



US010653308B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Pimenta et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 10,653,308 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** ***May 19, 2020**

(54) **SURGICAL ACCESS SYSTEM AND RELATED METHODS**

(71) Applicant: **NuVasive, Inc.**, San Diego, CA (US)
(72) Inventors: **Luiz Pimenta**, Sao Paula (BR); **Patrick Miles**, San Diego, CA (US); **Scot Martinelli**, Mountain Top, PA (US); **Eric Finley**, Poway, CA (US)
(73) Assignee: **NuVasive, Inc.**, San Diego, CA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 1035 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **15/071,540**

(22) Filed: **Mar. 16, 2016**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2016/0192921 A1 Jul. 7, 2016

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 14/066,098, filed on Oct. 29, 2013, now Pat. No. 9,314,152, which is a (Continued)

(51) **Int. Cl.**

A61B 17/02 (2006.01)

A61B 1/32 (2006.01)

(Continued)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC **A61B 1/32** (2013.01); **A61B 5/04001** (2013.01); **A61B 5/4836** (2013.01); **A61B 17/02** (2013.01); **A61B 2017/0256** (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**

CPC **A61B 1/32**; **A61B 2017/0256**
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

208,227 A 9/1878 Dorr
509,226 A 11/1893 Kellogg
(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE 299 08 259 7/1999
DE 100 48 790 4/2002
(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

510(K) No. K002677, approved by the FDA on Nov. 13, 2000, 634 pages.

(Continued)


Primary Examiner — Devin B Henson

Assistant Examiner — H.Q. Nguyen

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A surgical access system including a tissue distraction assembly and a tissue retraction assembly, both of which may be equipped with one or more electrodes for use in detecting the existence of (and optionally the distance and/or direction to) neural structures before, during, and after the establishment of an operative corridor to a surgical target site. Some embodiments of the surgical access system may be particularly suited for establishing an operative corridor to a surgical target site in the spine. Such an operative corridor may be established through the retroperitoneal space and the psoas muscle during a direct lateral, retroperitoneal approach to the spine.

20 Claims, 50 Drawing Sheets



Related U.S. Application Data				
	continuation of application No. 12/983,627, filed on Jan. 3, 2011, now Pat. No. 8,591,432, which is a continuation of application No. 12/635,869, filed on Dec. 11, 2009, now Pat. No. 8,303,515, which is a continuation of application No. 10/967,668, filed on Oct. 18, 2004, now Pat. No. 7,905,840.	4,917,274 A	4/1990	Asa et al.
		4,917,704 A	4/1990	Frey et al.
		4,926,865 A	5/1990	Oman
		4,945,896 A	8/1990	Gade
		4,950,257 A	8/1990	Hibbs et al.
		4,962,766 A	10/1990	Herzon
		4,964,411 A	10/1990	Johnson et al.
		5,007,902 A	4/1991	Witt
		5,015,247 A	5/1991	Michelson
		5,045,054 A	9/1991	Hood et al.
		5,052,373 A	10/1991	Michelson
(60)	Provisional application No. 60/512,594, filed on Oct. 17, 2003.	5,058,602 A	10/1991	Brody
		5,081,990 A	1/1992	Deletis
		5,092,344 A	3/1992	Lee
(51)	Int. Cl.	5,127,403 A	7/1992	Brownlee
	<i>A61B 5/04</i> (2006.01)	5,161,533 A	11/1992	Prass et al.
	<i>A61B 5/00</i> (2006.01)	5,171,279 A	12/1992	Mathews
		5,178,133 A	1/1993	Pena
(56)	References Cited	5,190,561 A	3/1993	Graber
	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS	5,192,327 A	3/1993	Brantigan
		5,195,541 A	3/1993	Obenchain
		5,196,015 A	3/1993	Neubardt
		5,215,100 A	6/1993	Spitz et al.
		5,231,974 A	8/1993	Giglio et al.
		RE34,390 E	9/1993	Culver
		D340,521 S	10/1993	Heinzelman et al.
		5,255,691 A	10/1993	Otten
		5,261,918 A	11/1993	Phillips et al.
		5,282,468 A	2/1994	Klepinski
		5,284,153 A	2/1994	Raymond et al.
		5,284,154 A	2/1994	Raymond et al.
		5,295,994 A	3/1994	Bonutti
		5,299,563 A	4/1994	Seton
		5,312,417 A	5/1994	Wilk
		5,313,956 A	5/1994	Knutsson et al.
		5,313,962 A	5/1994	Obenchain
		5,327,902 A	7/1994	Lemmen
		5,331,975 A	7/1994	Bonutti
		5,333,618 A	8/1994	Lekhtman et al.
		5,342,384 A	8/1994	Sugarbaker
		5,357,983 A	10/1994	Mathews
		5,375,067 A	12/1994	Berchin
		5,375,594 A	12/1994	Cueva
		5,383,876 A	1/1995	Nardella
		5,395,317 A	3/1995	Kambin
		5,425,772 A	6/1995	Brantigan
		5,433,739 A	7/1995	Sluijter et al.
		5,450,845 A	9/1995	Alexgaard
		5,458,638 A	10/1995	Kuslich et al.
		5,472,426 A	12/1995	Bonati et al.
		5,474,057 A	12/1995	Makower et al.
		5,474,558 A	12/1995	Neubardt
		5,480,440 A	1/1996	Kambin
		5,482,038 A	1/1996	Ruff
		5,484,437 A	1/1996	Michelson
		5,487,739 A	1/1996	Aebischer et al.
		5,509,893 A	4/1996	Pracas
		5,514,153 A	5/1996	Bonutti
		5,540,235 A	7/1996	Wilson
		5,545,222 A	8/1996	Bonutti
		5,549,656 A	8/1996	Reiss
		5,560,372 A	10/1996	Cory
		5,562,736 A	10/1996	Ray et al.
		5,566,678 A	10/1996	Cadwell
		5,569,290 A	10/1996	McAfee
		5,571,149 A	11/1996	Liss et al.
		5,579,781 A	12/1996	Cooke
		5,593,429 A	1/1997	Ruff
		5,599,279 A	2/1997	Slotman et al.
		5,630,813 A	5/1997	Kieturakis
		5,653,761 A	8/1997	Pisharodi
		5,653,762 A	8/1997	Pisharodi
		5,667,508 A	9/1997	Errico et al.
		5,669,909 A	9/1997	Zdeblick et al.
		5,671,752 A	9/1997	Sinderby et al.
		5,681,265 A	10/1997	Maeda et al.
		5,688,223 A	11/1997	Rosendahl
		5,707,359 A	1/1998	Bufalini
		5,711,307 A	1/1998	Smits

