

(19)



Europäisches Patentamt

European Patent Office

Office européen des brevets



(11)

EP 1 281 074 B1

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT SPECIFICATION

(45) Date of publication and mention
of the grant of the patent:

12.10.2005 Bulletin 2005/41

(51) Int Cl.⁷: **G01N 29/00**, G01S 15/00,
A61B 8/00

(21) Application number: **01902872.9**

(86) International application number:
PCT/NO2001/000034

(22) Date of filing: **31.01.2001**

(87) International publication number:
WO 2001/057514 (09.08.2001 Gazette 2001/32)

(54) CORRECTION OF PHASEFRONT ABERRATIONS AND PULSE REVERBERATIONS IN MEDICAL ULTRASOUND IMAGING

PHASENABWEICHUNGSKORREKTUR UND PULSNACHHALLKORREKTUR BEI DER
ULTRASCHALL-ECHOGRAPHIE

CORRECTION D'ABERRATIONS DE PLAN D'ONDES ET DE REVERBERATIONS D'IMPULSIONS
DANS L'IMAGERIE MEDICALE ULTRASONIQUE

(84) Designated Contracting States:
DE ES FR GB IT

(30) Priority: **31.01.2000 US 179196 P**

(43) Date of publication of application:
05.02.2003 Bulletin 2003/06

(73) Proprietors:

- **Angelsen, Bjorn A. J.**
N-7000 Trondheim (NO)
- **Johansen, Tonni F.**
7018 Trondheim (NO)

(72) Inventors:

- **Angelsen, Bjorn A. J.**
N-7000 Trondheim (NO)
- **Johansen, Tonni F.**
7018 Trondheim (NO)

(74) Representative: **Howe, Steven**
Lloyd Wise
Commonwealth House,
1-19 New Oxford Street
London WC1A 1LW (GB)

(56) References cited:

US-A- 5 517 995	US-A- 5 524 623
US-A- 6 023 977	US-A- 6 131 458

Note: Within nine months from the publication of the mention of the grant of the European patent, any person may give notice to the European Patent Office of opposition to the European patent granted. Notice of opposition shall be filed in a written reasoned statement. It shall not be deemed to have been filed until the opposition fee has been paid. (Art. 99(1) European Patent Convention).

EP 1 281 074 B1

Description**BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION****1. Field of the Invention**

[0001] The present invention is directed to methods for estimating corrections for the image degradation produced in medical ultrasound images by phasefront aberrations and pulse reverberations. The method hence has applications to all situations where ultrasound imaging is used in medicine, and also other similar situations of ultrasound imaging.

2. Description of the Related Art

[0002] With ultrasound imaging of objects through complex structures of tissue, the following effects will degrade the images :

- i) Variations of the acoustic velocity within the complex tissue structures produce aberrations of the acoustic wavefront, destroying the focusing of the beam mainlobe and increasing the beam sidelobes.*
- ii) Interfaces between materials with large differences in acoustic properties can give so strong reflections of the ultrasound pulse that multiple reflections get large amplitudes. Such multiple reflections are termed pulse reverberations, and add a tail to the propagating ultrasound pulse, which shows as noise in the ultrasound image.*

[0003] The reduced focusing of the beam main lobe reduces the spatial resolution in the ultrasound imaging system. The increase in beam side lobes and the pulse reverberations, introduce additive noise in the image, which is termed acoustic noise as it is produced by the transmitted ultrasound pulse itself. Increasing the transmitted pulse power will hence not improve the power ratio of the signal to the noise of this type, contrary to what is found with electronic receiver noise.

[0004] The materials with largest differences in acoustic properties are muscles, fat, connective tissue, cartilage, bone, air, and the ultrasound transducer itself. Mixtures of fat, muscles, connective tissue and cartilage in the body wall can therefore produce very large phase front aberrations and reverberations. Especially, one will get strong reverberations from the transducer reflections of the returning signals from interfaces of such tissues in the body wall. The mixtures of such tissues in the body wall are therefore the major cause of the degradation found with non-invasive ultrasound imaging in many patients. Reducing the effect of the reverberations and phase front aberrations in the body wall is hence much needed in many applications of medical ultrasound imaging.

[0005] With a two-dimensional transducer array, the effect of the phasefront aberrations can in many situations be reduced by adding corrective delays and gain factors to the signals for the individual array elements, in the following referred to as element signals. This has been presented in many papers. In more complex situations of tissue mixtures, the phasefront aberrations and pulse reverberations can produce modifications of the pulse form. It is less known that such pulse modifications can be corrected by a filter for each of the element signals. Such correction filters give the most general correction method, and delay/amplitude corrections can be considered as a special case or an approximation of correction filters.

[0006] The above mentioned prior art papers are broadly represented by the references listed at the end of this description, as page 42a.

[0007] Among the references listed, US 5.524.623 may be considered as quite relevant. Thus, the method or methods according to the invention take as a starting point the correction of phasefront aberrations in medical ultrasound imaging, where the ultrasound beams on transmit and/or receive are formed with an ultrasound transducer array that has a two-dimensional distribution of elements.

[0008] 2nd harmonic ultrasound imaging reduces the body-wall reverberations and the phasefront aberrations. The basis for this method is that the wave propagation velocity increases with the pressure amplitude, so that the positive pressure swing gets a higher propagation velocity than the negative pressure swing. This produces a non-linear propagation distortion of the forward propagating pulse that increases with the transmitted pulse amplitude. The distortion first increases with the propagation depth, producing higher harmonic frequency bands in the pulse oscillation. However, because the power absorption of ultrasound in tissue increases with frequency, the distortion reaches a maximum and finally reduces for large depths.

[0009] Transmitting a pulse with center frequency around $f_0 \approx 1.5\text{MHz}$, one gets adequate power in the 2nd harmonic band around $2f_0 \approx 3\text{MHz}$ for imaging of the heart and other organs in the 3 - 15 cm range. The power in the higher than the 2nd harmonic component is so low that it is not useful for imaging.

[0010] The 2nd harmonic imaging has two advantages above first harmonic imaging around the same receive frequency:

i) The 2nd harmonic amplitude is very low as the outbound pulse passes the body wall, so that the 2nd harmonic components in the body-wall reverberations are low.*

ii) As the transmitted frequency f_0 is low, the first harmonic transmitted beam is less affected by phase aberrations in the body wall. The 2nd harmonic beam is also generated over a certain volume, which makes the 2nd harmonic beam less sensitive to phase aberrations, and the field past the focus is also more collimated than for a 1st harmonic beam at $2f_0$.*

[0011] These two effects hence produce less body-wall reverberations and phase aberrations in the 2nd harmonic pulse compared to the 1st harmonic pulse at the same frequency.

[0012] However, when the back-scattered signal passes the body wall on its return, the 2nd harmonic components are subject to the same amount of phasefront aberrations and pulse reverberations as the 1st harmonic pulse at the same frequency. Corrections for phasefront aberrations and pulse reverberations in the receive beamformer, hence improves the receiver resolution and acoustic noise, in the same way as for the 1st harmonic image. Also, there will be some residual phasefront aberrations and body-wall reverberations in the transmitted 2nd harmonic pulse, which can further be reduced by corrections in the transmit beam former.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0013] The present invention is defined in claims 1 and 15.

[0014] Although the principle of correction for phasefront aberrations and pulse reverberations is well defined, it is in the imaging situation generally difficult to determine the correction filters or the simplified delay and amplitude corrections. This problem is solved according to the present invention, with combinations of novel and specific method steps as defined in claims 1, 15 and 25. More specifically such solutions may comprise the following:

i) The backscattered signal from point scatterers that are artificially introduced into the body serves as beacons to determine the correction filters. Such point scatterers are either connected to intervention tools that are introduced into the body, for example a biopsy needle, or ultrasound contrast agent bubbles in such a dilute concentration that the signals from individual bubbles can be discriminated from each other. The point scatterers must be so spaced apart that the signals from different point scatterers are clearly differentiable from each other and be so strong that they are differentiable from the tissue signal. To maintain adequate distance between the bubbles, the invention devices to use high transmitted pulse amplitudes to destroy the bubbles in selected image regions, so that for an adequate interval of time after this bubble destruction, new inflow bubbles have adequate distance to each other. Consecutive transmission of high amplitude pulses into the region can be used for repeated destruction of the bubbles so that a continuously changing set of point scatterer bubbles in the image region is obtained. To discriminate the bubble signal from tissue signal one can typically use backscattered frequency components in a band around the 3rd or 4th harmonic component of the transmit frequency band, or sub-harmonic components. Transmission of coded sequences with pulse compression of the received signal can also be used to improve the signal to noise ratio in the received signal from the contrast agent bubbles, and hence the detection of the signal. Spaced apart contrast agent bubbles can also be used as point scatterers on the intervention tool.*

ii) With the other method one uses stochastic analysis of the back-scattered signal from distributed scatterers with short correlation length compared to the wave length. One general problem for such analysis is that the backscattered signal is corroborated with acoustic noise from pulse reverberations and phase front aberrations, and the invention devices two methods for reduction of such acoustic noise before the determination of the correction filters:

a) The 2nd harmonic component of the backscattered element signals, which has reduced acoustic noise, is used for the analysis. However, this requires one filter per element signal, and the invention therefore also devices a simplified method of using the 2nd harmonic component of the backscattered signal, where filtering of the individual element signals is avoided. In this method, the element signals are compared with a reference signal obtained from the element signals, the reference signal being modified so that the 1st harmonic band in the reference signal is highly attenuated, for example through filtering or pulse inversion techniques that are commonly known.*

b) The body wall pulse reverberations are fairly stationary in time. By using the backscattered signal from moving or time varying scatterers obtained with multiple transmit pulses with the same focus and beam direction, the temporally stationary acoustic noise is suppressed by highpass filtering each range in the backscattered signal along the pulse number coordinate, so that mainly the signals from the moving scatterers passes the filter for further processing. Typical moving scatterers can be the myocardium or an arterial wall, or scatterers found in blood or other body fluids. To enhance the scattering from such fluids, the invention also devices the use of ultrasound contrast agent to be injected into the body fluid. Time varying scatterers can be ultrasound

contrast agent where so high transmit pulses are used that one get destruction of at least some of the contrast agent bubbles between the pulses.*

[0015] The stochastic analysis commonly contains an averaging of signal parameters, where averaging of signal parameters from different depths or possibly also different beam directions typically can be used. Such methods often provides a limited number of samples to average, which gives variance noise in the estimates.

[0016] To improve the estimation robustness and reduce the variance in the estimates, the invention devices a method that uses the backscattered signal from moving or time varying scatterers acquired with multiple transmit pulses with the same focus and beam direction. Signal parameters obtained for each transmit pulse are then averaged for many transmit pulses, possibly in combination with averaging over depth and beam direction, to reduce the variance in the estimates.

[0017] In addition to these basic principles, the invention devices several detailed methods for estimation of the correction filters.

[0018] Strong scatterers off the beam axis, can introduce interference in the correction estimates, and the invention devices methods to reduce the effect of such scatterers, using spatial lowpass filtering of the received signal across the transducer surface, or highpass filtering of estimated correction phases or delays across the transducer surface. Such highpass filtering can conveniently be done by expanding the correction delays in a generalized Fourier series, for example using Legendre polynomials as basis functions, and leaving out the lowest coefficients that relates to offset direction and possible offset focusing of the scatterer.

[0019] The correlation length of the phase aberrations and pulse reverberations along the transducer array surface has a lower bound. One can therefore also truncate the generalized Fourier series at the upper end, reducing the total number of coefficients in the series. The information carrying coefficients in the generalized Fourier series is hence a reduced parameter set that represents the correction filters, and is conveniently estimated in a parameter estimation scheme.

[0020] Often one also find that the correlation length of the phase aberrations and pulse reverberations along the transducer array surface is larger than the array element dimensions, as for example with phased arrays for sector steering of the beam. For this situation the invention devices combination of the element signals from neighboring elements before estimation of the correction filters. This combination reduces the total number of signal channels used in the estimation, hence simplifying the processing and increasing the signal to noise ratio in the resulting channel.

[0021] Other objects and features of the present invention will become apparent from the following detailed description considered in conjunction with the accompanying drawings. It is to be understood, however, that the drawings are designed solely for purposes of illustration and not as a definition of the limits of the invention, for which reference should be made to the appended claims. It should be further understood that the drawings are not necessarily drawn to scale and that, unless otherwise indicated, they are merely intended to conceptually illustrate the structures and procedures described herein.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0022] In the drawings:

Figure 1 shows a typical measurement situation where the ultrasound array in contact with the skin transmits ultrasound pulses through the body wall, which is a mixture of fat, muscles, and connective tissue. The outbound pulse is modified by phase aberrations and pulse reverberations as it passes the body wall. A point scatterer, scatters a spherical wave which is modified by reverberations and phase front aberrations as it returns through the body wall.

Figure 2 shows an example of a practical focal plane beam profile that is obtained for a phase aberrated pulse together with a corrected beam profile and the ideal beam profile obtained without phase aberrations.

Figure 3 In a) shows the use of artificially introduced point scatterers on an intervention tool for estimation of correction filters. In b) is shown an example block diagram of a unit for estimation of correction filters both from point scatterers and distributed scatterers, and how the correction filters are used for corrections of phase aberrations and pulse reverberations both in the receiver and the transmit beams.

Figure 4 shows in a) a block diagram of a FIR filter for corrections of element signal no. n in the receiver beam former, while in b) is shown a unit for correction of transmitted signal on element no. n in the transmit beam former.

Figure 5 shows the use of artificially introduced point scatterers in the form of contrast agent bubbles that are introduced at low concentration in the blood or other body fluids.

Figure 6 shows the coordinate system used for calculating the expressions of the element signals.

Figure 7 shows in a) the prism type refraction effect of the ultrasound beam produced by a wedge shaped fat layer in the body wall, and in b) the added effect of miss-estimating the location of the center of gravity of the scatterer

distribution by a strong scatterer in the beam side lobe.

Figure 8 shows a method of hierarchical integration of the neighbor difference in the phase or delay correlations, to reduce the effect of drift in the integration process produced by estimation errors.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PRESENTLY PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

[0023] Figure 1 shows a typical measurement situation where an ultrasound transducer array (101) driven by a transmit beam former (102), transmits a pulsed and focused ultrasound beam through the body wall (103) towards an object (104) to be imaged. The body wall is a heterogeneous mixture of fat, muscles, and connective tissue with differences in acoustic velocity and characteristic impedance. Multiple reflections within the body wall and between structures in the body wall and the transducer, produces a reverberation tail (105) to the transmitted pulse (106) as it passes the wall. Similarly, the variations in the acoustic velocity produce aberrations of the phasefront (107) as the pulse passes the wall.

[0024] At reflection of the pulse from a point scatterer (108) within the object, a wave with spherical wave front (109) is reflected, while the temporal variation of the pulse with reverberation tail is preserved (110). In passing the body wall on its path back to the transducer array, aberrations of the pulse phasefront (111) occur, and additional reverberations of the pulse is found (112).

[0025] In order to focus the receiver beam onto the point scatterer, a standard receiver beam former will delay the individual array signals a time interval calculated from the assumption that the wavefront that arrives from the point scatterer has a spherical shape. Amplitude apodization of the array element signals is also used to reduce the sidelobes in the focal plane. When the real phase front (111) is not ideally spherical due to aberrations, the standard beam former produces a less than ideal focus of the receiver beam. This is illustrated by the focal beam profile 201 of Figure 2. Using corrective delays on the element signals, one is able to produce an improved focal beam profile as 202 in the Figure. For comparison, the Figure also shows the focal beam profile 203 that is obtained for wave propagation in water.

[0026] In the linear approximation of wave propagation in the tissue, all aspects of the propagation is contained in the Green's function. This function gives the wave-field from a unit point source located at all source points $\underline{r}_f$ in space. In the temporal frequency domain, we describe the Green's function as $g(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega)$, and it gives the field at the *field-point* $\underline{r}$ from a unit point source located at the *source-point* $\underline{r}_f$ and radiating continuous, time harmonic waves with temporal angular frequency ω . In the following analysis we relate the Green's function for heterogeneous tissue mixtures to the free-space Green's function $g_h(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega)$ for a homogeneous material as

$$g(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega) = s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega) g_h(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega) \quad (1)$$

where

$$g_h(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega) = \frac{e^{-ik|\underline{r}-\underline{r}_f|}}{4\pi|\underline{r}-\underline{r}_f|} \quad k = \omega/c \quad (2)$$

c is the wave propagation velocity in the homogeneous tissue. $s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega)$ is called the frequency dependent phase-amplitude screen for a scatterer at location $\underline{r}_f$. We hence see that $s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega)$ represents both the phase aberration and reverberation distortion of the body wall on the wave propagation.

[0027] When there is no power absorption in the tissue, it has been shown by M. Fink [1], that time-reversal of the received element signals from a point source in a two-dimensional array, produces the optimal correction of the element transmit signals, for focusing the transmit beam onto the point source. This is equivalent to setting up the transmit beam former in the standard way assuming homogeneous tissue with constant wave velocity, and then filtering the transmit pulses at the element location $\underline{r}$ on the transducer surface, with the time reversal filter

$$H_{tr}(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega) = s^*(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega) \quad (3)$$

where $*$ denotes complex conjugation. By reciprocity of the transmit and the receive beams, we also get optimal focusing of the receive beam onto the point target at $\underline{r}_f$, by filtering the element receive signals at location $\underline{r}$ with $H_{tr}(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega)$.

[0028] The reason one must use a two-dimensional array for corrections of the body wall disturbances is that the body wall produces a two-dimensional disturbance pattern over the transducer array, described by the phase amplitude screen $s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega)$. The correlation length of the disturbances and hence the correlation length of the phase amplitude

screen across the transducer surface, has however a lower limit. The upper linear width of the elements used in the correction is determined by this correlation length, and this width can be larger than typical element widths in many electronic arrays, for example the phased array. For efficient estimation of $s(r, r_f; \omega)$ and correction of the phase aberrations and pulse reverberations, one can in such situations combine the element signals from neighboring, narrow elements to give fewer element signals from larger elements, that are still smaller than the correlation length of the disturbances over the array, and use these combined element signals for estimation and correction.

