating tool is a surgical tool for performing a sur-

gical procedure in soft tissue (20) close to a damage sensitive region of a subject.

9. A robotic system according to claim 8, wherein said surgical tool is any one of a biopsy needle (22), a drug delivery needle (22), and a scalpel.
10. A robotic system according to either of claims 8 and 9, wherein said surgical procedure is any one of tumor removal, biopsy performance, and drug delivery.
11. A robotic system according to claim 8, wherein said damage sensitive region comprises any one of a nerve, a blood vessel (25), a bodily organ, and a sensitive region of the brain.
12. A robotic system according to any of claims 8 to 11, wherein said detection system comprises a proximity sensor (29) for determining the distance of said operating tool to said damage sensitive region, and wherein said robot is further adapted to use an output from said proximity sensor to change the pose of said robotically controlled platform if said gripping body approaches said damage sensitive region by a predetermined amount.
13. A robotic system according to claim 12, wherein said proximity sensor comprises either of an ultrasound Doppler blood flow sensor, or a neural monitor.
14. A robotic system according to any of the previous claims, wherein said detection system comprises a tracking system having either:
 - (a) a tracker head mounted on said object (18) and a tracking target (4), (5) mounted on at least one of said gripping body or said robotically controlled platform, or
 - (b) a tracker head mounted on at least one of said gripping body or said robotically controlled platform, and a tracking target (4), (5) mounted on said object (18).
15. A robotic system according to any of the previous claims, wherein said robotic system is configured to generate a graduated warning signal indicating the extent of deviation of said operator from a preoperative plan.

Patentansprüche

1. Robotersystem, umfassend:
einen Handroboter-Arbeitskopf (10), umfassend:

einen Greifkörper (11), der zum Halten durch den Bediener (12) geeignet ist; und

- einen Roboter (14), der eine Basis (15) und eine robotergesteuerte Plattform (16) aufweist, wobei die Basis am Greifkörper (11) befestigt ist und wobei die robotergesteuerte Plattform so konfiguriert ist, dass sie ein Arbeitswerkzeug (13) trägt;
wobei der Roboter-Arbeitskopf so beschaffen ist, dass der Bediener den gesamten Roboter-Arbeitskopf freihändig handhaben kann; und ein Erkennungssystem (6), das dafür ausgelegt ist, die Position des Arbeitswerkzeugs relativ zu einem Objekt (18), an dem das Arbeitswerkzeug arbeitet, in Beziehung zu setzen, wobei der Roboter dafür ausgelegt ist, eine Ausgabe des Erkennungssystems zu verwenden, um die Position der robotergesteuerten Plattform zu ändern, wenn der Greifkörper um einen Wert abweicht, der bewirken würde, dass das Arbeitswerkzeug in einem Bereich des Objekts arbeitet, in dem der Betrieb des Arbeitswerkzeugs nicht gestattet ist, **dadurch gekennzeichnet, dass** das Robotersystem autonom so konfiguriert ist, dass es nicht betriebsbereit ist, so dass der Handroboter-Arbeitskopf starr ist, während die Ausgabe anzeigt, dass das Arbeitswerkzeug außerhalb des Bereichs arbeitet, in dem der Betrieb des Arbeitswerkzeugs nicht gestattet ist, und wobei der Handroboter-Arbeitskopf so konfiguriert ist, dass er aktiv durch das Robotersystem gesteuert wird, sobald die Ausgabe anzeigt, dass das Arbeitswerkzeug den Bereich erreicht hat, in dem der Betrieb des Arbeitswerkzeugs nicht gestattet ist.
2. Robotersystem nach Anspruch 1, wobei der Bereich, in dem der Betrieb des Arbeitswerkzeugs nicht zulässig ist, ein Bereich ist, in dem das Arbeitswerkzeug um mehr als einen vorbestimmten Wert von einem voreingestellten Arbeitsweg abweicht.
 3. Robotersystem nach Anspruch 1, wobei der Bereich, in dem das Arbeitswerkzeug nicht gestattet ist, ein Bereich ist, der mehr als einen vorbestimmten Wert von einem chirurgischen Plan zur Ausführung an einem Patienten abweicht.
 4. Robotersystem nach einem der Ansprüche 2 und 3, wobei das Arbeitswerkzeug ein chirurgisches Werkzeug zur Durchführung einer orthopädischen Operation an einem Knochen des Patienten ist.
 5. Robotersystem nach einem der vorhergehenden Ansprüche, wobei das Erkennungssystem ein Verfolgungssystem umfasst, das die Position von mindestens einem der Greifkörper, der robotergesteuerten Plattform, des Arbeitswerkzeugs und des Objekts erkennt.
 6. Robotersystem nach Anspruch 1, wobei der Bereich, in dem der Betrieb des Arbeitswerkzeugs nicht gestattet ist, ein Bereich ist, der um mehr als einen vorbestimmten Abstand näher an einem Merkmal liegt, das durch das Arbeitswerkzeug geschädigt werden kann.
 7. Robotersystem nach Anspruch 1, wobei der Bereich, in dem das Arbeitswerkzeug nicht betrieben werden darf, ein Bereich ist, der mehr als einen vorbestimmten Wert von einem chirurgischen Plan zur Ausführung an einem Patienten abweicht.
 8. Robotersystem nach Anspruch 6, wobei das Arbeitswerkzeug ein chirurgisches Werkzeug zur Durchführung eines chirurgischen Eingriffs in weichem Gewebe (20) nahe eines schädigungsempfindlichen Bereichs eines Patienten ist.
 9. Robotersystem nach Anspruch 8, wobei das chirurgische Werkzeug eine Biopsienadel (22), eine Medikamentenabgabekanüle (22) oder ein Skalpell ist.
 10. Robotersystem nach einem der Ansprüche 8 und 9, wobei der chirurgische Eingriff entweder eine Tumorentfernung, eine Biopsie oder eine Medikamentenverabreichung ist.
 11. Ein Robotersystem nach Anspruch 8, wobei der schädigungsempfindliche Bereich einen Nerv, ein Blutgefäß (25), ein Körperorgan oder einen empfindlichen Bereich des Gehirns umfasst.
 12. Robotersystem nach einem der Ansprüche 8 bis 11, wobei das Erkennungssystem einen Annäherungssensor (29) zur Bestimmung des Abstands des Arbeitswerkzeugs zu dem schädigungsempfindlichen Bereich umfasst und wobei der Roboter ferner so ausgelegt ist, dass er eine Ausgabe des Annäherungssensors verwendet, um die Position der robotergesteuerten Plattform zu ändern, wenn sich der Greifkörper dem schädigungsempfindlichen Bereich um einen vorbestimmten Wert nähert.
 13. Robotersystem nach Anspruch 12, wobei der Annäherungssensor entweder einen Ultraschall-Doppler-Blutflussensor oder einen neuronalen Monitor umfasst.
 14. Robotersystem nach einem der vorhergehenden Ansprüche, wobei das Erkennungssystem ein Verfolgungssystem umfasst, das eines der folgenden Elemente aufweist:
 - (a) einen Verfolgungskopf, der an dem Objekt (18) montiert ist, und ein Verfolgungsziel (4), (5), das an mindestens einem der Greifkörper oder der robotergesteuerten Plattform montiert ist,