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,716,415 A	2/1998	Steffee	6,104,957 A	8/2000	Alo et al.
5,720,751 A	2/1998	Jackson	6,104,960 A	8/2000	Duysens et al.
5,728,046 A	3/1998	Mayer et al.	6,113,638 A	9/2000	Williams et al.
5,728,159 A	3/1998	Stroeveer et al.	6,120,503 A	9/2000	Michelson
5,741,253 A	4/1998	Michelson	6,120,506 A	9/2000	Kohrs et al.
5,741,261 A	4/1998	Moskovitz et al.	6,126,660 A	10/2000	Dietz
5,759,159 A	6/1998	Masreliez	6,132,386 A	10/2000	Gozani et al.
5,762,629 A	6/1998	Kambin	6,132,387 A	10/2000	Gozani et al.
5,766,252 A	6/1998	Henry et al.	6,135,965 A	10/2000	Turner et al.
5,772,661 A	6/1998	Michelson	6,139,493 A	10/2000	Koros et al.
5,775,331 A	7/1998	Raymond et al.	6,142,931 A	11/2000	Kaji
5,776,144 A	7/1998	Leysieffer et al.	6,146,335 A	11/2000	Gozani
5,779,642 A	7/1998	Nightengale	6,152,871 A	11/2000	Foley et al.
5,785,658 A	7/1998	Benaron	6,159,179 A	12/2000	Simonson
5,792,044 A	8/1998	Foley et al.	6,159,211 A	12/2000	Boriani et al.
5,797,854 A	8/1998	Hedgecock	6,159,215 A	12/2000	Urbahns et al.
5,797,909 A	8/1998	Michelson	6,159,230 A	12/2000	Samuels
5,800,550 A	9/1998	Sertich	6,161,047 A	12/2000	King et al.
5,813,978 A	9/1998	Jako	6,174,311 B1	1/2001	Branch et al.
5,814,073 A	9/1998	Bonutti	6,181,961 B1	1/2001	Prass
5,814,084 A	9/1998	Grivas et al.	6,187,000 B1	2/2001	Davison et al.
5,830,151 A	11/1998	Hadzic et al.	6,193,756 B1	2/2001	Studer et al.
5,851,191 A	12/1998	Gozani	6,196,969 B1	3/2001	Bester et al.
5,851,208 A	12/1998	Trott	6,200,347 B1	3/2001	Anderson et al.
5,853,373 A	12/1998	Griffith et al.	6,206,826 B1	3/2001	Mathews et al.
5,860,973 A	1/1999	Michelson	6,206,922 B1	3/2001	Zdebleck et al.
5,862,314 A	1/1999	Jeddeloh	6,217,509 B1	4/2001	Foley et al.
5,865,845 A	2/1999	Thalgott	6,224,545 B1	5/2001	Cocchia et al.
5,872,314 A	2/1999	Clinton	6,224,549 B1	5/2001	Drongelen
5,885,210 A	3/1999	Cox	6,224,607 B1	5/2001	Michelson
5,885,219 A	3/1999	Nightengale	6,224,631 B1	5/2001	Kohrs
5,888,196 A	3/1999	Bonutti	6,241,771 B1	6/2001	Gresser et al.
5,888,224 A	3/1999	Beckers et al.	6,245,082 B1	6/2001	Gellman et al.
5,891,147 A	4/1999	Moskovitz et al.	6,251,140 B1	6/2001	Marino et al.
5,893,890 A	4/1999	Pisharodi	6,258,125 B1	7/2001	Paul et al.
5,902,231 A	5/1999	Foley et al.	6,259,945 B1	7/2001	Epstein et al.
5,910,315 A	6/1999	Stevenson et al.	6,264,651 B1	7/2001	Underwood et al.
5,928,139 A	7/1999	Koros et al.	6,266,558 B1	7/2001	Gozani et al.