[0029] With uniform acoustic power absorption in the tissue, the gain and phase variations of s will still correct for the spatial variations in propagation velocity in the tissue and hence reduce the effect of phase aberrations and pulse reverberations. The absorption will, however, attenuate the pulse and also produce some pulse stretching since the power absorption increases with frequency. These effects can be compensated for by a depth variable gain and filter. Strongly heterogeneous absorption will produce spatial amplitude variations in the Green's function that requires special compensation not accounted for by H_{tr} in Eq.(3).

[0030] From the definition in Eq.(1) we see that one can determine $s(r, r_f; \omega)$ from the signal from a point scatterer located at r_f , with a received signal that is clearly differentiable from that of the surrounding tissue. However, it is rare to find such point scatterers in the tissue, and it is hence a challenge to determine $s(r, r_f; \omega)$.

[0031] In a **first aspect**, the invention devices the introduction of artificial point scatterers with signal that is clearly differentiable in amplitude or frequency content from the tissue signal, so that $g(r, r_{fk}; \omega) = s(r, r_{fk}; \omega)g_h(r, r_{fk}; \omega)$ can be determined, where r_{fk} are the positions of the point scatterers that are used for corrected focusing of the transmit and receive beams onto all r_{fk} 's according to Eq.(3). One then can calculate $s(r, r_{fk}; \omega) = g(r, r_{fk}; \omega)/g_h(r, r_{fk}; \omega)$. The distance between the point scatterers then must be so large that the signals from the point scatterers also are differentiable from each other.

[0032] According to the invention, the point scatterers can be introduced on an intervention tool, like a biopsy needle, for improved imaging of the tissue around the needle, especially the biopsy target around the needle tip and also to avoid critical areas around the needle tip when it is introduced, like blood vessels. An example of such a situation is shown in **Figure 3a and 3b**, where 301 shows the intervention tool imbedded in the tissue 302, with two point scatterers 303 and 304 attached to the tool. The point scatterers could also be made as a ring or point indentation in the tool. A two-dimensional transducer array 305 at the surface of the body wall 306 is driven by a transmit beam former 307 shown in Figure 3b, that is connected to the array via a transmit/receiver switch 308 to emit focused, pulsed ultrasound beams that are direction scanned into the tissue. In this particular depiction the array is shown to transmit a beam 309 that is directed against the point scatterer 303, which backscatters a spherical wave 310. When this spherical wave passes the body wall, it is modified due to phase front aberrations and pulse reverberations as exemplified by 311, before it is picked up by the array 305, that shortly after the pulse transmission is connected via the switch 308 to receiver amplifiers 312 and a correction estimation unit 313, in parallel to a receive correction unit 314, followed by a receiver beamformer 316 that feeds the output to an ultrasound image processing and display unit 318.

[0033] The correction estimation unit 313 estimates $s(r, r_{f1}; \omega)$ where r_{f1} in this particular example is the location of point scatterer 303. The correction filter transfer functions according to Eq.(3) are transferred via 315 to the receive correction unit 314, where the receive element signals are correction filtered and possibly combined to fewer element signals as described below, before they are transmitted to the receiver beam former 316. This beam former can be of a standard type and has as its output standard ultrasound receive RF-lines 317, possibly for a multitude of neighboring parallel receive directions. The received RF-lines are fed to an ultrasound image processing and display unit 318, that generates and displays full ultrasound tissue and velocity images, as well as ultrasound Doppler spectra, according to known principles. The received ultrasound RF-lines 317 could also conveniently be transferred to the correction estimation unit 313 to be used in some estimation algorithms like the reference signal correlation method described in Eqs.(68-74, 90-94) below. Such reference signals could also conveniently be generated within the correction estimation unit itself.

[0034] As the first point scatterer 303 is located near the tip of the tool 301, one will get a corrected image around the tool tip as it is introduced into the tissue. When the beam direction scans, the correction estimation unit 313 will also detect the other point scatterers and produce corrected images in a region around these point scatterers also.

[0035] Corrections of the receive element signals could typically be done in a filter unit shown for one single element signal no n as 401 in **Figure 4a**. The frequency response of this filter is for focusing onto r_f given as $H_n(r_f; \omega) = H_{tr}(r_n, r_f; \omega)$ according to Eq.(3), and the impulse response $h_n(r_f; \tau)$ is the inverse Fourier transform of $H_n(r_f; \omega)$. This unit takes as input an unmodified signal $x_n(t)$ from element no n , shown as 402 in the Figure, and produce the corrected signal $y_n(t)$ (403) for further processing in the receive beam former. The simplest form of the correction filter is a pure delay function and possible amplitude modification according to the discussion below, in particular in relation to Eqs.(78-94). The receive correction unit could also conveniently combine several channels with minimal nominal delays, for example focusing without direction steering in the elevation direction of the array (y -direction in Figure 6). The element signals in the elevation direction (y -direction) can then be added in the correction unit for each azimuth position (x -direction of Figure 6) to reduce the required number of channels in the receiver beamformer 316.

[0036] The output 315 of the correction estimation unit could also conveniently be transferred to the transmit beam-former 307 to modify the transmit pulses to obtain a phase aberration and reverberation corrected beam. The simplest correction is then a delay of the transmit pulse and possibly an amplitude modification. Complex correction according to the full filter in Eq.(3) can for example be obtained for each channel by the unit in Figure 4b, where the digital representation of the base pulse is stored in a unit 405, and the correction filter impulse response as the inverse Fourier transform of Eq.(3) is set as the coefficients 406 of a transversal filter unit 407. The output of this filter unit is fed to a D/A converter 408 and the transducer drive amplifier 409 that drives the transducer element no n, 410, possibly via a cable.

[0037] According to the invention, the point scatterers can also be introduced as a dilute concentration of contrast agent bubbles, so that the bubbles in the tissue are so spaced apart that their signals can be discriminated from each other, as illustrated in Figure 5. This Figure shows as in Figure 3 the same 2D transducer array 305, body wall 306, tissue 302, and transmit beam 309, which are given the same numeration, where the intervention tool is removed and substituted with a set of contrast agent bubbles 501 - 505 for the sake of example. To obtain adequately spaced apart contrast bubbles, one can first destroy all contrast bubbles in selected image regions by transmitting high amplitude pressure pulses. The low concentration of contrast bubbles in the inflow arteries will then introduce new bubbles at random locations in the tissue so that in an adequate time interval after the destruction, the new bubbles have adequate distance to each other. With moderate transmit amplitudes one can obtain destruction of a fraction of the contrast agent bubbles for each pulse, which together with the continuous inflow through the tissue blood perfusion produces a time variable set of point scatterers.

[0038] Each contrast bubble reflects when they are hit by an incident ultrasound pulse, a set of non-linearly distorted pulses that propagates as spherical waves, where one in the example illustrates the wave 506 reflected from contrast bubble 505. When propagating through the body wall, the wave is distorted by phase aberrations and pulse reverberations, indicated as 507 in the Figure, similar to 311 in Figure 3.

[0039] To discriminate contrast bubble signal from the surrounding tissue signal, one can use the sub, 2nd, 3rd or 4th harmonic component of the backscattered signal, that are weak for the tissue signal, but still strong for the contrast bubble signal. The correction filter estimator unit 313 then contains extra filter units that select the selected harmonic components of the back scattered signal in each element signal.

[0040] To avoid unwanted destruction of the contrast agent bubbles, the incident pulse amplitude in the tissue must be kept limited. Maintaining this limit in the incident pulse, one can improve the signal to noise ratio in the received element signals by transmission of coded pulse sequences with subsequent pulse compression in the receiver, and hence reduce the noise in the estimated $s(r, r_f; \omega)$.

[0041] Such contrast bubbles are also good candidates for point scatterers to be attached at localized sites on intervention tools.

[0042] In the **second aspect** of the invention, the present patent devices a method for estimating $s(r, r_f; \omega)$ from the back-scattered signal from a distribution of scatterers that can be modeled by a stochastic ensemble with short correlation length so that δ -correlation of the scatterers is an adequate approximation. The acoustic noise in the signal is heavily attenuated before the estimation of s by using 2nd harmonic processing or signal from moving or time varying scatterers. The signal from such scatterers can also be used to reduce variance and noise in the estimates. Ultrasound contrast agent can also be used in these materials to enhance the scattering from the materials. Destruction of the contrast agent by the incident pulses can also be used to generate time varying scatterers in a region. Specially designed algorithms can be used to estimate parametric representations of $s(r, r_f; \omega)$.

[0043] A presupposition is that the scatterers used to estimate $s(r, r_f; \omega)$ can be modeled as a δ -correlated ensemble of scatterers. This means that each outcome of the ensemble represents a spatial distribution of the scatterers $v(r)$ so that averaging over the ensemble we get the following δ -correlation of the scatterer distribution

$$\langle v(r_1)v(r_2) \rangle = \sigma_v^2(r_1) \delta(r_2 - r_1) \quad (4)$$

$\langle \rangle$ denotes ensemble averaging over a mathematically defined outcome ensemble to be further discussed below, and $\sigma_v^2(r_1)$ is the variance parameter of the distribution at location r_1 . We should note that the δ -correlation is an approximation when the correlation length of the scatterer distribution is adequately short to do the approximations from Eq. (14) to Eqs.(15, 35,70), etc.

[0044] The **outcome ensemble** is a selected set of scattering distribution functions, where the particular scatterer distribution found in an experiment is considered an outcome or realization of the ensemble. As one in the experimental situation can not observe all outcomes of the ensemble, it is with practical experiments generally a problem to carry through an ensemble averaging as defined above. For spatially stationary scatterer distributions, one can to a limited degree substitute ensemble averaging with spatial averaging over the regions of scatterer positions r_1 where $s(r, r_1; \omega)$ is practically independent of r_1 .

[0045] The present invention also devices an additional method for estimating ensemble averaging of signal parameters, where one uses the signal from moving scatterers observed with consecutive transmit pulses with the same focus and amplitude, separated with so large intervals in time that the scatterers are exchanged in the actual region for each transmit pulse. Hence, the signal from each transmit pulse can be considered as an outcome of the ensemble, and averaging of signal parameters for a particular region can be done by averaging over the signal from the consecutive transmit pulses, which is further described below.

[0046] To obtain time varying scatterers in a tissue region that otherwise has limited movement, and where adequately large blood vessels where the blood can be used as moving scatterers, are missing, one can insert ultrasound contrast agent into the blood vessels, which subsequently flows into the capillaries of the tissue region. With a high amplitude of the incident imaging pulses, some or all of the contrast agent bubbles within the beam can be destroyed. This destruction combined with the new inflow of contrast agent bubbles to the tissue region can be used to produce variation of the contrast agent bubbles in the imaging region between pulses. Temporal averaging of signal parameters of the backscattered signal from the contrast agent for a set of transmitted pulses, can then be used as an estimate of ensemble averages.

[0047] Using the expression for the Green's function in Eq.(1), we get the following expression for the spatial frequency response of the **transmitted beam** at $\underline{r}_1$, when the beam is focused at $\underline{r}_f$

$$H_t(\underline{r}_1, \underline{r}_f; \omega) = \int_{S_t} d^2 r_0 \frac{e^{-ik|\underline{r}_1 - \underline{r}_0| - i\omega \tau_{sf}(\underline{r}_0, \underline{r}_f)}}{2\pi|\underline{r} - \underline{r}_0|} s(\underline{r}_0, \underline{r}_1; \omega) \quad \omega \tau_{sf}(\underline{r}_0, \underline{r}_f) = k|\underline{r}_f - \underline{r}_0| - k|\underline{r}_0 - \underline{r}_f|$$

(5)

where S_t is the transducer surface. $\tau_{sf}(\underline{r}_0, \underline{r}_f)$ are the steering and focusing delays for an element $\underline{r}_0$ at to focus the beam at $\underline{r}_f$ in a homogeneous medium with wave propagation velocity of $c=\omega/k$.

[0048] The coordinates are illustrated in **Figure 6**, where the transducer surface S_t is shown as 601 with a source coordinate at the transducer $\underline{r}_0$ shown as 602. The vector coordinate $\underline{r}_f$ for the focus is shown as 603 with the focal surface S_f shown as the spherical surface 604 with radius r_f centered at the transducer center. The field-point determined by the field vector $\underline{r}_1$ is shown as 605. For the calculations below it is convenient to decompose $\underline{r}_1$ into a component $\underline{r}_{1\parallel}$ along $\underline{r}_f$, shown as 606, and a component $\underline{r}_{1\perp}$ orthogonal to $\underline{r}_f$ shown as 607, so that $\underline{r}_1 = \underline{r}_{1\parallel} + \underline{r}_{1\perp}$. The focused beam is indicated as 608, where a selected region V_f around the focus is shown as 609.

[0049] Around the focus $\underline{r}_f$, one can use the paraxial approximation of the exponent in Eq.(5) that gives

$$\begin{aligned} -|\underline{r}_1 - \underline{r}_0| - |\underline{r}_0 - \underline{r}_f| &\approx -\underline{r}_1 + \underline{e}_1 \cdot \underline{r}_0 - \frac{r_0^2}{2r_1} - \underline{r}_f + \underline{e}_f \cdot \underline{r}_0 + \frac{r_0^2}{2r_f} \\ &= -\underline{r}_1 + (\underline{e}_1 - \underline{e}_f) \cdot \underline{r}_0 + r_0^2 (1/2r_f - 1/2r_1) \end{aligned}$$

(6)

where $\underline{e}_1 = \underline{r}_1/r_1$, and $\underline{e}_f = \underline{r}_f/r_f$ are the unit vectors in the direction of $\underline{r}_1$ and $\underline{r}_f$, respectively. This expression allows us to approximate the transmitted field in Eq.(5) as

$$H_t(\underline{r}_1, \underline{r}_f; \omega) = \frac{e^{-ikr_1}}{2\pi r_1} \int_{S_t} d^2 r_0 e^{ik(\underline{e}_1 - \underline{e}_f) \cdot \underline{r}_0 + ik r_0^2 (1/2r_f - 1/2r_1)} s(\underline{r}_0, \underline{r}_1; \omega)$$

(7)

In the focal surface S_f shown as 604 in Figure 6, we have $\underline{r}_1 = \underline{r}_f$, which gives

$$H_t(\underline{r}_1, \underline{r}_f; \omega) = \frac{e^{-ikr_1}}{2\pi r_1} H_{et}(\underline{r}_1, \underline{r}_f; \omega) \quad H_{et}(\underline{r}_1, \underline{r}_f; \omega) = \int_{S_t} d^2 r_0 e^{ik(\underline{e}_1 - \underline{e}_f) \cdot \underline{r}_0} s(\underline{r}_0, \underline{r}_1; \omega)$$

(8)

The focal beam profile H_{et} is hence the Fourier transform of the phase-amplitude screen. Close to the focal plane we see from the above that we can approximate H_t as

$$H_t(\underline{r}_1, \underline{r}_f; \omega) \approx e^{-ikr_1} F(r_1 - r_f) H_{et}(\underline{r}_1, \underline{r}_f; \omega) \quad (9)$$

which will be used in the following analysis.

[0050] The temporal Fourier transform of the received signal at location $\underline{r}$ on the receiving transducer array, shown as 610 in Figure 6, from the region V_f shown as 609 around the focus, is

$$y(\underline{r}; \omega) = P(\omega) \int_{V_f} d^3 r_1 2g(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_1; \omega) \sigma(\underline{r}_1; \omega) = P(\omega) \int_{V_f} d^3 r_1 s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_1; \omega) 2g_h(\underline{r} - \underline{r}_1; \omega) \sigma(\underline{r}_1; \omega)$$

(10)

where $P(\omega)$ is the temporal Fourier transform of the received pulse from a point scatterer, and $\sigma(\underline{r}_1; \omega)$ is a source density given as the product of the transmit beam and the scattering density as

$$\sigma(\underline{r}_1; \omega) = -k^2 H_t(\underline{r}_1, \underline{r}_f; \omega) v(\underline{r}_1) \quad (11)$$

[0051] We note from Eqs.(4,11) that σ is δ -correlated in space, i.e.

$$\langle \sigma^*(\underline{r}_1; \omega) \sigma(\underline{r}_2; \omega) \rangle = \sigma_\sigma^2(\underline{r}_1; \omega) \delta(\underline{r}_2 - \underline{r}_1) \quad \sigma_\sigma^2(\underline{r}_1; \omega) = k^4 |H_t(\underline{r}_1, \underline{r}_f; \omega)|^2 \sigma_v^2 \quad (12)$$

For steering and focusing the receive beam onto $\underline{r}_f$, we correct y as

$$y_f(\underline{r}; \omega) = y(\underline{r}; \omega) 2\pi r_f e^{-i\omega \tau_{sf}(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f)} \quad \omega \tau_{sf}(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f) = kr_f - k|\underline{r} - \underline{r}_f| \quad (13)$$

where $\tau_{sf}(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f)$ is the steering and focusing delays that focuses the receive beam onto $\underline{r}_f$ for the homogeneous medium, as in Eq.(5).