oder

(b) einen Verfolgungskopf, der an mindestens einem der Greifkörper oder der robotergesteuerten Plattform montiert ist, und ein Verfolgungsziel (4), (5), das an dem Objekt (18) montiert ist.

15. Robotersystem nach einem der vorhergehenden Ansprüche, wobei das Robotersystem so konfiguriert ist, dass es ein abgestuftes Warnsignal erzeugt, das das Ausmaß der Abweichung des Bedieners von einem präoperativen Plan anzeigt.

Revendications

1. Système robotique comprenant :
une tête d'opération robotique à main (10) comprenant :

un corps de préhension (11) adapté pour être tenu par l'opérateur (12) ; et
un robot (14) ayant une base (15) et une plateforme à commande robotique (16), ladite base étant fixée audit corps de préhension (11) et ladite plateforme à commande robotique étant conçue pour porter un outil d'opération (13) ;
dans lequel ladite tête d'opération robotique est telle que ledit opérateur peut manipuler librement la tête d'opération robotique entière ; et
un système de détection (6) adapté pour faire part de la position dudit outil d'opération par rapport à un objet (18) sur lequel opère ledit outil d'opération, ledit robot étant adapté pour utiliser une donnée dudit système de détection afin de changer la pose de ladite plateforme à commande robotique si ledit corps de préhension dévie d'un degré qui ferait opérer ledit outil d'opération dans une région dudit objet où il est interdit audit outil d'opération d'opérer, **caractérisé en ce que** ledit système robotique est configuré de manière autonome pour être inopérant de telle sorte que ladite tête d'opération robotique à main soit rigide lorsque ladite donnée indique que ledit outil d'opération opère à l'extérieur de ladite région où il est interdit audit outil d'opération d'opérer et **en ce que** ladite tête d'opération robotique à main est configurée pour être activement commandée par ledit système robotique une fois que ladite donnée indique que ledit outil d'opération a atteint ladite région où il est interdit audit outil d'opération d'opérer.

2. Système robotique selon la revendication 1, dans lequel ladite région où il est interdit audit outil d'opération d'opérer est une région dans laquelle ledit outil d'opération dévie de plus d'un degré prédéfini à partir d'une trajectoire d'opération préétablie.

3. Système robotique selon la revendication 1, dans lequel ladite région où il est interdit audit outil d'opération d'opérer est une région qui dévie de plus qu'un degré prédéfini d'un plan chirurgical destiné à être exécuté sur un sujet.

4. Système robotique selon l'une des revendications 2 ou 3, dans lequel ledit outil d'opération est un outil chirurgical destiné à effectuer une opération orthopédique sur un os du sujet.