5,928,158 A	7/1999	Aristides	6,273,905 B1	8/2001	Streeter
5,931,777 A	8/1999	Sava	6,277,149 B1	8/2001	Boyle et al.
5,935,131 A	8/1999	Bonutti et al.	6,292,701 B1	9/2001	Prass et al.
5,938,688 A	8/1999	Schiff	6,306,100 B1	10/2001	Prass
5,944,658 A	8/1999	Koros et al.	6,308,712 B1	10/2001	Shaw
5,954,769 A	9/1999	Rosenlicht	6,312,392 B1	11/2001	Herzon
5,968,098 A	10/1999	Winslow	6,319,257 B1	11/2001	Carignan et al.
5,976,094 A	11/1999	Gozani et al.	6,325,764 B1	12/2001	Griffith et al.
5,976,146 A	11/1999	Ogawa et al.	6,334,068 B1	12/2001	Hacker
5,993,474 A	11/1999	Ouchi	6,348,058 B1	2/2002	Melkent et al.
6,004,262 A	12/1999	Putz et al.	6,360,750 B1	3/2002	Gerber et al.
6,004,312 A	12/1999	Finneran	6,371,968 B1	4/2002	Kogasaka et al.
6,004,326 A	12/1999	Castro et al.	6,371,989 B1	4/2002	Chauvin et al.
6,007,487 A	12/1999	Foley et al.	6,383,221 B1	5/2002	Scarborough et al.
6,008,433 A	12/1999	Stone	6,395,007 B1	5/2002	Bhatnagar et al.
6,010,520 A	1/2000	Pattison	6,409,766 B1	6/2002	Brett
6,015,436 A	1/2000	Schoenhoeffer	6,416,465 B2	7/2002	Brau
6,024,696 A	2/2000	Hoftman et al.	6,425,859 B1	7/2002	Foley et al.
6,024,697 A	2/2000	Pisarik	6,425,887 B1	7/2002	McGuckin et al.
6,027,456 A	2/2000	Feler et al.	6,425,901 B1	7/2002	Zhu et al.
6,033,405 A	3/2000	Winslow et al.	6,432,048 B1	8/2002	Francois
6,036,638 A	3/2000	Nwawka	6,432,140 B1	8/2002	Lin
6,038,469 A	3/2000	Karlsson et al.	6,440,142 B1	8/2002	Ralph et al.
6,038,477 A	3/2000	Kayyali	6,442,814 B1	9/2002	Landry et al.
6,039,761 A	3/2000	Li et al.	6,450,952 B1	9/2002	Rioux et al.
6,042,582 A	3/2000	Ray	6,451,015 B1	9/2002	Rittman, III et al.
6,045,580 A	4/2000	Scarborough et al.	6,454,806 B1	9/2002	Cohen et al.
6,048,342 A	4/2000	Zucherman et al.	6,466,817 B1	10/2002	Kaula et al.
6,050,992 A	4/2000	Nichols	6,468,205 B1	10/2002	Mollenauer et al.
6,059,829 A	5/2000	Schlaepfer et al.	6,468,207 B1	10/2002	Fowler, Jr.
6,063,088 A	5/2000	Winslow	6,468,311 B2	10/2002	Boyd et al.
6,074,343 A	6/2000	Nathanson et al.	6,491,724 B1	12/2002	Ferree
6,080,105 A	6/2000	Spears	6,500,116 B1	12/2002	Knapp
6,083,154 A	7/2000	Liu et al.	6,500,128 B2	12/2002	Marino
6,083,225 A	7/2000	Winslow et al.	6,520,907 B1	2/2003	Foley et al.
6,095,987 A	8/2000	Schmulewitz	6,524,320 B2	2/2003	DiPoto
6,096,080 A	8/2000	Nicholson et al.	6,535,759 B1	3/2003	Epstein et al.
			D472,634 S	4/2003	Anderson
			D472,650 S	4/2003	Green
			6,564,078 B1	5/2003	Marino et al.
			6,579,244 B2	6/2003	Goodwin