[0052] We shall now analyze a situation where the phase-amplitude screen varies so slowly with the scatterer position $\underline{r}_1 \in V_f$ that we can approximate $s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_1; \omega) \approx s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega)$, which allows us to take s outside the integral in Eq.(10). Eq.(13) can then be rewritten as

$$y_f(\underline{r};\omega) = s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega) f(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega) \quad f(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega) = P(\omega) \int_{V_f} d^3 r_1 g_f(\underline{r} - \underline{r}_1; \omega) \sigma(\underline{r}_1; \omega) \quad a)$$

$$(14)$$

$$g_f(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_1; \omega) = 4\pi r_f e^{-i\omega \tau_{sf}(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f)} g_h(\underline{r} - \underline{r}_1; \omega) = \frac{r_f e^{-ikr_f + ik|\underline{r} - \underline{r}_f| - ik|\underline{r} - \underline{r}_1|}}{|\underline{r} - \underline{r}_1|} \quad b)$$

[0053] As σ is a δ -correlated process with zero mean, y_f and f will by the Central Limit Theorem be zero mean Gaussian processes. Hence, all information is contained in the 2nd order moments, i.e. the auto-correlation function in space for $y_f(\underline{r}, \omega)$ which is obtained from Eqs.(12,14) as

$$R_{y_f}(\underline{r}, \underline{\xi}; \omega) = \langle y_f^*(\underline{r}; \omega) y_f(\underline{r} + \underline{\xi}; \omega) \rangle = s^*(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega) s(\underline{r} + \underline{\xi}, \underline{r}_f; \omega) R_f(\underline{r}, \underline{\xi}; \omega) \quad (15)$$

$$R_f(\underline{r}, \underline{\xi}; \omega) = |P(\omega)|^2 \int_{V_f} d^3 r_1 g_f^*(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_1; \omega) g_f(\underline{r} + \underline{\xi}, \underline{r}_1; \omega) \sigma_\sigma^2(\underline{r}_1; \omega)$$

where the averaging is done over an infinite number of different outcomes of the scatterer distribution, i.e. ensemble averaging. From Eq.(14) we note that we can use the following approximation

$$g_f^*(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_1; \omega) g_f(\underline{r} + \underline{\xi}, \underline{r}_1; \omega) \approx e^{-ik|\underline{r} - \underline{r}_f| + ik|\underline{r} + \underline{\xi} - \underline{r}_f|} e^{ik|\underline{r} - \underline{r}_1| - ik|\underline{r} + \underline{\xi} - \underline{r}_1|} \approx ik(\underline{e}_1 - \underline{e}_f) \underline{\xi} \quad a)$$

$$(16)$$

$$R_f(\underline{r}, \underline{\xi}; \omega) \approx |P(\omega)|^2 \int_{V_f} d^3 r_1 e^{ik(\underline{e}_1 - \underline{e}_f) \underline{\xi}} \sigma_\sigma^2(\underline{r}_1; \omega) = k^4 \sigma_v^2 |P(\omega)|^2 \int_{V_f} d^3 r_1 e^{ik(\underline{e}_1 - \underline{e}_f) \underline{\xi}} |H_t(\underline{r}_1, \underline{r}_f; \omega)|^2 \quad b)$$

Inserting the approximation of H_t close to the focal plane as given in Eq.(8), we get

$$R_f(\underline{r}, \underline{\xi}; \omega) = k^4 \sigma_v^2 |P(\omega)|^2 \left\{ \int_{V_f} d^3 r_1 e^{-ik(\underline{e}_1 - \underline{e}_f) \underline{\xi}} |H_{et}(\underline{r}_1, \underline{r}_f; \omega)|^2 |F(\underline{r}_1 - \underline{r}_f)|^2 \right\}^* \quad (17)$$

[0054] We note that the two-dimensional, lateral part of the integral is the inverse Fourier transform of $|H_{et}|^2$, while the one-dimensional, longitudinal part of the integral gives a constant As by Eq.(8) H_{et} is the Fourier transform of $s(\underline{r}_0, \underline{r}_f; \omega)$, the above integral can be expressed as

$$R_f(\underline{r}, \underline{\xi}; \omega) = k^4 \sigma_v^2 |P(\omega)|^2 A^2 \int_{S_t} d^2 r_a s(\underline{r}_a - \underline{\xi}, \underline{r}_f; \omega) s^*(\underline{r}_a, \underline{r}_f; \omega)$$

(18)

where A is a constant. Especially we note that for zero lag we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_f(\underline{r}, \underline{0}; \omega) &= |P(\omega)|^2 \int_{V_f} d^3 r_1 |g_f(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_1; \omega)|^2 \sigma_\sigma^2(\underline{r}_1; \omega) = |P(\omega)|^2 \int_{V_f} d^3 r_1 \left(\frac{r_f}{|\underline{r} - \underline{r}_1|} \right)^2 \sigma_\sigma^2(\underline{r}_1; \omega) \\ &\approx |P(\omega)|^2 \int_{V_f} d^3 r_1 \sigma_\sigma^2(\underline{r}_1; \omega) = k^4 \sigma_v^2 |P(\omega)|^2 \int_{V_f} d^3 r_1 |H_t(\underline{r}_1, \underline{r}_f; \omega)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

(19)

and utilizing Eq.(18) we get

$$R_f(\underline{r}, \underline{0}; \omega) = k^4 \sigma_v^2 |P(\omega)|^2 A^2 \int_{S_t} d^2 r_a |s(\underline{r}_a, \underline{r}_f; \omega)|^2$$

(20)

[0055] To find the amplitude-phase screen we express it by its amplitude a_s and phase θ_s as

$$s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega) = a_s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega) e^{i\theta_s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega)} \quad (21)$$

[0056] The **amplitude of the screen** is obtained from Eq.(15) with zero space displacement $\underline{\xi}$

$$|s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega)| = \sqrt{\frac{R_{yf}(\underline{r}, \underline{0}; \omega)}{R_f(\underline{r}, \underline{0}; \omega)}} \quad (22)$$

[0057] We note that $R_{yf}(\underline{r}, \underline{0}; \omega)$ is the average power of the received element signal. From Eqs.(15,20) we have that

$$\int_{S_t} d^2 r R_{yf}(\underline{r}, \underline{0}; \omega) = k^4 \sigma_v^2 |P(\omega)|^2 A^2 \left\{ \int_{S_t} d^2 r_a |s(\underline{r}_a, \underline{r}_f; \omega)|^2 \right\}$$

(23)

[0058] Solving for the integral of $|s|^2$ and inserting into Eq.(20) we get

$$R_f(\underline{r}, \underline{0}; \omega) = k^2 \sigma_v |P(\omega)| A \sqrt{\int_{S_t} d^2 r R_{yf}(\underline{r}, \underline{0}; \omega)}$$

(24)

which inserted into Eq.(22) gives

$$|s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega)| = \sqrt{\frac{R_{yf}(\underline{r}, \underline{0}; \omega)}{k^2 \sigma_v |P(\omega)| A \sqrt{\int_{S_t} d^2 r R_{yf}(\underline{r}, \underline{0}; \omega)}}$$

(25)

[0059] We note that for Gaussian variables we have

$$R_{yf}(r, 0; \omega) = \frac{\pi}{2} \langle |y_f(r; \omega)|^2 \rangle \quad (26)$$

[0060] This gives the following modified estimation of the screen amplitude in Eq.(25) as

$$|s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega)| = \langle |y_f(\underline{r}; \omega)| \rangle \sqrt{\frac{\pi / 2}{k^4 \sigma_v^2 |P(\omega)|^2 A^2 \int_{S_t} d^2 r \langle |y_f(\underline{r}; \omega)|^2 \rangle}}$$

(27)

[0061] To find the phase of the screen, we note that

$$\nabla s = \left\{ \frac{\nabla a_s}{a_s} + i \nabla \theta_s \right\} s \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nabla \theta_s = \text{Im} \left\{ \frac{\nabla s}{s} \right\} = \text{Im} \left\{ \frac{s^* \nabla s}{|s|^2} \right\}$$

(28)

[0062] We utilize the spatial gradient of the auto-correlation function as

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\xi} R_{yf}(\underline{r}, \underline{\xi}; \omega) &= s^*(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega) \nabla_{\xi} s(\underline{r} + \underline{\xi}, \underline{r}_f; \omega) R_f(\underline{r}, \underline{\xi}; \omega) \\ &+ s^*(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega) s(\underline{r} + \underline{\xi}, \underline{r}_f; \omega) \nabla_{\xi} R_f(\underline{r}, \underline{\xi}; \omega) \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

[0063] Noting that $\nabla_{\xi} s = \nabla_r s$, we get for zero displacement $\underline{\xi}$

$$\nabla_{\xi} R_{yf}(\underline{r}, \underline{0}; \omega) = s^*(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega) \nabla_r s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega) R_f(\underline{r}, \underline{0}; \omega) + |s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega)|^2 \nabla_{\xi} R_f(\underline{r}, \underline{0}; \omega) \quad (30)$$

which allows us to calculate

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \theta_s(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_f; \omega) = \text{Im} \left\{ \frac{s^*(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_f; \omega) \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} s(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_f; \omega)}{|s(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_f; \omega)|^2} \right\} = \text{Im} \left\{ \frac{\nabla_{\xi} R_{yf}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{0}; \omega)}{R_{yf}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{0}; \omega)} \right\} - \text{Im} \left\{ \frac{\nabla_{\xi} R_f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{0}; \omega)}{R_f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{0}; \omega)} \right\} \quad (31)$$

[0064] We express the auto-correlation function by its amplitude and phase as

$$R_{yf}(\mathbf{r}, \xi; \omega) = A_R(\mathbf{r}, \xi; \omega) e^{i\theta_R(\mathbf{r}, \xi; \omega)} \quad \text{a)} \quad R_f(\mathbf{r}, \xi; \omega) = A_{Rf}(\mathbf{r}, \xi; \omega) e^{i\theta_{Rf}(\mathbf{r}, \xi; \omega)} \quad \text{b)} \quad (32)$$

[0065] In analogy with Eq.(28) we get

$$\nabla_{\xi} \theta_R(\mathbf{r}, \xi; \omega) = \text{Im} \left\{ \frac{\nabla_{\xi} R_{yf}(\mathbf{r}, \xi; \omega)}{R_{yf}(\mathbf{r}, \xi; \omega)} \right\} \quad \text{a)} \quad \nabla_{\xi} \theta_{Rf}(\mathbf{r}, \xi; \omega) = \text{Im} \left\{ \frac{\nabla_{\xi} R_f(\mathbf{r}, \xi; \omega)}{R_f(\mathbf{r}, \xi; \omega)} \right\} \quad \text{b)} \quad (33)$$

Comparing with Eq.(31) we hence get

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \theta_s(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_f; \omega) = \nabla_{\xi} \theta_R(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{0}; \omega) - \nabla_{\xi} \theta_{Rf}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{0}; \omega) \quad (34)$$

[0066] Integrating this expression with respect to $\mathbf{r}$ hence gives the phase of the screen. We note that $R_f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{0}; \omega)$ from Eq.(20) is real, so that the imaginary part of the fraction for $\nabla_{\xi} \theta_{Rf}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{0}; \omega)$ is given by the imaginary part of $\nabla_{\xi} R_f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{0}; \omega)$. From Eq.(15) we note that

$$\nabla_{\xi} R_f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{0}; \omega) = |P(\omega)|^2 \int_{V_f} d^3 \mathbf{r}_1 g_f^*(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_1; \omega) \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} g_f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_1; \omega) \sigma_{\sigma}^2(\mathbf{r}_1; \omega) \quad (35)$$

[0067] From Eqs.(15,33) we hence get

$$\nabla_{\xi} \theta_{Rf}(\underline{r}, \underline{0}; \omega) = \text{Im} \left\{ \frac{\nabla_{\xi} R_f(\underline{r}, \underline{0}; \omega)}{R_f(\underline{r}, \underline{0}; \omega)} \right\} = \frac{\int d^3 r_1 \text{Im} \{ g_f^*(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_1; \omega) \nabla_{\underline{r}} g_f(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_1; \omega) \} \sigma_{\sigma}^2(\underline{r}_1; \omega)}{\int d^3 r_1 |g_f(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_1; \omega)|^2 \sigma_{\sigma}^2(\underline{r}_1; \omega)} \quad (36)$$

$$= \frac{\int d^3 r_1 \nabla_{\underline{r}} \theta_{gf}(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_1; \omega) |g_f(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_1; \omega)|^2 \sigma_{\sigma}^2(\underline{r}_1; \omega)}{\int d^3 r_1 |g_f(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_1; \omega)|^2 \sigma_{\sigma}^2(\underline{r}_1; \omega)} \approx \frac{\int d^3 r_1 \nabla_{\underline{r}} \theta_{gf}(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_1; \omega) \sigma_{\sigma}^2(\underline{r}_1; \omega)}{\int d^3 r_1 \sigma_{\sigma}^2(\underline{r}_1; \omega)}$$

where θ_{gf} is the phase of g_f and we have used the relation in Eq.(28) for g_f . From Eq.(14) we have approximated $|g_f|^2 = (r_f/|r_1 r|)^2 \approx 1$ for $\underline{r}_1$ part of V_f . From Eq.(14b) we note that

$$\nabla_{\underline{r}} \theta_{gf}(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_1; \omega) = k(\underline{e}_{\underline{r}_1 - \underline{r}} - \underline{e}_{\underline{r} - \underline{r}_1}) \quad (37)$$

where $\underline{e}_{\underline{r}_1 - \underline{r}} = (\underline{r}_1 - \underline{r})/|\underline{r}_1 - \underline{r}|$ and $\underline{e}_{\underline{r} - \underline{r}_1} = (\underline{r} - \underline{r}_1)/|\underline{r} - \underline{r}_1|$ are the unit vectors pointing from $\underline{r}$ to $\underline{r}_1$ and $\underline{r}$ to $\underline{r}_1$, respectively. Inserting this expression into Eq.(36) gives

$$\nabla_{\xi} \theta_{Rf}(\underline{r}, \underline{0}; \omega) \approx k \frac{\int d^3 r_1 (\underline{e}_{\underline{r}_1 - \underline{r}} - \underline{e}_{\underline{r} - \underline{r}_1}) \sigma_{\sigma}^2(\underline{r}_1; \omega)}{\int d^3 r_1 \sigma_{\sigma}^2(\underline{r}_1; \omega)} = k \frac{\int d^2 r_{1\perp} (\underline{e}_{\underline{r}_1 - \underline{r}} - \underline{e}_{\underline{r} - \underline{r}_1}) H_{et}(\underline{r}_1, \underline{r}_f; \omega)^2}{\int d^2 r_{1\perp} |H_{et}(\underline{r}_1, \underline{r}_f; \omega)|^2} \quad (38)$$

where we in the last expression have substituted Eq.(12) with constant σ_{σ}^2 and carried through the integration of $\underline{r}_1$ along $\underline{r}_1 \parallel$ defined as 606 in Figure 6.

[0068] The above expression can be written in the short form as

$$\nabla_{\xi} \theta_{Rf}(\underline{r}, \underline{0}; \omega) \approx k(\underline{e}_{\underline{r}_c - \underline{r}} - \underline{e}_{\underline{r} - \underline{r}_f}) \quad (39)$$

$$\underline{e}_{\underline{r}_c - \underline{r}} = \frac{\int d^3 r_1 \underline{e}_{\underline{r}_1 - \underline{r}} \sigma_{\sigma}^2(\underline{r}_1; \omega)}{\int d^3 r_1 \sigma_{\sigma}^2(\underline{r}_1; \omega)} = \frac{\int d^2 r_{1\perp} \underline{e}_{\underline{r}_1 - \underline{r}} |H_{et}(\underline{r}_1, \underline{r}_f; \omega)|^2}{\int d^2 r_{1\perp} |H_{et}(\underline{r}_1, \underline{r}_f; \omega)|^2}$$

where $\underline{e}_{\underline{r}_c - \underline{r}} = (\underline{r}_c - \underline{r})/|\underline{r}_c - \underline{r}|$ is the unit vector pointing from $\underline{r}$ to the center of gravity $\underline{r}_c$ of $\sigma^2(\underline{r}_1; \omega)$ over V_f . In the last expressions of Eqs.(38,39) the integration is done laterally over the focal surface S_f . Inserting Eq.(39) into Eq.(34) gives

$$\nabla_{\underline{r}} \theta_s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega) = \nabla_{\xi} \theta_R(\underline{r}, \underline{0}; \omega) - k(\underline{e}_{\underline{r}_c - \underline{r}} - \underline{e}_{\underline{r} - \underline{r}_f}) \quad (40)$$

This equation can be integrated as

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_s(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_f; \omega) &= \int_0^{x_1} d\rho_1 \nabla_{\xi_1} \theta_R(\rho, 0; \omega) - k(|\mathbf{r}_c - \mathbf{r}| - |\mathbf{r}_f - \mathbf{r}|) + \text{const} \\ &= \int_0^{x_2} d\rho_2 \nabla_{\xi_2} \theta_R(\rho, 0; \omega) - k(|\mathbf{r}_c - \mathbf{r}| - |\mathbf{r}_f - \mathbf{r}|) + \text{const} \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

[0069] We note that the term $-k(|\mathbf{r}_c - \mathbf{r}| - |\mathbf{r}_f - \mathbf{r}|)$ produces a net refraction and possible change in range of the focus, as illustrated in **Figure 7a** where 701 illustrates a transducer array at the skin 702. The focus delays of the transmit beam is set for focusing at $\mathbf{r}_f$ (703) using the assumption of a homogeneous tissue. A wedge shaped fat layer 704 in the body wall gives a prism like refraction of the focus due to its varying thickness and also modifies the focal distance due to the curvature of the layer surface. These effects move the center of gravity of the transmit beam to $\mathbf{r}_c$ at 705. The directional unit vectors $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}_f - \mathbf{r}}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}_c - \mathbf{r}}$ from a receiver point $\mathbf{r}$ at 706 on the transducer surface to $\mathbf{r}_f$ and $\mathbf{r}_c$ are now found as 707 and 708.

[0070] With a homogeneous scatterer distribution the center of gravity of $\sigma^2(\mathbf{r}_1; \omega)$ over V_f is now found at $\mathbf{r}_c$. With a heterogeneous scatterer distribution, for example a scatterer distribution that contains a strong scatterer shown as 709 in **Figure 7b** at a distance from the predicted beam axis 711, the center of gravity of $\sigma^2(\mathbf{r}_1; \omega)$ over V_f is further moved to $\mathbf{r}_c$ at 710.