5. Système robotique selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes, dans lequel ledit système de détection comprend un système de suivi qui détecte la pose dudit corps de préhension, de ladite plateforme à commande robotique, dudit outil d'opération et/ou dudit objet.

6. Système robotique selon la revendication 1, dans lequel ladite région où il est interdit audit outil d'opération d'opérer est une région qui est plus proche de plus d'une distance prédéfinie d'une caractéristique susceptible d'être endommagée par ledit outil d'opération.

7. Système robotique selon la revendication 1, dans lequel ladite région où il est interdit audit outil d'opération d'opérer est une région qui dévie de plus qu'un degré prédéfini d'un plan chirurgical destiné à être exécuté sur un sujet.

8. Système robotique selon la revendication 6, dans lequel ledit outil d'opération est un outil chirurgical destiné à effectuer une intervention chirurgicale dans des tissus mous (20) à proximité d'une région sensible aux dommages d'un sujet.

9. Système robotique selon la revendication 8, dans lequel ledit outil chirurgical est l'un quelconque d'une aiguille de biopsie (22), d'une aiguille d'administration de médicament (22) et d'un scalpel.

10. Système robotique selon l'une quelconque des revendications 8 et 9, dans lequel ladite procédure chirurgicale est l'une quelconque de l'ablation de tumeur, de la réalisation de biopsie et de l'administration de médicament.

11. Système robotique selon la revendication 8, dans lequel ladite région sensible aux dommages comprend l'un quelconque d'un nerf, d'un vaisseau sanguin (25), d'un organe corporel et d'une région sensible du cerveau.

12. Système robotique selon l'une quelconque des revendications 8 à 11, dans lequel ledit système de détection comprend un capteur de proximité (29) permettant de déterminer la distance dudit outil

d'opération à ladite région sensible aux dommages et dans lequel ledit robot est en outre adapté pour utiliser une sortie dudit capteur de proximité afin de changer la pose de ladite plateforme à commande robotique si ledit corps de préhension s'approche de ladite région sensible aux dommages d'un degré prédéfini. 5

13. Système robotique selon la revendication 12, dans lequel ledit capteur de proximité comprend soit un débitmètre sanguin à ultrason à effet Doppler, soit un moniteur neuronal. 10

14. Système robotique selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes, dans lequel ledit système de détection comprend un système de suivi ayant soit : 15

- (a) une tête de suivi montée sur ledit objet (18) soit une cible de suivi (4), (5) montée sur ledit corps de préhension et/ou sur ladite plateforme à commande robotique, ou 20
(b) une tête de suivi, montée sur ledit corps de préhension et/ou sur ladite plateforme à commande robotique, et une cible de suivi (4), (5), 25
montée sur ledit objet (18).

15. Système robotique selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes, dans lequel ledit système robotique est configuré pour générer un signal d'avertissement gradué indiquant l'étendue de la déviation dudit opérateur par rapport à un plan préopératoire. 30


35

40

45

50

55






FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4

REFERENCES CITED IN THE DESCRIPTION

This list of references cited by the applicant is for the reader's convenience only. It does not form part of the European patent document. Even though great care has been taken in compiling the references, errors or omissions cannot be excluded and the EPO disclaims all liability in this regard.

Patent documents cited in the description

- US 2005171553 A1 [0007]

专利名称(译)	用于提高手动操作精度的装置		
公开(公告)号	EP2467798A1	公开(公告)日	2012-06-27
申请号	EP2010809631	申请日	2010-08-17
[标]申请(专利权)人(译)	MAZOR手术TECH		
申请(专利权)人(译)	MAZOR手术TECHNOLOGIES LTD.		
当前申请(专利权)人(译)	MAZOR手术TECHNOLOGIES LTD.		
[标]发明人	SHOHAM MOSHE		
发明人	SHOHAM, MOSHE		
IPC分类号	G06F19/00 A61B17/16 A61B34/30 B25J9/16 B25J17/02		
CPC分类号	A61B17/1675 A61B34/30 A61B2017/00106 A61B2034/2055 A61B2034/304 A61B2090/061 B25J9/1676 B25J17/0216		
优先权	61/272109 2009-08-17 US		
其他公开文献	EP2467798A4 EP2467798B1		
外部链接	Espacenet		

摘要(译)

手持机器人系统只要在允许的极限范围内运行，就可以保持僵硬，但是一旦操作员超过这些极限，该系统就会受到主动控制。因此，该系统以大于预定量的操作者的手部运动来校正偏差，从而即使当操作者的手偏离计划的轨迹时，工具也保持在允许的区域中。借助于导航或跟踪系统，或者借助于接近装置来确定机器人操作头的姿势和路径，以测量操作头与损坏敏感特征的接近度。当工具偏离其预定的路径或姿势，或太靠近危险区域时，机器人控制系统将工具移回其预定的姿势或路径，或远离危险区域，而与用户的手部运动无关。