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,595,998	B2	7/2003	Johnson et al.	8,021,430	B2	9/2011	Michelson
6,599,294	B2	7/2003	Fuss et al.	8,055,349	B2	11/2011	Kaula et al.
6,620,157	B1	9/2003	Dabney et al.	8,068,912	B2	11/2011	Gharib et al.
6,626,905	B1	9/2003	Schmiel et al.	8,114,019	B2	2/2012	Miles et al.
6,635,086	B2	10/2003	Lin	8,133,173	B2	3/2012	Miles et al.
6,645,194	B2	11/2003	Briscoe et al.	8,137,284	B2	3/2012	Miles et al.
6,648,895	B2	11/2003	Burkus et al.	8,172,750	B2	5/2012	Miles et al.
6,672,019	B1	1/2004	Wenz et al.	8,182,423	B2	5/2012	Miles et al.
6,676,703	B2	1/2004	Biscup	8,187,179	B2	5/2012	Miles et al.
6,679,833	B2	1/2004	Smith et al.	8,187,334	B2	5/2012	Curran et al.
6,706,067	B2	3/2004	Shimp et al.	8,192,356	B2	6/2012	Miles et al.
6,719,692	B2	4/2004	Kleffner et al.	8,192,357	B2	6/2012	Miles et al.
6,746,484	B1	6/2004	Liu et al.	8,244,343	B2	8/2012	Gharib et al.
6,755,841	B2	6/2004	Fraser et al.	8,246,686	B1	8/2012	Curran et al.
6,760,616	B2	7/2004	Hoey et al.	8,251,997	B2	8/2012	Michelson
6,761,739	B2	7/2004	Shepard	8,303,458	B2	11/2012	Fukano et al.
6,770,074	B2	8/2004	Michelson	8,303,498	B2	11/2012	Miles et al.
6,796,985	B2	9/2004	Bolger et al.	8,303,515	B2	11/2012	Pimenta et al.
6,810,281	B2	10/2004	Brock et al.	8,337,410	B2	12/2012	Kelleher et al.
6,819,956	B2	11/2004	DiLorenzo	8,343,046	B2	1/2013	Miles et al.
6,824,564	B2	11/2004	Crozet	8,343,224	B2	1/2013	Lynn et al.
6,829,508	B2	12/2004	Schulman et al.	8,355,780	B2	1/2013	Miles et al.
6,830,570	B1	12/2004	Frey et al.	8,361,156	B2	1/2013	Curran et al.
6,847,849	B2	1/2005	Mamo et al.	8,388,527	B2	3/2013	Miles
6,849,047	B2	2/2005	Goodwin	8,403,841	B2	3/2013	Miles et al.
6,855,105	B2	2/2005	Jackson, III et al.	8,439,832	B2	5/2013	Miles et al.
6,869,398	B2	3/2005	Obenchain	8,489,170	B2	7/2013	Marino et al.
6,871,099	B1	3/2005	Whitehurst et al.	8,500,634	B2	8/2013	Miles et al.
D503,801	S	4/2005	Jackson	8,512,235	B2	8/2013	Miles et al.
6,902,569	B2	6/2005	Parmer et al.	8,523,768	B2	9/2013	Miles et al.
6,916,330	B2	7/2005	Simonson	8,548,579	B2	10/2013	Gharib et al.
6,926,728	B2	8/2005	Zucherman et al.	8,550,994	B2	10/2013	Miles et al.
6,929,606	B2	8/2005	Ritland	8,556,808	B2	10/2013	Miles et al.
6,942,698	B1	9/2005	Jackson	8,562,521	B2	10/2013	Miles et al.
6,945,933	B2	9/2005	Branch	8,574,301	B2	11/2013	Curran et al.
6,951,538	B2	10/2005	Ritland	8,591,432	B2	11/2013	Pimenta et al.
6,964,687	B1	11/2005	Bernard et al.	8,602,982	B2	12/2013	Miles et al.
6,979,353	B2	12/2005	Bresina	8,608,804	B2	12/2013	Curran et al.
6,984,245	B2	1/2006	McGahan et al.	8,628,469	B2	1/2014	Miles et al.