[0071] Hence, deviation of $\mathbf{r}_c$ from $\mathbf{r}_f$ can be produced both by a prism like effect of the body wall and by strong scatterers off the beam axis. The last component in θ_s will therefore produce a direction refraction and modify the focusing of the uncompensated beam, but will not increase the beam width and sidelobes. Such a component hence produces a distortion of the uncompensated image. To further analyze this term, we make the following approximation

$$k(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}_c - \mathbf{r}} - \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}_f - \mathbf{r}}) \approx k(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}_c} - \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}_f}) = \frac{\int d^2 \mathbf{r}_{1\perp} (\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}_1} - \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}_f}) |H_{et}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_f; \omega)|^2}{\int d^2 \mathbf{r}_{1\perp} |H_{et}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_f; \omega)|^2} \quad (42)$$

where $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}_c}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}_f}$ are the unit vectors pointing from the center of the transducer array onto $\mathbf{r}_c$ and $\mathbf{r}_f$, respectively. Inserting Eq.(8) for H_{et} , we get

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{\int d^2 \mathbf{r}_{1\perp} (\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}_1} - \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}_f}) \int d^2 \mathbf{r}_a d^2 \mathbf{r}_b e^{ik(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}_1} - \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}_f})(\mathbf{r}_b - \mathbf{r}_a)} s^*(\mathbf{r}_a, \mathbf{r}_f; \omega) s(\mathbf{r}_b, \mathbf{r}_f; \omega)}{\int d^2 \mathbf{r}_{1\perp} \int d^2 \mathbf{r}_a d^2 \mathbf{r}_b e^{ik(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}_1} - \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}_f})(\mathbf{r}_b - \mathbf{r}_a)} s^*(\mathbf{r}_a, \mathbf{r}_f; \omega) s(\mathbf{r}_b, \mathbf{r}_f; \omega)} \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

[0072] We notice that the integration over $\mathbf{r}_1$ gives $i4^{2\gamma} \delta(\mathbf{r}_a - \mathbf{r}_b)$ in the numerator and $4^{2\gamma} \delta(\mathbf{r}_a - \mathbf{r}_b)$ in the denominator, which gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& i \int d^2 r_a s^*(r_a, r_f; \omega) \nabla_{ra} s(r_a, r_f; \omega) \\
& = \frac{S_t}{\int d^2 r_a |s(r_a, r_f; \omega)|^2}
\end{aligned}
\tag{44}$$

[0073] Inserting ∇ s from Eq.(28) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& = - \frac{S_t}{\int d^2 r_a |s(r_a, r_f; \omega)|^2} + i \frac{S_t}{2 \int d^2 r_a |s(r_a, r_f; \omega)|^2} \\
& \quad \int d^2 r_a \nabla_{ra} \theta_s(r_a, r_f; \omega) |s(r_a, r_f; \omega)|^2
\end{aligned}
\tag{45}$$

[0074] The numerator of the last term can be expanded by Gauss theorem as

$$\int_{S_t} d^2 r_a \nabla_{ra} |s(r_a, r_f; \omega)|^2 = \oint_{\Gamma_t} dr_a n |s(r_a, r_f; \omega)|^2 = 0
\tag{46}$$

where the last integral is taken along the boundary of S_t , and we have assumed that the integration over S_t is enlarged an ε -area outside the transducer where the excitation and hence s is zero. Going back to the starting equation, Eq. (42), we now see that

$$k(e_{rc-r} - e_{rf-r}) \approx - \frac{S_t}{\int d^2 r_a |s(r_a, r_f; \omega)|^2} \int d^2 r_a \nabla_{ra} \theta_s(r_a, r_f; \omega) |s(r_a, r_f; \omega)|^2
\tag{47}$$

[0075] Inserting Eq.(40) we see that

$$\frac{S_t}{\int d^2 r_a |s(r_a, r_f; \omega)|^2} \int d^2 r_a \nabla_{ra} \theta_R(r, \theta; \omega) |s(r_a, r_f; \omega)|^2 = 0
\tag{48}$$

[0076] We do not in general know r_c , and the last term in Eqs.(40,41) is hence unknown. The measurement gives

$\nabla_{\xi}\theta_R$, and to compensate for beam widening and increase in side lobes by the screen, we use an estimate of θ_s based on the first term in Eqs.(40,41). As the measurement is subject to noise, we subtract the DC component over $r_{\perp}$ of $\nabla_{\xi}\theta_R$, and use the following estimate for $\nabla_r\theta_s$

$$\nabla_r\hat{\theta}_s(r,r_f;\theta) = \nabla_{\xi}\hat{\theta}_R(r,\underline{0};\omega) \quad (49)$$

where the hat for θ_R indicates that any DC-bias has been removed as described. The expression can be integrated to

$$\hat{\theta}_s(r,r_f;\omega) = \int_0^{x_1} d\rho_1 \nabla_{\xi_1} \hat{\theta}_R(\rho,\underline{0};\omega) = \int_0^{x_2} d\rho_2 \nabla_{\xi_2} \hat{\theta}_R(\rho,\underline{0};\omega) \quad (50)$$

[0077] This phase estimate will not correct for refraction and focusing offset due to a plane/spherical component with r_c, r_f in θ_s , and would hence produce the same geometric distortion of the ultrasound image as the uncorrected image, but with improved resolution and less acoustic noise. The effect of strong scatterers off the beam axis can for example be compensated for by the method given in Eq.(59) or by omission of the lower order terms in the Fourier series as discussed in relation to Eq.(95). However, the beam refraction due to prism like effects of the body wall can only be compensated for if we have a point scatterer in r_f . This beam refraction is analogous to a prism/lens in an optical system. It is not possible to remove this displacement without using point sources at known locations or apriori knowledge of the refracting properties of the prism/lens.

[0078] Any DC-offset of $\nabla\theta_R$ could also be removed after the integration in Eq.(41) by subtracting a plane component from the integral, i.e. we first estimate an unmodified θ_s for example as

$$\theta_s(r,r_f;\omega) = \int_0^{x_1} d\rho_1 \nabla_{\xi_1} \theta_R(\rho,\underline{0};\omega) = \int_0^{x_2} d\rho_2 \nabla_{\xi_2} \theta_R(\rho,\underline{0};\omega) \quad (51)$$

and then modify the estimate by subtracting a plane component as

$$\hat{\theta}_s(r,r_f;\omega) = \theta_s(r,r_f;\omega) - k_1 x_1 - k_2 x_2 \quad (52)$$

where k_1 and k_2 for example can be determined by minimizing the following functional

$$J(k_1, k_2) = \int_{S_t} d^2 r |s(r, r_f; \omega)|^2 (\theta_s(r, r_f; \omega) - k_1 x_1 - k_2 x_2)^2 \quad (53)$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 k_1 &= \frac{A_{22}B_1 - A_{12}B_2}{A_{11}A_{22} - A_{12}^2} & A_{ij} &= \int_{S_i} d^2r \, x_i x_j |s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega)|^2 \\
 k_2 &= \frac{A_{11}B_2 - A_{12}B_1}{A_{11}A_{22} - A_{12}^2} & B_i &= \int_{S_i} d^2r \, x_i |s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega)|^2 \theta_s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{54}$$

[0079] This method would also be useful where θ_s has been estimated with other methods than that described above, for example using the maximum likelihood method or the reference signal method described below.

[0080] The uncorrected transmit beam will have increased width of the mainlobe and high sidelobes. The approximation in Eq.(14a) that $s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_1; \omega) \approx s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega)$ can hence be less good, which will produce phase estimates of s that is an average over $\underline{r}_1$. The use of the 2nd harmonic component of the transmit pulse for the imaging, will give a transmit beam that is less sensitive to phase aberrations, as described in the introduction. However, further improvements of the 2nd harmonic transmit beam can be obtained by correcting for phase aberrations and pulse reverberations in the transmit beamformer. Corrections in the receiver beamformer for 2nd harmonic imaging, give similar improvements of the receiver beam as with first harmonic imaging.

[0081] One hence can use an iterative scheme where a first estimate of the phase-amplitude screen, $s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega)$, is obtained with an uncorrected transmit beam, and this first estimate of $s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega)$ is used for correction of a second transmit pulse according to Eq.(3) which gives a second set of received element signals that is used for a second estimate of $s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega)$, and so on. This iterative estimation will then reduce the aberrations in the transmit beam, and hence improve the approximation in Eq.(14a) that $s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_1; \omega) \approx s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega)$. As the transmit beam gets narrower, the effect of strong off axis scatterers are reduced. However, if the effect of such strong scatterers are not removed in the first estimate, for example using the methods in Eq.(59) and discussed in relation to Eq.(95), they will refract the corrected transmit beam and hence produce a refraction in the end estimate.

[0082] As stated in relation to Eq.(4), the ensemble averaging above presents practical problems with measurements, where we for a defined image of the tissue are observing a single outcome of the scattering distribution. A first approximation is to substitute ensemble averaging of signal parameters with spatial averaging over several depths along a fixed beam direction, with possible additional averaging of the signal parameters over neighboring beam directions.

[0083] There are two problems with this averaging method:

- i) Reverberations between structures inside the body wall, and between these structures and the transducer, will produce additive reverberation noise $n_f(\underline{r}; \omega)$ to the measurement $y_f(\underline{r}; \omega)$, i.e.

$$Z_f(\underline{r}; \omega) = y_f(\underline{r}; \omega) + n_f(\underline{r}; \omega) \tag{55}$$

This noise will corroborate the estimates of the correlation functions for y_f , and must hence be removed or substantially suppressed before the correlation estimation can bring useful results.*

- ii) Variations of the phase aberrations and reverberations with scatterer position limits the size of the averaging region which leaves fundamental variance noise in the estimates of the correlation functions.*

[0084] For the problem i) we note that 2nd harmonic imaging reduces the acoustic noise from body-wall reverberations, albeit a fair amount of remnant acoustic noise exists. Using the signal from moving or time varying scatterers, further reduction of the acoustic noise can according to the patent be obtained by high-pass filtering of the received element signals from moving or time varying scatterers along the time coordinate of multiple transmit pulses with the same focusing and amplitude. The acoustic noise from reverberations between structures in the body wall, and between the transducer and such structures, are so stationary in time that this high-pass filtering gives strong attenuation of this noise, both for the 1st and 2nd harmonic image. Typical moving scatterers that can be used, are a moving artery wall, the moving myocardium, the moving blood or other body fluids, and ultrasound contrast agent contained in these materials. Time variable scatterers that are not necessarily moving, can be obtained by using so high incident pulses on contrast agent bubbles in the tissue, producing destruction of at least some of the contrast agent bubbles within the beam, that combined with the inflow of new contrast agent bubbles in the blood perfusion of the tissue, gives a contrast agent scattering distribution that changes between transmit pulses.

[0085] Let $\{z_{fk}(\underline{r}; \omega), k = 1, \dots, K\}$ be the received signal sequence from a sequence of transmitted pulses, where the

subscript k denotes the transmit pulse number. An estimate of the body wall reverberation noise can then be obtained as

$$\hat{n}_f(\underline{r};\omega) = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K z_{fk}(\underline{r};\omega) \quad (56)$$

which gives an estimate of the received signal as

$$\hat{y}_{fk}(\underline{r};\omega) = z_{fk}(\underline{r};\omega) - \hat{n}_f(\underline{r};\omega) \quad (57)$$

[0086] Such filtering will also remove the signal from stationary scatterers within V_f , so that we are left with the signal from the scatterers that are exchanged between transmit pulses, to be used in the ensemble averaging for example in Eqs.(4, 12, 15, 26, 27). An alternative estimate is to filter z_{fk} as a function of k with a non-causal high-pass filter, which gives

$$\hat{y}_{fk}(\underline{r};\omega) = H_{HP,k}(z_{fk}(\underline{r};\omega)) \quad (58)$$

[0087] V_f is bounded by the transmit beam, and is hence not strictly bounded in space due to the sidelobes of the transmit beam. Hence, strong and moving scatterers in the sidelobes of the transmit beam can provide interfering signals. These signals have larger angle of inclination to the transducer than the signals within the main lobe, and can hence be attenuated by lowpass filtering $y_{fk}(\underline{r};\omega)$ along the $\underline{r}$ -coordinate, so that the final filtering of the received signal before correlation analysis is

$$\hat{y}_{fk}(\underline{r};\omega) = H_{LP,r}\{H_{HP,k}(z_{fk}(\underline{r};\omega))\} \quad (59)$$

[0088] The bandwidth of this lowpass filter is the same as the bandwidth of $y_f(\underline{r};\omega)$ in the $\underline{r}$ -coordinates.

[0089] For problem ii) the present patent presents a method to reduce the estimate variances by utilizing the signal from moving or time varying scatterers. The basis for this is that the body wall aberrations and reverberations are comparably stationary in time, so that one can use many transmit pulses for the estimates of the correction filters. With sufficient time lag between consecutive transmit pulses, convection or time variation of the scatterers changes the scatterers in the actual region between transmit pulses. Additional averaging in the statistical analysis can then be done over the received element signals from many transmit pulses with different scatterers. This allows one too choose a spatial range of the signal used in the estimation that is small, minimizing the variation of $s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_1; \omega)$ with scatterer position $\underline{r}_1$ so that the approximation $s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_1; \omega) \approx s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega)$ is best possible.

[0090] With signal processing in the temporal domain, as described below, one can even use signal ranges down to a fixed, single depth sample for the calculation of the statistical parameters of the element signals. This only requires that the phase aberrations and reverberations are practically independent of scatterer position over the range cell, which is determined laterally by the width of the transmitted beam, and radially by the length of the transmitted pulse. This method hence sets the minimal requirement for invariance of the phase aberrations and reverberations with scatterer position.

[0091] As the correlation estimates must be done by averaging over a limited spatial region and a limited number K of transmit pulse measurements, we will have errors in the correlation estimates. These errors will have a correlation length in the displacement coordinate ξ , and obtaining the phase by integration of the gradient of the phase of the screen as above, will produce cumulative errors in the phase estimate.

[0092] **Figure 8** shows a block diagram of a hierarchical method to integrate the $\nabla\theta_R$, which reduces the cumulative errors compared to using Eq.(50). The amplitude of the screen, is first estimated according to Eqs.(25,27), and the amplitude of all array element signals are multiplied with $a_s(\underline{r};\omega)$ for amplitude correction according to Eq.(3). The phase gradient is then estimated according to Eq.(40,49) and integrated for subgroups of neighboring element signals, where

the Figure shows in detail one such subgroup 801 which takes in four element signals 802-805 where the estimated phase gradient is integrated and used for phase correction of the element signals in blocks 806 - 809 and summed in block 810, that is part of a first level of correction for a set of subgroups of all element signals. The Figure shows the summation blocks 811-813 for three additional subgroups at the first level, where for each higher level subgroup the phase gradient between the new element signals is estimated and integrated within each new subgroup, the new element signals being further corrected in blocks 814-817 and summed in block 818, that is part of a second level of correction of second level subgroups of the element signals. This sum together with corrected sums from other subgroups of the 2nd level is then grouped into new, 3rd level subgroups where the phase gradient estimation and integration together with element signal correction and summation are done as done in the 1st and 2nd level subgroups, allowing a correction for this sum in the unit 819. This level grouping is then repeated until all element signals are combined into a single, corrected beam signal.

[0093] As the phase gradient is integrated only over a limited number of neighboring elements, the integration drift is kept at a minimum.

[0094] Other methods to estimate the phase screen are also useful, and we shall here present a method using the maximum likelihood in the Fourier domain, and a method using a reference signal for the correlation

[0095] For the maximum likelihood method we let m and n label the element signals used for the correction estimation. We assume that possible combination of signals from neighboring elements which are small compared to the correlation length of the body wall disturbances across the array, can be done prior to the estimation, so that the element signals used for the estimation can be different from the array element signals. k labels the signal segments used for averaging, which can be selected as depth ranges for each transmit pulse over several transmit pulses for the same beam direction. Addition of signals from several neighboring beam directions can also possibly be done. We then define a measurement vectors

$$\underline{y}_k = \{y_{kn}\} = \{y_{fk}(r_n, r_f; \omega)\} = \{s(r_n, r_f; \omega) f_k(r_n, r_f; \omega)\} = \underline{f}_k S \quad k=1, \dots, K$$

where we in the vector and matrix notations have omitted ω for simplicity, defining

$$\underline{f}_k = \{f_{kn}\} = \{f_k(r_n, r_f; \omega)\} \quad S = \{S_{mn}\} = \{s(r_n, r_f; \omega) \delta_{mn}\} \quad (60)$$

[0096] We also use the compact notation

$$\underline{y}_K = \{\underline{y}_k, k=1, \dots, K\}$$

[0097] The conditional probability of obtaining the measurement $\underline{y}_K$, given the phase screen matrix S, is then

$$\begin{aligned} p(\underline{y}_K | S) &= \prod_k p(\underline{y}_k | S) = \left(\frac{1}{\pi^{N|Y|}} \right)^K \exp \left\{ - \sum_k \underline{y}_k Y^{-1} \underline{y}_k^* \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^{NK} |S|^2 K |F|^K} \exp \left\{ - \sum_k \underline{y}_k S^{-1} F^{-1} (S^*)^{-1} \underline{y}_k^* \right\} = \frac{1}{\pi^{NK} |S|^2 K |F|^K} \exp \left\{ - \sum_k (\underline{y}_k S^{-1}) F^{-1} (\underline{y}_k S^{-1})^* \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

$$\langle \underline{y}_k^* \underline{y}_l \rangle = Y \delta_{kl} \quad Y = \{Y_{mn}\} = \{ \langle y_{km}^* y_{kn} \rangle \} = S^* \langle \underline{f}_k^* \underline{f}_k \rangle S = S^* F S$$

$$\langle f_k^* f_l \rangle = F \delta_{kl} \quad F = \{F_{mn}\} = \left\{ \langle f_{km}^* f_{kn} \rangle \right\}$$

5

[0098] We now determine the S that maximizes the $p(\underline{y}_K|S)$. This is equivalent to maximizing the likelihood function

10

$$L(\underline{S}|\underline{y}_K) = -\ln \pi^{NK} p(\underline{y}_K|S) = \sum_k (\underline{y}_k S^{-1})^T F^{-1} (\underline{y}_k S^{-1})^* + 2K \ln|S| + K \ln|F|$$

15

$$= \sum_{k,m,n} (F^{-1})_{mn} \frac{y_{km} y_{kn}^*}{s_m s_n} + 2K \ln \prod_m |s_m| + K \ln|F|$$

(62)

20

with respect to a_{sn} , and θ_{sn} . We now assume that a_{sn} is determined from the formula in Eqs.(25,27), and we set out to maximize L with respect to θ_{sn} . We then write

25

$$L(\underline{S}|\underline{y}_K) = \sum_{m,n} (F^{-1})_{mn} e^{i(\theta_{sm} - \theta_{sn})} \sum_k \hat{y}_{km} \hat{y}_{kn}^* + 2K \ln \prod_m a_{sm} + K \ln|F| \quad \hat{y}_{km} = y_{km}/a_{sm}$$

(63)

30

[0099] Differentiating L with respect to θ_{sp} gives

35

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_{sp}} = i e^{i\theta_{sp}} \sum_m (F^{-1})_{mp} e^{-i\theta_{sm}} R_{mp} - i e^{-i\theta_{sp}} \sum_n (F^{-1})_{pn} e^{i\theta_{sn}} R_{pn} = 0$$

(64)

40

where R_{mn} is the correlation function estimate of the element signals at array points m and n , estimated for the K averaging elements. Eq.(64) can be written in compact form as

45

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_{sp}} = 2 \operatorname{Im} \left\{ e^{-i\theta_{sp}} \sum_n (F^{-1})_{pn} e^{i\theta_{sn}} R_{pn} \right\} = 0$$

50

(65)

[0100] The expression in the brackets hence must be real, which requires that

55

$$\theta_{sp} = \text{Phase} \left\{ \sum_n \left(F^{-1} \right)_{pn} e^{i\theta_{sn}} R_{pn} \right\}$$

(66)

[0101] This is a non-linear equation, which can be solved with several numerical iteration schemes, for example as

$$\theta_{sp,q+1} = \theta_{sp,q} + \mu \left(\text{Phase} \left\{ \sum_n \left(F^{-1} \right)_{pn} e^{i\theta_{sn,q}} R_{pn} \right\} - \theta_{sp,q} \right)$$

(67)

where q labels the iteration step. A starting value for the iteration can be $\theta_{sp,0}=0$, or we can use the result of integration of the nearest neighbor correlation from Eq.(50). The parameter μ is then selected for adequate convergence of the iteration scheme.