6,986,788	B2	1/2006	Paul et al.	8,634,904	B2	1/2014	Kaula et al.
6,989,031	B2	1/2006	Michelson	8,663,100	B2	3/2014	Miles et al.
7,018,416	B2	3/2006	Hanson et al.	8,672,840	B2	3/2014	Miles et al.
7,047,082	B1	5/2006	Schrom et al.	8,673,005	B1	3/2014	Pimenta et al.
7,050,848	B2	5/2006	Hoey et al.	8,679,006	B2	3/2014	Miles et al.
7,079,883	B2	7/2006	Marino et al.	8,685,105	B2	4/2014	Curran et al.
7,089,059	B1	8/2006	Pless	8,696,559	B2	4/2014	Miles et al.
D530,423	S	10/2006	Miles et al.	8,708,899	B2	4/2014	Miles et al.
7,166,113	B2	1/2007	Arambula et al.	8,738,123	B2	5/2014	Gharib et al.
7,177,677	B2	2/2007	Kaula et al.	8,740,783	B2	6/2014	Gharib et al.
7,198,598	B2	4/2007	Smith et al.	8,747,307	B2	6/2014	Miles et al.
7,207,949	B2	4/2007	Miles et al.	8,753,270	B2	6/2014	Miles et al.
7,226,451	B2	6/2007	Shluzas et al.	8,753,271	B1	6/2014	Miles et al.
7,261,688	B2	8/2007	Smith et al.	8,764,649	B2	7/2014	Miles et al.
7,470,236	B1	12/2008	Kelleher et al.	8,768,450	B2	7/2014	Gharib et al.
7,473,222	B2	1/2009	Dewey et al.	8,780,899	B2	7/2014	Tillman et al.
7,481,766	B2	1/2009	Lee et al.	8,812,116	B2	8/2014	Kaula et al.
7,522,953	B2	4/2009	Kaula et al.	8,814,940	B2	8/2014	Curran et al.
7,556,601	B2	7/2009	Branch et al.	8,821,396	B1	9/2014	Miles et al.
7,582,058	B1	9/2009	Miles et al.	8,915,846	B2	12/2014	Miles et al.
7,643,884	B2	1/2010	Pond et al.	8,942,801	B2	1/2015	Miles et al.
7,691,057	B2	4/2010	Miles et al.	8,945,004	B2	2/2015	Miles et al.
7,693,562	B2	4/2010	Marino et al.	8,956,283	B2	2/2015	Miles et al.
7,717,959	B2	5/2010	William et al.	8,958,869	B2	2/2015	Kelleher et al.
7,819,801	B2	10/2010	Miles et al.	8,977,352	B2	3/2015	Gharib et al.
7,892,173	B2	2/2011	Miles et al.	9,014,776	B2	4/2015	Marino et al.
7,905,840	B2	3/2011	Pimenta et al.	9,037,250	B2	5/2015	Kaula et al.
7,918,891	B1	4/2011	Curran et al.	9,180,021	B2	11/2015	Curran et al.
7,920,922	B2	4/2011	Kaula et al.	9,186,261	B2	11/2015	Pimenta et al.
7,935,051	B2	5/2011	Miles et al.	9,204,871	B2	12/2015	Miles et al.
7,962,191	B2	6/2011	Marino et al.	9,265,493	B2	2/2016	Miles et al.
7,963,927	B2	6/2011	Kelleher et al.	9,301,743	B2	4/2016	Miles et al.
7,991,463	B2	8/2011	Kelleher et al.	9,314,152	B2	4/2016	Pimenta et al.
8,000,782	B2	8/2011	Gharib et al.	9,387,090	B2	7/2016	Arnold et al.
8,005,535	B2	8/2011	Gharib et al.	9,456,783	B2	10/2016	Kaula et al.
8,016,767	B2	9/2011	Miles et al.	9,468,405	B2	10/2016	Miles et al.
				9,474,627	B2	10/2016	Curran et al.
				9,486,329	B2	11/2016	Pimenta et al.
				9,572,562	B2	2/2017	Miles et al.
				9,610,071	B2	4/2017	Miles et al.

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

9,636,233 B2 5/2017 Arnold et al.
 2001/0037123 A1 11/2001 Hancock
 2001/0039949 A1 11/2001 Loubser
 2001/0056280 A1 12/2001 Underwood et al.
 2002/0007129 A1 1/2002 Marino
 2002/0010392 A1 1/2002 Desai
 2002/0013514 A1 1/2002 Brau
 2002/0016592 A1 2/2002 Branch et al.
 2002/0065481 A1 5/2002 Cory et al.
 2002/0072686 A1 6/2002 Hoey et al.
 2002/0077632 A1 6/2002 Tsou
 2002/0123744 A1 9/2002 Reynard
 2002/0123780 A1 9/2002 Grill et al.
 2002/0147387 A1 10/2002 Paolitto et al.
 2002/0161415 A1 10/2002 Cohen et al.
 2002/0193843 A1 12/2002 Hill et al.
 2003/0032966 A1 2/2003 Foley et al.
 2003/0070682 A1 4/2003 Wilson et al.
 2003/0083688 A1 5/2003 Simonson
 2003/0105503 A1 6/2003 Marino
 2003/0105528 A1 6/2003 Shimp et al.
 2003/0109928 A1 6/2003 Pasquet et al.
 2003/0139648 A1 7/2003 Foley et al.
 2003/0149341 A1 8/2003 Clifton
 2003/0225405 A1 12/2003 Weiner
 2003/0236544 A1 12/2003 Lunsford et al.
 2004/0181165 A1 9/2004 Hoey et al.
 2004/0199084 A1 10/2004 Kelleher et al.
 2004/0225228 A1 11/2004 Ferree
 2004/0230191 A1 11/2004 Frey et al.
 2005/0004593 A1 1/2005 Simonson
 2005/0004623 A1 1/2005 Miles et al.
 2005/0033380 A1 2/2005 Tanner et al.
 2005/0060006 A1 3/2005 Pflueger et al.
 2005/0075578 A1 4/2005 Gharib et al.
 2005/0080320 A1 4/2005 Lee et al.
 2005/0149035 A1 7/2005 Pimenta et al.
 2005/0149054 A1 7/2005 Gorek
 2005/0182454 A1 8/2005 Gharib et al.
 2005/0192575 A1 9/2005 Pacheco
 2005/0203538 A1 9/2005 Lo et al.
 2005/0228232 A1 10/2005 Gillinov et al.
 2005/0277812 A1 12/2005 Myles
 2006/0025703 A1 2/2006 Miles et al.
 2006/0052826 A1 3/2006 Kim et al.
 2006/0052828 A1 3/2006 Kim et al.
 2006/0069315 A1 3/2006 Miles et al.
 2006/0224078 A1 10/2006 Hoey et al.
 2006/0235529 A1 10/2006 Ralph et al.
 2006/0247658 A1 11/2006 Pond, Jr. et al.
 2007/0016097 A1 1/2007 Farquhar et al.
 2007/0021682 A1 1/2007 Gharib et al.
 2007/0049931 A1 3/2007 Justis et al.
 2007/0049962 A1 3/2007 Marino et al.
 2007/0072475 A1 3/2007 Justin et al.
 2007/0100212 A1 5/2007 Pimenta et al.
 2007/0198062 A1 8/2007 Miles et al.
 2007/0208228 A1 9/2007 Pavento et al.
 2007/0260317 A1 11/2007 Ankney et al.
 2007/0270842 A1 11/2007 Bankoski et al.
 2007/0293782 A1 12/2007 Marino
 2008/0058606 A1 3/2008 Miles et al.
 2008/0058838 A1 3/2008 Steinberg
 2008/0064976 A1 3/2008 Kelleher et al.
 2008/0064977 A1 3/2008 Kelleher et al.
 2008/0065135 A1 3/2008 Marino et al.
 2008/0065144 A1 3/2008 Marino et al.
 2008/0065178 A1 3/2008 Kelleher et al.
 2008/0071191 A1 3/2008 Kelleher et al.
 2008/0077138 A1 3/2008 Cohen et al.
 2008/0097164 A1 4/2008 Miles et al.
 2008/0103601 A1 5/2008 Biro et al.
 2008/0146885 A1 6/2008 Protopsaltis
 2008/0183214 A1 7/2008 Copp et al.
 2008/0221394 A1 9/2008 Melkent et al.