[0102] A **reference signal** can for example be obtained as the full sum of all the receive element signals, referred to as the **beam sum reference**, or as a sum of subgroups of the receive element signals, referred to as a **subgroup reference**. The subgroup reference is for example useful with hierarchical methods as described in Figure 8, where each subgroup can use a subgroup reference formed as the sum of the signals in the subgroup.

[0103] An advantage with the use of the reference signal is that the screen amplitude and phase can for each receiver channel be determined by correlation between the element signal for this channel and the reference signal, hence avoiding the integration in Eq.(50) with its drift problems.

[0104] A reference signal of the type described, can then be expressed as

$$r_{fk}(\omega) = \int_{S_t} d^2 r_a w(r_a; \omega) y_{fk}(r_a; \omega) = P(\omega) \int_{S_t} d^2 r_a w(r_a; \omega) s(r_a, r_f; \omega) \int_{V_f} d^3 r_l g_f(r_a, r_l; \omega) \sigma_k(r_l; \omega)$$

$$w(r; \omega) = \begin{cases} \text{apodization weight} & \text{for } r \in S_w \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

(68)

where the label k indicates transmit pulse number and S_w defines the subgroup of elements that contributes to the reference signal, which can be a single element signal, a subgroup of element signals, or all element signals which gives the beam sum. $w(r; \omega)$ is allowed to have a frequency variation which means that it can implement aberration corrections. With iterative estimation of s, as described above, one can use $w(r; \omega) = S_q^*(r, r_f; \omega)$, where q denotes the estimate of s at iteration step no. q, to correct for the aberrations in the reference signal. As a function of r it is a weighting function which can be set to unity for equal weight, or can be used as an apodization function over the subgroup.

[0105] The correlation between the reference signal and an element signal is then

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle r_f^*(\omega) y_f(\mathbf{r}; \omega) \rangle &= s(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_f; \omega) |P(\omega)|^2 \int_{S_t} d^2 r_a w(\mathbf{r}_a; \omega) s^*(\mathbf{r}_a, \mathbf{r}_f; \omega) \\
&\times \int_{V_f \times V_f} d^3 r_1 d^3 r_2 g_f^*(\mathbf{r}_a, \mathbf{r}_1; \omega) g_f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_1; \omega) \langle \sigma^*(\mathbf{r}_1; \omega) \sigma(\mathbf{r}_2; \omega) \rangle
\end{aligned}
\tag{69}$$

where the label k is omitted since we are calculating ensemble averages. Inserting the δ -correlation of σ from Eq.(12) then gives

$$\langle r_f^*(\omega) y_f(\mathbf{r}; \omega) \rangle = s(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_f; \omega) \int_{S_t} d^2 r_a w(\mathbf{r}_a; \omega) s^*(\mathbf{r}_a, \mathbf{r}_f; \omega) R_f(\mathbf{r}_a, \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_a; \omega)
\tag{70}$$

$$R_f(\mathbf{r}_a, \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_a; \omega) = |P(\omega)|^2 \int_{V_f} d^3 r_1 g_f^*(\mathbf{r}_a, \mathbf{r}_1; \omega) g_f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_1; \omega) \sigma_\sigma^2(\mathbf{r}_1; \omega)$$

Inserting the expression for R_f from Eq.(16b) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle r_f^*(\omega) y_f(\mathbf{r}; \omega) \rangle &\approx s(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_f; \omega) |P(\omega)|^2 \int_{S_t} d^2 r_a w(\mathbf{r}_a; \omega) s^*(\mathbf{r}_a, \mathbf{r}_f; \omega) \int_{V_f} d^3 r_1 e^{-ik(\mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_f) \cdot (\mathbf{r}_a - \mathbf{r})} \sigma_\sigma^2(\mathbf{r}_1; \omega) \\
&= s(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_f; \omega) |P(\omega)|^2 \int_{V_f} d^3 r_1 e^{ik(\mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_f) \cdot \mathbf{r}} \sigma_\sigma^2(\mathbf{r}_1; \omega) \int_{S_t} d^2 r_a e^{-ik(\mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_f) \cdot \mathbf{r}_a} w(\mathbf{r}_a; \omega) s^*(\mathbf{r}_a, \mathbf{r}_f; \omega)
\end{aligned}
\tag{71}$$

[0106] Comparing with Eq.(8) we see that the last integral in Eq.(71) represents the complex conjugate of the focal beam profile of a transducer composed of the elements of S_w , which we denote H_{wt}^* . This allows us to write

$$\langle r_{fk}^*(\omega) y_{fk}(\mathbf{r}; \omega) \rangle = s(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_f; \omega) VZ(\mathbf{r})$$

$$VZ(\mathbf{r}) = |P(\omega)|^2 \int_{V_f} d^3 r_1 e^{ik(\mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_f) \cdot \mathbf{r}} H_{wt}^*(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_f; \omega) \sigma_\sigma^2(\mathbf{r}_1; \omega)
\tag{72}$$

[0107] Inserting Eqs.(12,9), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
VZ(r) &= k^4 \sigma_v^2 |P(\omega)|^2 \int_{V_f} d^3 r_l e^{ik(\epsilon_l - \epsilon_f) r} H_{wt}^*(r_l, r_f; \omega) H_{et}(r_l, r_f; \omega) |F(r_l - r_f; \omega)|^2 \\
&= k^4 \sigma_v^2 |P(\omega)|^2 A^2 \left\{ \int_{S_f} d^2 r_{ll} e^{-ik(\epsilon_l - \epsilon_f) r} H_{wt}(r_l, r_f; \omega) H_{et}(r_l, r_f; \omega) \right\}^*
\end{aligned}
\tag{73}$$

[0108] We note that the integral has the form of an inverse Fourier transform in relation to Eq.(8), and further evaluation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
VZ(r) &= k^4 \sigma_v^2 |P(\omega)|^2 B^2 \left\{ \int_{S_t \times S_l} d^2 r_a d^2 r_b s^*(r_a - r, r_f; \omega) s(r_a - r_b, r_f; \omega) w^*(r_b; \omega) s(r_b, r_f; \omega) \right\}^* \\
&= k^4 \sigma_v^2 |P(\omega)|^2 B^2 \int_{S_t \times S_l} d^2 r_a d^2 r_b s(r_a - r, r_f; \omega) s^*(r_a - r_b, r_f; \omega) w(r_b; \omega) s^*(r_b, r_f; \omega)
\end{aligned}
\tag{74}$$

[0109] We hence see that the phase of the reference signal correlation is the phase of s plus the phase of s convolved three times with itself with intermittent complex conjugation, weighted with $w(r_b; \omega)$. This last convolution has in general a phase, so that the phase of the reference signal correlation is different from θ_s .

[0110] However, an interesting scheme is to use an iterative procedure where the transmit beam is corrected with each new phase estimate of θ_s . When such a procedure converges, the phase of $VZ(r)$ will be minimized, and the reference signal correlation will give close to the correct phase estimate of s .

[0111] In the above calculations, we have used the Fourier transform of the received signal as a basis for the correlation analysis. The estimation then produces the phase amplitude screen over the complete range of frequencies in the received signal, i.e. both the 1st and the 2nd harmonic component of the transmitted frequency band for the tissue signal, and for the contrast agent signal in addition also sub, 3rd, and 4th harmonic bands. Using a correction as in Eq.(3), one will hence in principle correct for the 1st harmonic band in the transmit beamformer, while in the receive beamformer Eq.(3) will correct for harmonic components of the transmit band. However, the acoustic noise is lower and the transmit beam is less inflicted by the phase aberrations for the harmonic band of frequencies, compared to the first harmonic band. The estimates of screen amplitude and phase are hence more robust in the harmonic bands.

[0112] Since the received signal contains noise, we will in practice only be able to estimate the screen for frequencies where the received signal has adequate power above the noise level. Eqs.(25,27) for estimation of the screen amplitude is then conveniently modified to limit the estimated amplitude for frequencies with low signal power as

$$s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega)_W = \langle y_f(\underline{r}; \omega) \rangle \sqrt{\frac{\pi/2}{R(\omega) + \max_{\omega} R(\omega)/SN}}$$

$$R(\omega) = k^4 \sigma_0^2 |P(\omega)|^2 A^2 \int_{S_1} d^2 r \langle |y_f(\underline{r}; \omega)|^2 \rangle$$

(75)

where SN is a signal to noise ratio parameter and the subscript W indicates that the limitation is an approximation to a Wiener-type filter. This formula has a problem that the errors in the estimates produces ringing of the filter impulse response, which propagates through Eq.(3) into the corrected signals. Less ringing is obtained with a

$$s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega)_M = \frac{\langle y_f(\underline{r}; \omega) \rangle}{\max_{\omega} \int_{S_1} d^2 r \langle |y_f(\underline{r}; \omega)|^2 \rangle}$$

Matched filter type of approximation denoted with the subscript M as

(76)

[0113] The region in ω where $|s|$ is substantially larger than the noise, then defines frequency bands where estimation of the phase of s can be attempted, and the correction filter amplitude is set softly to zero at the edges of the bands.

[0114] One should note that through inverse Fourier transform, the calculations can be done directly on the time varying received element signals according to well known transformations. In this respect, we note from Eq.(14) that the received, steering and focusing compensated signal can be written as

$$y_f(\underline{r}; t) = s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; t) \otimes f(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; t) = \int_t d\tau s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \tau) f(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; t - \tau)$$

(77)

where $f(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; t)$ is the signal that would have been received without phase aberrations. The calculations in the time domain become particularly simple when the phase-amplitude screen can be approximated by a pure delay and amplitude distortion as

$$s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega) = a_s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f) e^{-i\omega \tau_s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f)}$$

(78)

[0115] The inverse Fourier transform of s is then

$$s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; t) = a_s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f) \delta\{t - \tau_s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f)\}$$

(79)

[0116] We note that the impulse response of the compensation filter in Eq.(3) is with this approximation

$$h_{tr}(r, r_f; t) = F^{-1} \{H_{tr}(r, r_f; \omega)\} = a_s(r, r_f) \delta\{t + \tau_s(r, r_f)\} \quad (80)$$

[0117] From Eq.(77) we see that we get the following form of the received signal in the temporal domain

$$y_f(r; t) = a_s(r, r_f) f(r, r_f; t - \tau_s(r, r_f)) \quad (81)$$

The correlation function of the time signal y_f then takes the form

$$R_{yf}(r, \xi; \tau) = \langle y_f(r; t) y_f(r + \xi; t + \tau) \rangle = a_s(r, r_f) a_s(r + \xi, r_f) R_f(r, \xi; \tau + \tau_s(r, r_f) - \tau_s(r + \xi, r_f))$$

$$R_f(r, \xi; \tau) = \langle f(r, r_f; t) f(r + \xi, r_f; t + \tau) \rangle \quad (82)$$

[0118] The amplitude can then be estimated from a frequency independent form of Eq.(22) as

$$a_s(r, r_f) = \sqrt{\frac{R_{yf}(r, 0; 0)}{R_f(r, 0; 0)}} \quad (83)$$

[0119] Using the result in Eq.(26) for Gaussian variables, we can use the modified expression

$$a_s(r, r_f) = \frac{\langle y_f(r; t) \rangle}{\int_{S_1} d^2 r \langle |y_f(r; t)| \rangle} \quad (84)$$

To determine the delay correction τ_s we can make use of the Hilbert transform of y_f

$$y_{fh}(r; t) = a_s(r, r_f) f_h(r, r_f; t - \tau_s(r, r_f)) \quad (85)$$

where f_h is the Hilbert transform of f . The cross correlation function between y_f and y_{fh} is

$$R_{yh}(\underline{r}, \underline{\xi}; \tau) = \langle y_f(\underline{r}; t) y_h(\underline{r} + \underline{\xi}; t + \tau) \rangle = a_s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f) a_s(\underline{r} + \underline{\xi}, \underline{r}_f) R_h(\underline{r}, \underline{\xi}; \tau + \tau_s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f) - \tau_s(\underline{r} + \underline{\xi}, \underline{r}_f))$$

5

$$R_h(\underline{r}, \underline{\xi}; \tau) = \langle f(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; t) f_h(\underline{r} + \underline{\xi}, \underline{r}_f; t + \tau) \rangle \quad (86)$$

10

[0120] For small $\underline{\xi}$ we have $R_h(\underline{r}, \underline{\xi}; 0) \approx 0$. Hence, finding the τ_0 that gives $R_{yh} = 0$ gives

$$\tau_s(\underline{r} + \underline{\xi}, \underline{r}_f) - \tau_s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f) = \tau_0 \quad (87)$$

15

[0121] The delays can hence be estimated based on the time functions of the element signals through correlation methods that are well developed.

[0122] The delay approximations in Eqs.(78-82) are often found only in a limited band of frequencies, which requires that the received element signals are bandpass filtered to exclude noise, and other harmonic bands in the received signal. Especially if one wants to analyze a harmonic band of the received signal, one must bandpass filter the signals for this band to exclude the other harmonic components and noise. We note that this bears resemblance to the Fourier component analysis above, where the Fourier transform actually is a set of bandpass filters for each Fourier frequency component

[0123] By adequate band limiting the received element signals around a center frequency f_c , which can be the transmitted center frequency $f_c = f_0$ or the 2nd harmonic of the transmitted center frequency $f_c = 2f_0$, one can for example calculate τ_0 and hence the spatial gradient of the delay between neighboring element signals as

$$\tau_0 \approx \frac{1}{2\pi f_c} \tan^{-1} \frac{R_{yh}(\underline{r}, \underline{\xi}; 0)}{R_{yf}(\underline{r}, \underline{\xi}; 0)} \quad (88)$$

30

where $\underline{\xi}$ gives the vector distance between neighboring transducer elements, and $\underline{r}$ gives the position of the transducer elements as above. For such band limited signals the Hilbert transform can be approximated by a delay of the signal of $1/4f_c$, so that we get

35

$$\tau_s(\underline{r} + \underline{\xi}, \underline{r}_f) - \tau_s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f) \approx \frac{1}{2\pi f_c} \tan^{-1} \frac{\langle y_f(\underline{r} + \underline{\xi}; t + 1/4f_c) y_f(\underline{r}; t) \rangle}{\langle y_f(\underline{r} + \underline{\xi}; t) y_f(\underline{r}; t) \rangle} \quad (89)$$

40

[0124] In a correlation process, it is sufficient to filter only one of the signals, as the filter also applies to the other signal in the correlation process. This is specially interesting for correlation with a reference signal as in Eqs.(68-74), as one then can band-pass filter and possibly Hilbert transform only the reference signal, as opposed to also filtering the much larger number of element signals. More specifically we get the reference signal of Eq.(68) in the temporal domain as

50

$$r_f(t) = \int_{S_t} d^2 \underline{r}_a w(\underline{r}_a) y_f(\underline{r}_a; t) = \int_{S_t} d^2 \underline{r}_a d\tau w(\underline{r}_a) s(\underline{r}_a, \underline{r}_f; \tau) f(\underline{r}_a, \underline{r}_f; t - \tau)$$

55

$$(90)$$

where we for simplicity have neglected possible ω dependence of w in Eq.(68). Such ω variation of w could be included in this analysis also by an added convolution in time of the above expression. With the insertion of Eq.(79) we get with pure amplitude-delay screen

$$r_f(t) = \int_{S_t} d^2 r_a d\tau w(r_a) a_s(r_a, r_f) f(r_a, r_f; t - \tau_s(r_a, r_f)) \quad (91)$$

Hilbert transforming and band-pass filtering this signal, for example to select a harmonic band, allows one to calculate the following correlation function

$$\begin{aligned} R_{mrhy}(r; \tau) &= \langle r_{mrh}(t) y_f(r; t + \tau) \rangle \\ &= a_s(r, r_f) \int_{S_t} d^2 r_a d\tau w(r_a) a_s(r_a, r_f) R_{mfh}(r_a, r - r_a; \tau + \tau_s(r_a, r_f) - \tau_s(r, r_f)) \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

where the subscripts mrh and mfh indicate bandpass filtering around the mth harmonic band and Hilbert transform, i.e.

$$R_{mfh}(r_a, r - r_a; \tau) = \langle f(r_a, r_f; t) f_{mh}(r, r_f; t + \tau) \rangle \quad (93)$$

where the subscript mh indicates bandpass filtering around the mth harmonic band and Hilbert transform.

[0125] In many practical situations one find that

$$R_{mrhy}(r; \tau_0) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tau_0 \approx \tau_s(r, r_f) \quad (94)$$

[0126] This is specially true with iterated estimation schemes where the subgroup weighting function is extended to a filter $w(r) \sim w_q(r, \tau)$ which applies the estimated corrections according to Eq.(80) for each step q .