2008/0288077 A1 11/2008 Reo et al.
 2008/0300465 A1 12/2008 Feigenwinter et al.
 2009/0030519 A1 1/2009 Falahee
 2009/0124860 A1 5/2009 Miles et al.
 2009/0132049 A1 5/2009 Carver et al.
 2009/0138050 A1 5/2009 Ferree
 2009/0138090 A1 5/2009 Hurlbert et al.
 2009/0192403 A1 7/2009 Gharib et al.
 2009/0204016 A1 8/2009 Gharib et al.
 2010/0069783 A1 3/2010 Miles et al.
 2010/0106251 A1 4/2010 Kast
 2010/0130827 A1 5/2010 Pimenta et al.
 2010/0152603 A1 6/2010 Miles et al.
 2010/0160738 A1 6/2010 Miles et al.
 2010/0174146 A1 7/2010 Miles
 2010/0174148 A1 7/2010 Miles et al.
 2010/0228350 A1 9/2010 Gornet et al.
 2011/0046448 A1 2/2011 Paolitto et al.
 2011/0218631 A1 9/2011 Woodburn, Sr. et al.
 2011/0313530 A1 12/2011 Gharib et al.
 2012/0238822 A1 9/2012 Miles
 2012/0238893 A1 9/2012 Farquhar et al.
 2013/0331943 A1 12/2013 Arnold et al.
 2014/0235950 A1 8/2014 Miles et al.
 2014/0288374 A1 9/2014 Miles et al.
 2014/0288375 A1 9/2014 Miles et al.
 2015/0150693 A1 6/2015 Gharib et al.
 2015/0157227 A1 6/2015 Kelleher et al.
 2015/0157228 A1 6/2015 Marino et al.
 2015/0282948 A1 10/2015 Arnold et al.
 2016/0120530 A1 5/2016 Miles et al.
 2016/0174958 A1 6/2016 Miles et al.
 2016/0174959 A1 6/2016 Miles et al.
 2016/0192921 A1 7/2016 Pimenta et al.
 2016/0374613 A1 12/2016 Kaula et al.
 2017/0007421 A1 1/2017 Curran et al.
 2017/0020503 A1 1/2017 Miles et al.
 2017/0027712 A1 2/2017 Pimenta et al.
 2017/0143514 A1 5/2017 Gharib et al.
 2017/0143515 A1 5/2017 Gharib et al.
 2017/0156580 A1 6/2017 Miles et al.
 2017/0164938 A1 6/2017 Miles et al.
 2017/0172558 A1 6/2017 Miles et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP 0 334 116 9/1989
 EP 0 567 424 10/1993
 EP 0 972 538 1/2000
 EP 1 002 500 5/2000
 FR 2 795 624 1/2001
 JP 793186 5/1990
 JP 10-14928 3/1996
 KR 3019990007098 11/1999
 WO 94/28824 12/1994
 WO 97/00702 1/1997
 WO 98/23324 6/1998
 WO 99/52446 10/1999
 WO 00/27291 5/2000
 WO 00/38574 7/2000
 WO 00/44288 8/2000
 WO WO-0062660 A1 10/2000
 WO 00/66217 11/2000
 WO 00/67645 11/2000
 WO 01/08563 2/2001
 WO 01/37728 5/2001
 WO 01/60263 8/2001
 WO 02/054960 7/2002
 WO 02/058780 8/2002
 WO 02/71953 9/2002
 WO 02/87678 11/2002
 WO 03/005887 1/2003
 WO 03/026482 4/2003
 WO 03/037170 5/2003
 WO WO-03084398 A1 10/2003
 WO WO-2004064634 A1 8/2004
 WO 05/013805 2/2005
 WO 05/030318 4/2005
 WO 06/042241 4/2006

(56) **References Cited**

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

WO	06/066217	6/2006
WO	WO-2007035925 A2	3/2007
WO	WO-2007136784 A2	11/2007
WO	WO-2009055034 A1	4/2009
WO	WO-2011059491 A1	5/2011
WO	WO-2011059498 A1	5/2011
WO	WO-2012026981 A1	3/2012
WO	WO-2012103254 A2	8/2012
WO	WO-2013028571 A1	2/2013

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

510(K) No. K013215, approved by the FDA on Oct. 16, 2001, 376 pages.

Co-pending U.S. Appl. No. 15/498,296, filed Apr. 26, 2017.

Co-pending U.S. Appl. No. 90/013,464, filed Mar. 6, 2015.

Co-pending U.S. Appl. No. 90/013,546, filed Jul. 8, 2015.

Co-pending U.S. Appl. No. 90/013,605, filed Oct. 8, 2015.

Co-pending U.S. Appl. No. 95/001,247, filed Oct. 27, 2009.

Co-pending U.S. Appl. No. 95/001,888, filed Feb. 9, 2012.

Co-pending U.S. Appl. No. 95/001,890, filed Feb. 9, 2012.

European Search Report dated Apr. 7, 2015 for EP Application No. 12826211.0.

European Search Report dated Aug. 2, 2012 for EP Application No. 12001129.1.

European Search Report dated Sep. 28, 2009 for EP Application No. 02778359.6.

In re: NuVasive, Inc., in 2015-1670 decided on Dec. 7, 2016, 13 Pages.

In re: NuVasive, Inc., in 2015-1672, 2015-1673 decided on Nov. 9, 2016, 16 Pages.

In re: NuVasive, Inc., in 2015-1838 signed on May 10, 2017, 2 Pages.

In re: NuVasive, Inc., in 2015-1839, 2015-1840 signed on May 10, 2017, 2 Pages.

In re: NuVasive, Inc., in 2015-1841 decided on May 31, 2017, 16 Pages.

In re: NuVasive, Inc., in 2015-1842, 2015-1843 signed on May 10, 2017, 2 Pages.

In re: Warsaw Orthopedic, Inc. In 15-1050 filed Oct. 14, 2014.

In re: Warsaw Orthopedic, Inc. In 15-1058 filed Oct. 17, 2014.

International Search Report dated Jan. 12, 2011 for International Application No. PCT/US2010/002960.

International Search Report dated Feb. 9, 2005 for International Application PCT/US2004/031768.

International Search Report dated Mar. 27, 2003 for International Application PCT/US2002/022247.

International Search Report dated Jun. 5, 2003 for International Application No. PCT/US2002/030617.

International Search Report dated Jul. 24, 2012 for International Application No. PCT/US2012/022600.