[0127] The method of reference signal correlation hence leaves itself for simple processing both for harmonic filtering of any order, Hilbert transforms, etc., as this filtering can be done on the reference signal only, and not necessarily on all the element signals. This could also be formulated that the element signals contains unfiltered components that are uncorrelated to the filtered signals, these components hence being attenuated in the correlation process. Hence, reducing the sensitivity to stationary reverberations could be done only on the reference signal by harmonic band pass filtering or high pass filtering along the transmitted pulse number coordinate. However, in a practical correlation processes with averaging over limited number of samples, one will have rests of these signal components as variance in the final estimate depending on the total number of samples available for averaging. The variance can hence be reduced by filtering both signals before the correlation process.

[0128] We also note that the formation of the reference signal produces a spatial lowpass filtering of the element signals along the array element coordinate, which reduces the effect of strong off-axis scatterers according to Eq.(59).

[0129] To reduce the estimation variance in the averaging, it is still convenient to use additional averaging over the received signals from moving or time varying scatterers for many consecutive transmit pulses, so spaced apart in time that the scatterers are exchanged for each transmit pulse.

[0130] The estimation of the amplitude corrections are based on simple expressions as in Eqs.(22, 25, 27, 75, 83, 84). The largest amount of processing goes into estimation of the phase of s , or the approximate delays of s , and these estimates are also subject to the largest estimation error. The spatial correlation length of the phase of s across the transducer surface, has in most situations a lower limit, which can be used to improve the variance and robustness of the estimates of both a_s and θ_s . For a_s the limited correlation length along the transducer surface allows a spatial

lowpass filtering of the estimate of a_s across the transducer surface, to reduce estimation noise.

[0131] For the screen phase θ_s we can use the limited correlation length to give an apriori specification of θ_s , for example through a truncated generalized Fourier series

$$\theta_s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega) = \sum_{i,j \in S_{IJ}} \theta_{ij}(\underline{r}_f; \omega) P_i(\underline{x}) P_j(\underline{y}) \quad (95)$$

where the coordinate on the receiver array is given as $\underline{r} = x\hat{e}_x + y\hat{e}_y$, and $P_i(\cdot)$ are generalized Fourier base functions, for example complex harmonic functions or Legendre polynomials, and the summation set S_{IJ} is typically $i = 0, 1, \dots, I$, and $j = 0, 1, \dots, J$. For a finite number of transducer elements labeled in the x-direction as $m = 0, 1, \dots, M$ and in the y-direction as $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$, we can get a full representation of the sampled phase function with $I = M$ and $J = N$, for example as with the Discrete Fourier Transform for complex harmonic base functions. However, enforcing expected correlation properties of θ_s , one can use far fewer base functions, letting S_{IJ} be a subgroup of $(0, 1, \dots, M) \times (0, 1, \dots, N)$. Per the discussion in relation to Eq.(41) and Figure 7, it is for example natural with Legendre polynomials as base functions to exclude P_0 and P_1 , as they represent a plane tilting of the phase which we want to avoid. One can also give an upper limit of I and J so that Eq.(95) satisfies the expected spatial correlation properties of θ_s .

[0132] By renumbering the base functions, we can write Eq.(95) as

$$\theta_s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega) = \sum_{j \in D_\theta} \theta_j(\underline{r}_f; \omega) P_j(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) = \underline{\theta}(\underline{r}_f; \omega)^T \underline{P}(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) \quad (96)$$

$$\underline{\theta}(\underline{r}_f; \omega) = \{ \theta_j(\underline{r}_f; \omega), j \in D_\theta \} \quad \underline{P}(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) = \{ P_j(\underline{x}, \underline{y}), j \in D_\theta \}$$

where D_θ defines the subspace that adequately represents the expected spatial correlation properties of θ_s .

[0133] One can then for example use the maximum likelihood method as in Eqs.(60-67) to estimate the coefficient vector $\underline{\theta}$. We then note that the phase $\theta_{sn} = \theta_s(\underline{r}_n, \underline{r}_f; \omega)$ at location $\underline{r}_n$ can be expressed as

$$\theta_{sn} = \sum_{j \in D_\theta} P_{nj} \theta_j(\underline{r}_f; \omega) \quad P_{nj} = P_j(\underline{r}_n) \quad (97)$$

inserting this form of θ_s into the likelihood function of Eq.(63), we get a modified likelihood function as

$$L(\underline{\theta} | \underline{y}_K) = \sum_{m,n} (\mathbf{F}^{-1})_{mn} e^{i \sum_j (P_{nj} - P_{mj}) \theta_j} R_{mn} + 2K \ln \prod_m a_{sm} + K \ln |F| \quad R_{mn} = \sum_k \frac{y_{km} y_{kn}^*}{a_{sm} a_{sn}} \quad (98)$$

which is maximized when

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \theta_p} = i \sum_{m,n} (F^{-1})_{mn} (P_{np} - P_{mp}) e^{i \sum_j (P_{nj} - P_{mj}) \theta_j} R_{mn} = 0 \quad p \in D_\theta$$

(99)

which is a non-linear equation in θ_j that for example can be solved through iterations.

[0134] With an approximation of the screen as in Eq.(79), it is then natural to approximate the delay function as in Eq.(96), i.e.

$$\tau_s(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_f; \omega) = \sum_{j \in D_\tau} \tau_j(\mathbf{r}_f; \omega) P_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{\tau}(\mathbf{r}_f; \omega)^T \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \quad \mathbf{\tau}(\mathbf{r}_f; \omega) = \{\tau_j(\mathbf{r}_f; \omega), j \in D_\tau\}$$

(100)

where D_τ defines the subspace that adequately represents the expected spatial correlation properties of τ_1 . One then only have to estimate a reduced parameter set $\mathbf{\tau}$.

[0135] A block diagram of a possible implementation of the invention for distributed scatterers with short correlation length in an ultrasound imaging system, could be the same as shown in **Figure 3b** for the artificial point scatterer situation.

REFERENCES:

[0136]

- [1] F. L. Thurstone and W. M. McKinney, "Resolution enhancement in scanning of tissue.," *Ultrasonics*, vol. 4, pp. 25-27, 1966
- [2] M. O'Donnell, "Quantitive ultrasonic backscatter measurements in the presence of phase distortion," *J. Acoust. Soc. Amer.*, vol. 72, pp. 1719-1725, 1982
- [3] R. C. Waag, J. P. Astheimer, and G. W. Swartout, "A characterization of wavefront distortion for analysis of ultrasound diffraction measurements made through an inhomogeneous medium," *IEEE Transaction on sonics and ultrasonics*, vol. SU-32, pp. 36-48, 1 1985
- [4] S. W. Flax and M. O'Donnell, "Phaseaberration correction using signals from point reflectors and diffuse scatterers: Basic principles," *IEEE Transaction on UFFC*, vol. 35, pp. 758-767, 6 1988
- [5] M. Moshfeghi and R C. Waag, "In vivo and in vitro ultrasound beam distortion measurements of a large aperture and a convential aperture focused transducer," *Ultrasound in Med & Biol.*, vol. 14, pp. 41 S-428, 5 1988
- [6] M. Fink, C. Prada, F. Wu, and D. Cassereau, "Self Focusing in Inhomogeneous Media with "Time Reversal" Acoustic Mirrors", in *IEEE Ultrasonics Symposium* pp. 681-686, 1989
- [7] D.-L. Lui and R C. Waag, "Correction of ultrasonic wavefront distortion using backpropagation and a reference waveform method for timeshift compensation," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*, vol. 96, pp. 649-659, 1994
- [8] M. Nickel, H. Torp, and B. Angelsen, "Reduction of Transducer Reverberations by Transducer Movement - Determination of the Optimal Transducer Displacement", in *IEEE Ultrasonics Symposium* pp. 1669-1672, 1994
- [9] M. E. Allam and J. G. Greenleaf, "Phase Aberration Correction for Ultrasound Imaging in Temporal-Spatial frequency Domain", in *IEEE Ultrasonics Symposium* pp. 2315-2318, 1995

[11] D.-L. D. Lin and R. C. Waag, "Estimation and Correction of Ultrasonic Wavefront Distortion Using Pulse-Echo Data Received in a Two-Dimensional Aperture, " *IEEE Trans UFFC*, vol. 45, pp. 473-490, No. 2, March 1998

[12] Angelsen B. A. J, "Ultrasound Imaging- Waves, Signals and Signal Procvcessing" Vol II, Ch 11, Emantec, trondheim Norway, Dec. 2000.

US PATENTS:

[0137] 4,989,143 1/1991 O'Donnel

5,172,343 12/1992 O'Donnel

5,517,995 5/1996 Klepper, Nock

5,388,461 14/1995 Rigby

5,524,623 6/1996 Liu

6,023,997 2/2000 Langdon, Holley, Hossack, Li

6,223,599 5/2001 Langdon, Holley, Hossack, Li

Claims

1. A method for correction of phasefront aberrations in ultrasound imaging of body tissue, where

- ultrasound beams on transmit and/or receive are formed with an ultrasound array that has a two-dimensional distribution of elements,

characterized by

- that the individual anay element signals are filtered in correction filters before standard beam forming, both for the transmit and/or the receive beams.

- introducing into the image field one or more artificial point scatterers to be so spaced apart that the back scattered ultrasound signals from the individual scatterers can be clearly discriminated from each other, and so that said ultrasound signals have characteristics in amplitude and/or frequency enabling them to be clearly separable from the tissue signal,

- the parameters/characteristics of the correction filters being determined from the scattered signal from the artificially introduced point scatterers.

2. A method according to claim 1, where the frequency responses of the element signal correction filters for focusing the transmit and/or the receive beams onto the location of a point scatterer, are obtained by

- Fourier transforming along the time coordinate the received element signals from the point scatterers and utilizing the frequency components where the amplitude of the Fourier transform is substantially larger than the noise level,

- correcting the phase of the Fourier transforms of the element signals by a spherical approximation of the propagation delay from the point scatterer to the array elements, which is in essence a division of the Fourier transform with the Green's function of the Helmholtz equation,

- the complex conjugate of the corrected Fourier transforms of the elements signals being used as transfer functions of element signal correction filters for the receive and/or the transmit beams.

3. A method according to claim 1, where the impulse responses of the element signal correction filters for focusing the transmit and/or the receiver beams onto the location of a point scatterer, are obtained by

- recording the back scattered element signals from the individual point scatterer and delay adjusting the element signals by a spherical approximation of the propagation delay from the point scatterer to the individual array elements,

- using the time inverted version of the delay adjusted element signals as impulse responses for said element signal correction filters to focus the receive and/or the transmit beams onto the location of the point scatterers.

4. A method according to claim 2, where the correction filters are approximated by delay and amplitude corrections.

5. A method according to claim 4, where for focusing the beam onto the location of a point scatterer

- the amplitude corrections for each element signal are obtained as the amplitude of the received element point scatterer signals, and

- the time delay corrections are obtained by comparing the arrival times on each element signal channel of the pulses from the point scatterer.

6. A method according to claim 1, where the 2nd harmonic band of the backscattered element signals are used to reduce the pulse reverberations in the backscattered signal for estimation of the correction filters or approximate amplitude and delay corrections.

7. A method according to claim 1, where the artificially introduced point scatterers are attached to an intervention tool that is inserted into the body.

8. A method according to claim 7, where the point scatterers are made as indentations in a smooth tool surface, or scatterers attached to a smooth tool surface, or scatterers buried in indentations in the smooth tool surface.

9. A method according to claim 1, where contrast agent bubbles are used as the artificially introduced point scatterers, introduced into the tissue as a dilute concentration of contrast agent bubbles in the blood or other body fluids.

10. A method according to claim 9, where adequate distance between the contrast agent bubbles are obtained by first destroying the contrast agent bubbles in the selected imaging region, and performing the correction estimation in a subsequent time interval where adequate inflow of new contrast agent bubbles to the region has occurred.

11. A method according to claim 9, where steadily new point scatterers with varying locations and adequate distances are obtained by using so high amplitude of the transmitted pulses that destruction of at least some contrast agent bubbles occurs for each pulse, while new contrast agent bubbles enters the region with the blood or other body fluids.

12. A method according to claim 7 where the point scatterers on the intervention tool are made by contrast agent bubbles.

13. A method according to claim 9, where the signals from the point scatterers are discriminated from the tissue signal by using frequency components in the sub, 2nd, 3rd, or 4th harmonic band of the fundamental frequency band of the transmitted pulse.

14. A method according to claim 9, where a coded sequence is transmitted at the contrast agent bubbles, and pulse compression is used in the receiver to enhance the signal to noise ratio of the received signal from the contrast agent bubble, to improve the detection of the contrast agent bubble.

15. A method for corrections of phasefront aberrations in medical ultrasound imaging of body tissue, where

- the ultrasound beams on transmit and/or receive are formed with an ultrasound transducer array that has a two-dimensional distribution of elements,

characterized by

- that the individual array element signals for the transmit and/or the receive beams are being filtered in correction filters before standard beam forming according to known principles,

- the correction filters being estimated from the received element signals backscattered from a distribution of scatterers with so short correlation length that its correlation function can be approximated by a δ -function,

where

- the correction filter estimation includes steps of reducing the effect of pulse reverberations in the correction filter estimate.

16. A method according to claim 15, where the 2nd harmonic band of the element signals is used to suppress the pulse reverberations in the element signals to reduce the effect of pulse reverberations in the correction filter estimate.

17. A method according to claim 15, where multiple transmit pulses with the same beam direction and focus is used, and the back scattered element signals for the multiple transmit pulses from moving or time varying scatterers are used for improved estimation of the correction filters.

18. A method according to claim 17, where the moving scatterers are scatterers in the blood or other body fluids, the myocardium, or a vessel wall.

19. A method according to claim 17, where ultrasound contrast agent bubbles are used for time varying scatterers where the transmitted pulse amplitudes are so high that destruction of at least some bubbles occur, and new bubbles enter the tissue through the blood or other body fluids.

20. A method according to claim 19, where the element signals from the contrast agent bubbles are enhanced over that from the tissue signal and pulse reverberations, by analyzing sub, 2nd, 3rd, or 4th harmonic components of the backscattered signal.

21. A method according to claim 20, where the element signals from the contrast agent bubbles are further enhanced by transmitting coded pulse sequences and using pulse compression in the receiver to enhance the signal to noise ratio of the received signal from the contrast agent.

22. A method according to claim 17, where the effect of pulse reverberations in the estimate is suppressed by high pass filtering the received element signals along the pulse number coordinate for each depth sample.

23. A method according to claim 17, where temporal averaging of correction filter parameter estimates along the pulse number coordinate is used to reduce the estimation variance of the parameters.

24. A method according to claim 15, where the errors induced in the estimates of the correction filters by strong, off axis scatterers is reduced by lowpass filtering the received element signals along the element position coordinate along the array.

25. A method according to Claim 24; where the phases of the correction filters for each frequency are estimated by integration of the phases of the correlation functions between neighboring element signals.

26. A method according to claim 25, where a plane skew in the phases of the correction filters over the array is removed either after the integration, or through removing the DC component of the neighbor correlations before the integration.

27. A method according to claim 25, where the integration of the neighbor phase correlation is broken into several hierarchical levels, where in a first level the element signals are grouped in subgroups of limited number of neighboring elements, where the neighbor phase integration is carried through in each subgroup, and the element signals within each subgroup are corrected and summed together to form a new set of element signals on a higher level, where neighbors on the new level are grouped together in subgroups, and the phase estimates between the neighbors on the new level are integrated in the new subgroups, and the element signals in the new subgroups are corrected and summed to form a new, second level of corrected element signals, continuing this leveling process, by grouping, phase gradient estimation and integration, and signal correction and summing for neighboring elements in the same manner for each new level until all original element signals are combined into a single, corrected beam signal, the final original element correction filters being obtained as a product of all participating correction transfer functions on all levels for the path of that particular element signal.

28. A method according to claim 22, where the errors induced in the estimates of the correction filters by strong, off

axis scatterers is reduced by lowpass filtering the received element signals along the element position coordinate along the array, and where the phases of the correction filters for each frequency are estimated according to a parameter estimation scheme, for example a maximum likelihood scheme.

29. A method according to claim 15, where the correction filters are approximated by delay and amplitude corrections, where the amplitude corrections for an element signal are determined by averaging the element signal amplitudes over a depth interval and over the time coordinate of multiple transmit pulses for moving or time varying scatterers.
30. A method according to claim 29, where the delay corrections are estimated by correlation methods.
31. A method according to claim 30, where the delay corrections are estimated by integration of the delays between neighboring element signals obtained through correlation analysis.
32. A method according to claim 31, where a plane skew in the delays of the correction filters over the array is removed either after the integration, or through removing the DC component of the neighbor delays before the integration.
33. A method according to claim 32, where the integration of the neighbor delays is broken into several hierarchical levels, where in a first level the element signals are grouped in subgroups of limited number of neighboring elements, where the neighbor delay integration is carried through in each subgroup, and the element signals within each subgroup are corrected and summed together to form a new set of element signals on a higher level, where neighbors on the new level are grouped together in subgroups, and the delay estimates between the neighbors on the new level are integrated in the new subgroups, and the element signals in the new subgroups are corrected and summed to form a second level of corrected element signals, where the grouping, delay gradient estimation and integration, and signal correction and summing are done for neighboring elements in the same manner for each level until all original element signals are combined into a single, corrected beam signal, the final original delays being obtained as a sum of the delays on all levels for the path of that particular element signal.
34. A method according to claim 32, where the delay corrections are estimated by correlation between element signals and Hilbert transforms of element signals.
35. A method according to claim 31, where the correction delays are estimated through a parameter estimation scheme, for example a maximum likelihood scheme.
36. A method according to claim 15, where the number of element signals used for estimation of the correction filters is reduced by combining the element signals from neighboring, small array elements into groups where the total dimension of the combined array elements in each group being smaller than the correlation length of the phase aberrations and pulse reverberations.
37. A method according to claim 15, where a minimal parameter representation of the phase or delay corrections are represented by a truncated generated Fourier series over the element position coordinate, the number of terms in the series being chosen so that the correlation properties of the phase or delay corrections are adequately represented, the coefficients in the series forming the parameters to be estimated.
38. A method according to claim 15, where the phase or delay corrections are estimated through correlation analysis of the element signals with a reference signal obtained by combinations of the element signals or parts of the element signals.
39. A method according to claim 38, where only the reference signal is filtered in any harmonic bands, or pulse to pulse high-pass filtered, to reduce the effect of pulse reverberations in the correction filter estimate, before the correction analysis.
40. A method according to claim 38, where only the reference signal is Hilbert transformed to estimate the delays of the correction filters.
41. A method according to claim 40, where the Hilbert transform of the received element or reference signals is approximated by a sample of the signal delayed $1/4f_c$, where f_c is the center frequency of the actual, limited frequency band.

42. A method according to claim 15, where estimates of the correction filters are used to correct new transmit beam pulses producing new backscattered element signals to be used for new estimations of the correction filters, in an iterative manner.

5

Patentansprüche

10

1. Verfahren zur Korrektur von Phasenfront-Abbildungsfehlern bei der Ultraschall-Bildgebung von Körpergewebe, bei dem

- Ultraschall-Strahlen beim Senden und/oder beim Empfang mit einem Ultraschall-Feld, das eine zweidimensionale Verteilung von Elementen aufweist, gebildet werden,

15

dadurch gekennzeichnet,

20

- dass die einzelnen Feld-Element-Signale mit Korrekturfiltern gefiltert werden, bevor der Strahl standardmäßig gebildet wird, und zwar für den Sende- und/oder den Empfangs-Strahl,
- dass in das Bildfeld einer oder mehrere künstliche Punkt-Streuer eingeführt werden, die derart voneinander beabstandet sind, dass die zurückgestreuten Ultraschall-Signale von den einzelnen Streuern klar voneinander unterschieden werden können, und so dass die Ultraschall-Signale charakteristische Merkmale in ihrer Amplitude und/oder Frequenz haben, so dass sie klar trennbar vom Gewebesignal sind,

25

- dass die Parameter/charakteristischen Merkmale der Korrekturfilter bestimmt werden durch die gestreuten Signale vom künstlich eingeführten Punkt-Streuer.

30

2. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, bei dem die Frequenzantworten der Element-Signal-Korrekturfilter zum Fokussieren des Sende- und/oder des Empfangs-Signals auf die Position eines Punkt-Streuers gewonnen werden durch

- Fourier-Transformation der von den Punkt-Streuern empfangenen Element-Signale entlang der Zeit-Koordinate und Verwenden der Frequenzkomponente, wenn die Amplitude der Fourier-Transformierten erheblich größer ist als die Stärke des Rauschens,

35

- Phasenkorrektur der Fourier-Transformierten der Element-Signale durch eine sphärische Annäherung der Ausbreitungs-Verzögerung von dem Punkt-Streuer zu den Feldelementen, was im Wesentlichen eine Division der Fourier-Transformierten mit der Green's Funktion der Helmholtz-Gleichung darstellt,

40

- Verwenden der Komplex-Konjugierten der korrigierten Fourier-Transformierten der Element-Signale als Übertragungsfunktion der Element-Signal-Korrekturfilter für die Empfangs-und/oder die Sende-Strahlen.

45

3. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, bei dem die Impulsantworten der Element-Signal-Korrekturfilter zum Fokussieren der Sende- und/oder der Empfangs-Strahlen auf die Position des Punkt-Streuers gewonnen werden durch

- Aufzeichnen der von den einzelnen Punkt-Streuern zurückgestreuten Element-Signale und Verzögerungseinstellung der Element-Signale durch eine sphärische Annäherung der Ausbreitungs-Verzögerung vom Punkt-Streuer zu den einzelnen Feld-Elementen,

50

- Verwenden der Zeit invertierten Version der verzögerungs-eingestellten Element-Signale als Impulsantworten für die Element-Signal-Korrekturfilter zum Fokussieren der Empfangs-und/oder der Sende-Strahlen auf die Position der Punkt-Streuer.

55

4. Verfahren nach Anspruch 2, bei dem die Korrekturfilter angenähert werden durch Verzögerungs- und Amplituden-Korrekturen.

5. Verfahren nach Anspruch 4, bei dem zum Fokussieren des Strahls auf die Position eines Punkt-Streuers

- die Amplituden-Korrekturen für jedes Element-Signal gewonnen werden als Amplitude der empfangenen Element-Punkt-Streuer-Signale, und

- die Zeit-Verzögerungs-Korrekturen gewonnen werden durch Vergleich der Ankunftszeiten der Impulse des Punkt-Streuer auf jedem Element-Signal-Kanal.

6. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, bei dem das zweite harmonische Band der zurückgestreuten Element-Signale zum Reduzieren des Impuls-Nachhalls im zurückgestreuten Signal verwendet wird, um die Korrektur-Filter oder die ungefähre Amplituden- und Verzögerungs-Korrektur abzuschätzen.

7. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, bei dem die künstlich eingeführten Punkt-Streuer an einem Eingriffswerkzeug angebracht sind, das in den Körper eingeführt wird.

8. Verfahren nach Anspruch 7, bei dem die Punkt-Streuer gestaltet sind als Vertiefungen in einer glatten Werkzeu-
goberfläche, oder als auf einer glatten Werkzeuoberfläche angebrachte Streuer oder als in Vertiefungen in der
glatten Oberfläche versenkte Streuer.

9. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, bei dem Kontrastmittel-Blasen als künstlich eingeführte Punkt-Streuer verwendet werden, die in das Gewebe eingeführt werden als eine schwache Konzentration von Kontrastmittel-Blasen im Blut oder anderen Körperflüssigkeiten.

10. Verfahren nach Anspruch 9, bei dem der passende Abstand zwischen den Kontrastmittel-Blasen gewonnen wird durch zunächst Zerstören der Kontrastmittel-Blasen in der ausgewählten Bildregion und Durchführen der Korrektur-Abschätzung in einem nachfolgenden Zeitintervall, wenn der passende Zufluss von neuen Kontrastmittel-Blasen in die Region sich eingestellt hat.

11. Verfahren nach Anspruch 9, bei dem ständig neue Punkt-Streuer an unterschiedlichen Positionen und mit adäqua-
ten Abständen gewonnen werden, indem die Amplitude der gesendeten Impulse so hoch eingestellt wird, dass
zumindest ein Teil der Kontrastmittel-Blasen mit jedem Impuls zerstört wird, während neue Kontrastmittel-Blasen
mit dem Blut oder anderen Körperflüssigkeiten in die Region eintreten.

12. Verfahren nach Anspruch 7, bei dem die Punkt-Streuer auf dem Eingriffswerkzeug von Kontrastmittel-Blasen ge-
bildet werden.

13. Verfahren nach Anspruch 9, bei dem die Signale von den Punkt-Streuern von den Gewebesignalen unterschieden werden, indem Frequenz-Komponenten im Unter-, zweiten, dritten, oder vierten harmonischen Band des grund-
legenden Frequenzbands der gesendeten Impulse verwendet werden.

14. Verfahren nach Anspruch 9, bei dem eine kodierte Sequenz zu den Kontrastmittel-Blasen gesendet wird und bei dem eine Impuls-Kompression im Empfänger verwendet wird, um das Signal-Rausch-Verhältnis des von der Kon-
trastmittel-Blase empfangenen Signals zu erhöhen, um die Erkennung der Kontrastmittel-Blasen zu verbessern.

15. Verfahren zur Korrektur von Phasenfront-Abbildungsfehlern bei medizinischer Ultraschall-Bildgebung von Körper-
gewebe, bei dem

- die Ultraschall-Strahlen beim Senden und/oder Empfangen gebildet werden mit einem Ultraschall-Umwandler-Feld, das eine zweidimensionale Verteilung von Elementen aufweist,

dadurch gekennzeichnet,

- **dass** die einzelnen Feld-Element-Signale für die Sende- und/oder die Empfangs-Signale in Korrekturfiltern gefiltert werden, bevor die Strahlen standardmäßig nach bekannten Prinzipien geformt werden,

- **dass** die Korrekturfilter geschätzt werden anhand der von einer Verteilung von Streuern empfangenen Ele-
ment-Signale, die eine so kurze Korrelationslänge haben, dass die Korrelations-Funktion durch eine δ -Funk-
tion angenähert werden kann, wobei

- die Korrekturfilter-Schätzung die Schritte einschließt, die Wirkung des Impuls-Nachhalls bei der Korrekturfilter-
Schätzung zu reduzieren.

16. Verfahren nach Anspruch 15, bei dem das zweite harmonische Band der Element-Signale verwendet wird, um

den Impuls-Nachhall in den Element-Signalen zu unterdrücken, um die Wirkung des Impuls-Nachhalls bei der Korrekturfilter-Schätzung zu reduzieren.

- 5 17. Verfahren nach Anspruch 15, bei dem mehrfache Sende-Impulse mit derselben Strahlungsrichtung und Fokus verwendet werden und bei dem die zurückgestreuten Element-Signale für die mehrfachen Sende-Impulse von beweglichen oder zeitlich veränderliche Streuern für eine verbesserte Schätzung der Korrekturfilter verwendet werden.
- 10 18. Verfahren nach Anspruch 17, bei dem die beweglichen Streuer als Streuer im Blut oder anderen Körperflüssigkeiten, dem Herzmuskel oder einer Gefäßwand ausgebildet sind.
- 15 19. Verfahren nach Anspruch 17, bei dem die UltraschallKontrastmittel-Blasen als zeitveränderliche Streuer verwendet werden, wobei die gesendeten Impuls-Amplituden so hoch sind, dass zumindest ein Teil der Blasen zerstört wird, und wobei neue Blasen durch das Blut oder andere Körperflüssigkeiten in das Gewebe eintreten.
- 20 20. Verfahren nach Anspruch 19, bei dem die Element-Signale von den Kontrastmittel-Blasen verstärkt werden gegenüber dem Gewebesignal und dem Impuls-Nachhall, durch Analyse der Unter-, zweiten, dritten oder vierten harmonischen Komponente des zurückgestreuten Signals.
- 25 21. Verfahren nach Anspruch 20, bei dem die Element-Signale von den Kontrastmittel-Blasen weiter verbessert werden durch Senden von kodierten Impuls-Sequenzen und durch Verwenden von Impuls-Kompression im Verstärker zum Verbessern des Signal-Rausch-Verhältnisses des vom Kontrastmittel empfangenen Signals.
- 30 22. Verfahren nach Anspruch 17, bei dem die Wirkung des Impuls-Nachhalls in der Schätzung durch eine Hochpass-Filterung der empfangenen Element-Signale entlang der Impuls-Anzahl-Koordinate für jede Tiefen-Abtastung unterdrückt wird.
- 35 23. Verfahren nach Anspruch 17, bei dem eine Zeitmittlung der Korrekturfilter-Parameter-Schätzung entlang der Impuls-Anzahl-Koordinate verwendet wird, um die Varianz der Parameter einzuschätzen.
- 40 24. Verfahren nach Anspruch 15, bei dem die Fehler, die in die Schätzung der Korrekturfilter durch starke Streuung abseits der Achse eingeführt werden, reduziert werden durch Tiefpass-Filterung der empfangenen Element-Signale entlang der Element-Positionen-Koordinate entlang dem Feld.
- 45 25. Verfahren nach Anspruch 24, bei dem die Phasen der Korrekturfilter für jede Frequenz geschätzt werden, indem die Phasen der Korrelationsfunktionen zwischen benachbarten Element-Signalen integriert werden.
- 50 26. Verfahren nach Anspruch 25, bei dem ein ebener Versatz in den Phasen der Korrekturfilter über das Feld entweder nach der Integration oder durch Entfernen der DC-Komponente der benachbarten Korrelationen vor der Integration entfernt wird.
- 55 27. Verfahren nach Anspruch 25, bei dem die Integration der benachbarten Phasen-Korrelationen in verschiedene hierarchische Level aufgebrochen wird, wobei die Element-Signale auf einem ersten Level in Untergruppen mit einer begrenzten Anzahl von benachbarten Elementen eingeteilt werden, wobei die benachbarte Phasen-Integration in jeder Untergruppe durchgeführt wird, und die Elemente in jeder Untergruppe korrigiert und zusammengezählt werden, um einen neuen Satz von Element-Signalen auf einem höheren Level zu bilden, wobei Nachbarn auf dem neuen Level zusammengeführt werden in Untergruppen, und die Phasenschätzung zwischen den Nachbarn auf dem neuen Level integriert wird in die neuen Untergruppen, und die Element-Signale in den neuen Untergruppen korrigiert und summiert werden, um ein neues, zweites Level von korrigierten Element-Signalen zu bilden, Fortführen dieses Level-Verfahrens durch Gruppieren, Phasen-Gradienten-Schätzung und Integration, und Signal-Korrektur und Summieren benachbarter Elemente auf die gleiche Weise für jedes neue Level bis alle ursprünglichen Element-Signale zu einem einzelnen, korrigierten Strahl-Signal kombiniert sind, wobei die abschließenden Original-Element-Korrekturfilter gewonnen werden als ein Produkt aller beteiligten Korrektur-Übertragungsfunktionen auf allen Leveln für den Weg dieses betreffenden Element-Signals.
28. Verfahren nach Anspruch 22, bei dem die Fehler, die in der Schätzung der Korrekturfilter durch starke Streuer abseits der Achse eingeführt werden, dadurch reduziert werden, dass die empfangenen Element-Signale entlang der Element-Positionen-Koordinate entlang dem Feld Tiefpass-gefiltert werden, und wobei die Phasen der Korrekturfilter

turfilter für jede Frequenz entsprechend einem Parameter-Schätzungs-Schema, beispielsweise dem Maximum-Likelihood-Schema, geschätzt werden.

29. Verfahren nach Anspruch 15, bei dem die Korrekturfilter durch Verzögerungs- und Amplituden-Korrekturen geschätzt werden, wobei die Amplituden-Korrekturen für ein Element-Signal bestimmt werden, in dem die Element-Signal-Amplituden über ein Tiefen-Intervall und über die Zeit-Koordinate von mehrfachen Sende-Impulsen für bewegliche oder zeitveränderliche Streuer gemittelt werden.
30. Verfahren nach Anspruch 29, bei dem die Verzögerung-Korrekturen mit Korrelations-Methoden geschätzt werden.
31. Verfahren nach Anspruch 30, bei dem die Verzögerungs-Korrekturen geschätzt werden durch Integration der Verzögerungen zwischen benachbarten Element-Signalen, die durch Korrelationsanalyse gewonnen werden.
32. Verfahren nach Anspruch 31, bei dem ein ebener Versatz in den Verzögerungen der Korrekturfilter über das Feld entfernt wird entweder nach der Integration oder durch Entfernen der DC-Komponente der benachbarten Verzögerungen vor der Integration.
33. Verfahren nach Anspruch 32, bei dem die Integration benachbarter Verzögerungen aufgebrochen wird in verschiedene hierarchische Level, wobei die Element-Signale auf dem ersten Level zusammengeführt werden in Untergruppen mit einer begrenzten Anzahl von benachbarten Elementen, wobei die Nachbar-Verzögerungs-Integration in jeder Untergruppe ausgeführt wird, und die Element-Signale in jeder Untergruppe korrigiert und aufsummiert werden, um einen neuen Satz von Element-Signalen auf einem höheren Level zu bilden, wobei die Nachbarn auf dem neuen Level in Untergruppen zusammengeführt werden, und die Verzögerung-Schätzungen zwischen den Nachbarn auf dem neuen Level in den neuen Untergruppen integriert sind, und die Element-Signale in den neuen Untergruppen korrigiert und summiert werden um, ein zweites Level von korrigierten Element-Signalen zu bilden, wobei das Gruppieren, die Verzögerung-Gradienten-Schätzung und Integration, und die Signal-Korrektur sowie das Aufsummieren für benachbarte Elemente in derselben Weise für jedes Level durchgeführt werden, bis alle ursprünglichen Element-Signale in einem einzelnen, korrigierten Strahlsignal kombiniert sind, wobei die abschließenden originalen Verzögerungen gewonnen werden als eine Summe der Verzögerungen auf allen Levels für den Pfad dieses speziellen Element-Signals.
34. Verfahren nach Anspruch 32, bei dem die Verzögerung-Korrekturen geschätzt werden durch Korrelation zwischen den Element-Signalen und Hilbert-Transformierten der Element-Signale.
35. Verfahren nach Anspruch 31, bei dem die Korrektur-Verzögerungen durch ein Parameter-Schätzungs-Schema, beispielsweise ein Maximum-Likelihood-Schema geschätzt werden.
36. Verfahren nach Anspruch 15, bei dem die Anzahl der Element-Signale, die für die Schätzung der Korrekturfilter verwendet wird, reduziert wird, indem die Element-Signale von benachbarten, kleinen Feld-Elementen in Gruppen zusammengefasst werden, wobei die Gesamtdimension der zusammengefassten Feldelemente in jeder Gruppe kleiner ist als die Korrelationslänge der Phasen-Abbildungsfehler und des Impuls-Nachhalls.
37. Verfahren nach Anspruch 15, bei dem eine minimale Parameter-Verkörperung der Phasen- oder Verzögerungs-Korrekturen dargestellt wird durch eine gekürzte verallgemeinerte Fourier-Reihe über die Element-Positions-Koordinate, wobei die Anzahl von Ausdrücken in der Reihe so gewählt wird, dass die Korrelations-Eigenschaft der Phasen- oder Verzögerungs-Korrekturen passend wiedergegeben werden, wobei die Koeffizienten der Reihe die zu schätzenden Parameter bilden.
38. Verfahren nach Anspruch 15, bei dem die Phasen- oder Verzögerung-Korrekturen geschätzt werden durch eine Korrelations-Analyse der Element-Signale mit einem Referenz-Signal, das gewonnen wird durch Kombinationen der Element-Signale oder Teile der Element-Signale.
39. Verfahren nach Anspruch 38, bei dem nur das Referenz-Signal in einem beliebigen harmonischen Band gefiltert wird oder Impuls zu Impuls Hochpass-gefiltert wird, um die Auswirkungen des Impuls-Nachhalls auf die Korrekturfilter-Schätzung zu reduzieren, und zwar vor der Korrektur-Analyse.
40. Verfahren nach Anspruch 38, bei dem nur das Referenz-Signal Hilbert-transformiert wird, um die Verzögerungen der Korrekturfilter zu schätzen.

41. Verfahren nach Anspruch 40, bei dem die Hilbert-Transformierte des empfangenen Elements oder der Referenz-Signale angenähert wird durch eine Abtastung des Signals, das $1/4f_c$ verzögert ist, wobei f_c die mittlere Frequenz des aktuellen, begrenzten Frequenzbands ist.

42. Verfahren nach Anspruch 15, bei dem die Schätzungen des Korrekturfilters verwendet werden, um neue Sende-Strahl-Impulse zu korrigieren, die neue zurückgestreute Element-Signale erzeugen, die für neue Schätzungen des Korrekturfilters in iterativer Weise genutzt werden.

Revendications

1. Procédé de correction d'aberrations de phase avant dans la formation d'image ultrasonore de tissu corporel, dans lequel

- des faisceaux ultrasonores lors de la transmission et / ou de la réception sont formés avec un ensemble ultrasonore qui comporte une répartition en deux dimensions des éléments ;

caractérisé

- **en ce que** les signaux individuels d'éléments de l'ensemble sont filtrés dans des filtres de correction avant la formation de faisceau classique, à la fois les faisceaux de transmission et / ou les faisceaux de réception,
- par l'introduction dans le champ d'image d'un ou de plusieurs diffuseurs ponctuels artificiels afin d'être espacés de telle sorte que les signaux ultrasonores diffusés en retour des diffuseurs individuels peuvent être clairement discriminés les uns des autres, et de telle sorte que lesdits signaux ultrasonores ont des caractéristiques d'amplitude et / ou de fréquence leur permettant d'être clairement séparables du signal de tissu,
- **en ce que** les paramètres / caractéristiques des filtres de correction sont déterminés d'après le signal diffusé depuis les diffuseurs ponctuels introduits de manière artificielle.

2. Procédé selon la revendication 1, dans lequel les réponses de fréquence des filtres de correction de signal d'élément destinés à faire faire le point aux faisceaux de transmission et / ou de réception sur l'emplacement d'un diffuseur ponctuel, sont obtenues

- en effectuant une transformation de Fourier le long des coordonnées de temps des signaux d'éléments reçus depuis les diffuseurs ponctuels et en utilisant les composants de fréquence là où l'amplitude de la transformation de Fourier est sensiblement plus grande que le niveau de bruit,
- en corrigeant la phase des transformations de Fourier des signaux d'éléments au moyen d'une approximation sphérique du retard de propagation depuis le diffuseur ponctuel vers les éléments d'ensemble, qui est essentiellement une division de la transformation de Fourier avec la fonction de Green de l'équation Helmholtz,
- en ce que le conjugué complexe des transformations de Fourier corrigées des signaux d'éléments est utilisé comme des fonctions de transfert des filtres de correction de signal d'élément pour les faisceaux de réception et / ou de transmission.

3. Procédé selon la revendication 1, dans lequel les réponses d'impulsion des filtres de correction de signal d'élément afin de faire faire le point aux faisceaux de transmission et / ou de réception sur l'emplacement d'un diffuseur ponctuel sont obtenues

- en enregistrant les signaux d'éléments diffusés en retour depuis le diffuseur ponctuel individuel et en ajustant en temps les signaux d'éléments par une approximation sphérique du retard de propagation depuis le diffuseur ponctuel vers les éléments individuels de l'ensemble,
- en utilisant la version inversée dans le temps des signaux d'éléments ajustés dans le temps comme réponses d'impulsion pour lesdits filtres de correction de signal d'élément afin de faire faire le point aux faisceaux de réception et / ou de transmission sur l'emplacement des diffuseurs ponctuels.

4. Procédé selon la revendication 2, dans lequel les filtres de correction sont calculés par approximation par des corrections de retard et d'amplitude.

5. Procédé selon la revendication 4, dans lequel afin de faire faire le point au faisceau sur l'emplacement d'un diffuseur ponctuel

- les corrections d'amplitude pour chaque signal d'élément sont obtenues comme l'amplitude des signaux de diffuseurs ponctuels d'éléments reçus, et
- les corrections de temps sont obtenues en comparant les temps d'arrivée sur chaque canal de signal d'élément des impulsions depuis le diffuseur ponctuel.

6. Procédé selon la revendication 1, dans lequel la deuxième bande harmonique des signaux d'élément diffusés en retour est utilisée afin de réduire les réverbérations d'impulsion dans le signal diffusé en retour pour une estimation des filtres de correction ou des corrections d'amplitude et de retard approximatives.

7. Procédé selon la revendication 1, dans lequel les diffuseurs ponctuels introduits de manière artificielle sont fixés à un outil d'intervention qui est inséré dans le corps.

8. Procédé selon la revendication 7, dans lequel les diffuseurs ponctuels sont constitués d'indentations dans une surface lisse d'outil, ou des diffuseurs fixés sur une surface lisse d'outil, ou des diffuseurs enfermés dans des indentations dans la surface lisse d'outil.

9. Procédé selon la revendication 1, dans lequel des bulles d'agent de contraste sont utilisées en tant que diffuseurs ponctuels introduits de manière artificielle, introduites dans le tissu sous la forme d'une concentration diluée de bulles d'agent de contraste dans le sang ou d'autres fluides corporels.

10. Procédé selon la revendication 9, dans lequel la distance adéquate entre les bulles d'agent de contraste est obtenue en détruisant tout d'abord les bulles d'agent de contraste dans la région de formation d'image sélectionnée, et en effectuant l'estimation de correction dans un intervalle de temps suivant dans lequel l'entrée adéquate de nouvelles bulles d'agent de contraste dans la région s'est produite.

11. Procédé selon la revendication 9, dans lequel des diffuseurs ponctuels constamment nouveaux avec des emplacements modifiés et des distances adéquates sont obtenus en utilisant une amplitude des impulsions transmises si élevée que la destruction d'au moins certaines des bulles d'agent de contraste se produit pour chaque impulsion, tandis que de nouvelles bulles d'agent de contraste entrent dans la région avec le sang ou les autres fluides corporels.

12. Procédé selon la revendication 7, dans lequel les diffuseurs ponctuels sur l'outil d'intervention sont constitués de bulles d'agent de contraste.

13. Procédé selon la revendication 9, dans lequel les signaux des diffuseurs ponctuels sont discriminés du signal de tissu en utilisant les composants de fréquence dans la bande sous harmonique, la deuxième, la troisième ou la quatrième bande harmonique de la bande de fréquence fondamentale de l'impulsion transmise.

14. Procédé selon la revendication 9, dans lequel une séquence codée est transmise aux bulles d'agent de contraste, et une compression d'impulsion est utilisée dans le récepteur afin d'améliorer le rapport signal à bruit du signal reçu des bulles d'agent de contraste, afin d'améliorer la détection de la bulle d'agent de contraste.

15. Procédé pour des corrections d'aberrations de phase avant dans la formation d'image ultrasonore médicale du tissu corporel, dans lequel

- les faisceaux ultrasonores de transmission et / ou de réception sont formés avec un ensemble de transducteurs ultrasonores qui comporte une répartition en deux dimensions des éléments,

caractérisé

- **en ce que** les signaux individuels d'éléments d'ensemble pour les faisceaux de transmission et / ou de réception sont filtrés dans des filtres de correction avant la formation de faisceau classique selon des principes connus,
- **en ce que** les filtres de correction sont estimés depuis les signaux d'éléments reçus diffusés en retour depuis une répartition de diffuseurs avec une longueur de corrélation si courte que la fonction de corrélation peut être estimée de manière approximative par une fonction δ ; dans lequel
- l'estimation du filtre de correction comprend les étapes consistant à réduire l'effet des réverbérations d'impulsion dans l'estimation du filtre de correction.

16. Procédé selon la revendication 15, dans lequel la deuxième bande harmonique des signaux d'éléments est utilisée afin de supprimer les réverbérations d'impulsion dans les signaux d'éléments afin de réduire l'effet des réverbérations d'impulsion dans l'estimation du filtre de correction.
- 5 17. Procédé selon la revendication 15, dans lequel des impulsions de transmission multiples avec la même direction de faisceau et le même foyer sont utilisées, et les signaux d'éléments diffusés en retour pour les impulsions de transmission multiples des diffuseurs se déplaçant ou se modifiant dans le temps sont utilisés pour une estimation améliorée des filtres de correction.
- 10 18. Procédé selon la revendication 17, dans lequel les diffuseurs se déplaçant sont des diffuseurs dans le sang ou d'autres fluides corporels, le myocarde ou une paroi de vaisseau.
19. Procédé selon la revendication 17, dans lequel les bulles d'agent de contraste ultrasonores sont utilisées pour les diffuseurs se modifiant dans le temps dans lequel les amplitudes d'impulsions transmises sont si élevées que la destruction d'au moins certaines des bulles se produit, et de nouvelles bulles entrent dans le tissu à travers le sang ou d'autres fluides corporels.
- 15 20. Procédé selon la revendication 19, dans lequel les signaux d'éléments des bulles d'agent de contraste sont favorisés par rapport à ceux du signal de tissu et des réverbérations d'impulsion, en analysant les composants sous harmoniques, les deuxièmes, troisièmes ou quatrièmes composants harmoniques du signal diffusé en retour.
- 20 21. Procédé selon la revendication 20, dans lequel les signaux d'éléments des bulles d'agent de contraste sont en outre favorisés en transmettant des séquences d'impulsions codées et en utilisant une compression d'impulsion dans le récepteur afin de favoriser le rapport signal à bruit du signal reçu depuis l'agent de contraste.
- 25 22. Procédé selon la revendication 17, dans lequel l'effet des réverbérations d'impulsion dans l'estimation est supprimé en filtrant en passe haut les signaux d'éléments reçus le long des coordonnées du nombre d'impulsion pour chaque échantillon de profondeur.
- 30 23. Procédé selon la revendication 17, dans lequel la moyenne temporelle des estimations de paramètres du filtre de correction le long des coordonnées du nombre d'impulsion est utilisée pour réduire la variation d'estimation des paramètres.
- 35 24. Procédé selon la revendication 15, dans lequel les erreurs induites dans les estimations des filtres de correction par les diffuseurs résistants excentrés sont réduites par filtrage en passe bas des signaux d'éléments reçus le long des coordonnées de position d'élément le long de l'ensemble.
- 40 25. Procédé selon la revendication 24, dans lequel les phases des filtres de correction pour chaque fréquence sont estimées par intégration des phases des fonctions de corrélation entre des signaux d'éléments voisins.
- 45 26. Procédé selon la revendication 25, dans lequel une distorsion de plan dans les phases des filtres de correction dans l'ensemble est retirée soit après l'intégration, soit lors du retrait du composant de courant continu des corrélations voisines avant l'intégration.
- 50 27. Procédé selon la revendication 25, dans lequel l'intégration de la corrélation de phase voisine est divisée en différents niveaux hiérarchiques, dans lesquels à un premier niveau les signaux d'éléments sont groupés en sous-groupes d'un nombre limité d'éléments voisins, dans lesquels l'intégration de phase voisine est effectuée dans chaque sous-groupe, et les signaux d'éléments dans chacun des sous-groupes sont corrigés et ajoutés ensemble afin de former un nouvel ensemble de signaux d'éléments sur un niveau plus élevé, dans lequel des voisins sur le nouveau niveau sont groupés ensemble en sous-groupes, et les estimations de phase entre les voisins sur le nouveau niveau sont intégrés dans les nouveaux sous-groupes, et les signaux d'éléments dans les nouveaux sous-groupes sont corrigés et ajoutés afin de former un deuxième nouveau niveau de signaux d'éléments corrigés, continuant le procédé de formation de niveaux au moyen de groupement, d'estimation et d'intégration de gradient de phase et de correction et d'ajout de signaux pour des éléments voisins de la même manière pour chaque nouveau niveau jusqu'à ce que tous les signaux d'éléments originaux aient été combinés en un signal de faisceau corrigé unique, les filtres de correction d'élément original final étant obtenus sous la forme d'un produit de toutes les fonctions de transfert de correction participantes sur tous les niveaux pour le trajet de ce signal d'élément particulier.
- 55

28. Procédé selon la revendication 22, dans lequel les erreurs induites dans les estimations des filtres de correction par des diffuseurs résistants excentrés sont réduites en filtrant en passe bas les signaux d'éléments reçus le long des coordonnées de position d'élément le long de l'ensemble et dans lequel les phases des filtres de correction pour chaque fréquence sont estimées selon un processus d'estimation de paramètre, par exemple un processus de probabilité maximale.
29. Procédé selon la revendication 15, dans lequel les filtres de correction sont estimés de manière approximative par des corrections de retard et d'amplitude, dans lequel les corrections d'amplitude pour un signal d'élément sont déterminées en faisant la moyenne des amplitudes de signaux d'éléments sur un intervalle de profondeur et sur les coordonnées de temps des impulsions de transmission multiples pour des diffuseurs se déplaçant ou se modifiant dans le temps.
30. Procédé selon la revendication 29, dans lequel les corrections de retard sont estimées par des procédés de corrélation.
31. Procédé selon la revendication 30, dans lequel les corrections de retard sont estimées par intégration des retards entre des signaux d'éléments voisins obtenus par analyse de corrélation.
32. Procédé selon la revendication 31, dans lequel une distorsion de plan dans les retards des filtres de correction sur l'ensemble est retirée après l'intégration ou par l'intermédiaire du retrait du composant de courant continu des retards voisins avant l'intégration.
33. Procédé selon la revendication 32, dans lequel l'intégration des retards voisins est divisée en différents niveaux hiérarchiques, dans lesquels dans un premier niveau les signaux d'éléments sont groupés en sous-groupes de nombre limité d'éléments voisins, dans lesquels l'intégration de retard voisin est effectuée dans chaque sous-groupe, et les signaux d'éléments à l'intérieur de chaque sous-groupe sont corrigés et ajoutés ensemble afin de former un nouvel ensemble de signaux d'éléments sur un niveau plus élevé, dans lequel les voisins sur le nouveau niveau sont regroupés ensemble en sous-groupes, et les estimations de retard entre les voisins sur le nouveau niveau sont intégrées dans les nouveaux sous-groupes, et les signaux d'éléments dans les nouveaux sous-groupes sont corrigés et ajoutés afin de former un deuxième niveau de signaux d'éléments corrigés, dans lequel le regroupement, l'estimation et l'intégration de gradient de retard et la correction et l'ajout des signaux sont effectués pour des éléments voisins de la même manière pour chaque niveau jusqu'à ce que tous les signaux d'éléments originaux aient été combinés en un signal de faisceau corrigé unique, les retards originaux finaux étant obtenus en tant que somme des retards sur tous les niveaux pour le trajet de ce signal d'élément particulier.
34. Procédé selon la revendication 32, dans lequel les corrections de retard sont estimées par corrélation entre les signaux d'éléments et les transformations de Hilbert des signaux d'éléments.
35. Procédé selon la revendication 31, dans lequel les retards de correction sont estimés par l'intermédiaire d'un processus d'estimation de paramètre, par exemple un processus de probabilité maximale.
36. Procédé selon la revendication 15, dans lequel le nombre de signaux d'éléments utilisé pour l'estimation des filtres de correction est réduit en combinant les signaux d'éléments des éléments d'ensemble petits voisins en groupes dans lesquels la dimension totale des éléments d'ensemble combinés dans chaque groupe est plus petite que la longueur de corrélation des aberrations de phase et des réverbérations d'impulsion.
37. Procédé selon la revendication 15, dans lequel une représentation de paramètre minimal des corrections de phase ou de retard est représentée par une série de Fourier généralisée tronquée sur les coordonnées de position d'élément, le nombre de termes dans les séries étant choisi de telle sorte que les propriétés de corrélation des corrections de phase ou de retard sont représentées de manière adéquate, les coefficients dans la série formant les paramètres devant être estimés.
38. Procédé selon la revendication 15, dans lequel les corrections de phase ou de retard sont estimées par l'analyse de corrélation des signaux d'éléments avec un signal de référence obtenu par les combinaisons des signaux d'éléments ou des parties des signaux d'éléments.
39. Procédé selon la revendication 38, dans lequel seul le signal de référence est filtré dans n'importe quelle bande harmonique, ou filtré en passe haut impulsion par impulsion, afin de réduire l'effet des réverbérations d'impulsion

dans l'estimation du filtre de correction, avant l'analyse de correction.

40. Procédé selon la revendication 38, dans lequel seul le signal de référence subit une transformation de Hilbert afin d'estimer les retards des filtres de correction.

41. Procédé selon la revendication 40, dans lequel la transformation de Hilbert de l'élément reçu ou des signaux de référence est estimée de manière approximative par un échantillon du signal retardé de $1/4f_c$, f_c étant la fréquence centrale de la bande de fréquence limitée réelle.

42. Procédé selon la revendication 15, dans lequel les estimations des filtres de correction sont utilisées pour corriger de nouvelles impulsions de faisceau de transmission produisant de nouveaux signaux d'éléments diffusés en retour devant être utilisés pour de nouvelles estimations des filtres de correction, d'une manière itérative.




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3a




Figure 3b




Figure 4a




Figure 4b




Figure 5




Figure 6




Figure 7a




Figure 7b




Figure 8

专利名称(译)	医学超声成像中相位像差和脉冲混响的校正		
公开(公告)号	EP1281074B1	公开(公告)日	2005-10-12
申请号	EP2001902872	申请日	2001-01-31
[标]申请(专利权)人(译)	ANGELSEN BJORN第] JOHANSEN TONNI F		
申请(专利权)人(译)	ANGELSEN , BJORN A. J. JOHANSEN , TONNI F.		
当前申请(专利权)人(译)	ANGELSEN , BJORN A. J. JOHANSEN , TONNI F.		
[标]发明人	ANGELSEN BJORN A J JOHANSEN TONNI F		
发明人	ANGELSEN, BJORN A. J. JOHANSEN, TONNI F.		
IPC分类号	A61B8/00 A61B8/08 G01S7/52 G01S15/89 G01N29/00 G01S15/00		
CPC分类号	G01S15/8925 A61B8/00 A61B8/0833 A61B8/481 G01S7/52038 G01S7/52049 G01S7/5205		
代理机构(译)	HOWE , 史蒂芬		
优先权	60/179196 2000-01-31 US		
其他公开文献	EP1281074A1		
外部链接	Espacenet		

摘要(译)

本发明涉及用于通过相位像差和混响来估计在医学超声图像中产生的图像劣化的校正的方法。因此，该方法适用于所有情况，例如在医学中使用超声成像，以及超声成像的其他类似情况。

$$g(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega) = s(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega) g_A(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_f; \omega)$$