International Search Report dated Oct. 29, 2012 for International Application No. PCT/US2012/051480.

International Search Report dated Dec. 13, 2011 for International Application No. PCT/US2011/001489.

International Search Report dated Dec. 24, 2008 for International Application No. PCT/US2008/012121.

International Search Report dated Mar. 23, 2011 for International Application No. PCT/US2010/002951.

Medtronic Sofamor Danek "UNION TM / UNION-L TM Anterior & Lateral Impacted Fusion Devices: Clear choice of stabilization," Medtronic Sofamor Danek, 2000, 4 pages.

Notice of allowance dated Jan. 14, 2016 for U.S. Appl. No. 14/066,098.

Notice of Allowance dated Mar. 30, 2017 for U.S. Appl. No. 14/263,797.

Notice of Allowance dated Apr. 12, 2017 for U.S. Appl. No. 15/272,071.

NuVasive, Inc. v. Medtronic, Inc. in 15-1674 filed May 26, 2015, Individual documents of the referenced court case are not being submitted herewith, as Applicant understands the documents to be readily accessible by the Examiner. However, Applicant can provide any of the documents upon request.

NuVasive, Inc. v. Medtronic, Inc. in 15-1712 filed Jun. 8, 2015, Individual documents of the referenced court case are not being submitted herewith, as Applicant understands the documents to be readily accessible by the Examiner. However, Applicant can provide any of the documents upon request.

NuVasive, Inc. v. Warsaw Orthopedic, Inc. in 15-1049 filed Oct. 14, 2014, Individual documents of the referenced court case are not being submitted herewith, as Applicant understands the documents to be readily accessible by the Examiner. However, Applicant can provide any of the documents upon request.

NuVasive Vertebral Body Access System, 2000, 1 page.

Office Action dated Jan. 11, 2012 for U.S. Appl. No. 11/982,185.

Office Action dated Jan. 12, 2012 for U.S. Appl. No. 11/489,020.

Office Action dated Jan. 21, 2011 for U.S. Appl. No. 11/982,185.

Office Action dated Feb. 26, 2016 for U.S. Appl. No. 14/622,585.

Office Action dated Mar. 16, 2009 for U.S. Appl. No. 11/489,020.

Office Action dated Mar. 29, 2017 for U.S. Appl. No. 15/448,395.

Office Action dated Apr. 5, 2017 for U.S. Appl. No. 15/445,854.

Office Action dated Apr. 6, 2017 for U.S. Appl. No. 15/439,889.

Office Action dated Apr. 7, 2017 for U.S. Appl. No. 15/059,215.

Office Action dated Apr. 29, 2010 for U.S. Appl. No. 11/982,185.

Office Action dated May 6, 2010 for U.S. Appl. No. 11/489,020.

Office Action dated May 8, 2014 for U.S. Appl. No. 13/964,836.

Office Action dated Jun. 17, 2009 for U.S. Appl. No. 11/982,185.

Office action dated Jul. 15, 2015 for U.S. Appl. No. 14/066,098.

Office Action dated Sep. 15, 2008 for U.S. Appl. No. 11/489,020.

Office Action dated Sep. 19, 2016 for U.S. Appl. No. 14/263,797.

Office Action dated Sep. 23, 2016 for U.S. Appl. No. 14/622,585.

Office Action dated Oct. 9, 2009 for U.S. Appl. No. 11/489,020.

Office Action dated Oct. 20, 2016 for U.S. Appl. No. 14/297,369.

Office Action dated Nov. 28, 2016 for U.S. Appl. No. 14/994,640.

Office Action dated Nov. 4, 2016 for U.S. Appl. No. 14/297,438.

Office Action dated Dec. 19, 2014 for U.S. Appl. No. 13/964,836.

Office action dated Dec. 22, 2014 for U.S. Appl. No. 14/066,098.

Patent Trial and Appeal Board Decision from IPR 2014-00087, dated Apr. 8, 2014, 31 pages. Individual documents of the referenced court case are not being submitted herewith, as Applicant

understands the documents to be readily accessible by the Examiner. However, Applicant can provide any of the documents upon request.

Petition for Inter Partes Review by Medtronic, Inc. in IPR2013-00504 filed Aug. 14, 2013, Individual documents of the referenced court case are not being submitted herewith, as Applicant understands the documents to be readily accessible by the Examiner.

However, Applicant can provide any of the documents upon request. Petition for Inter Partes Review by Medtronic, Inc. in IPR2013-00506 filed Aug. 14, 2013, Individual documents of the referenced court case are not being submitted herewith, as Applicant understands the documents to be readily accessible by the Examiner.

However, Applicant can provide any of the documents upon request. Petition for Inter Partes Review by Medtronic, Inc. in IPR2013-00507 filed Aug. 14, 2013, Individual documents of the referenced court case are not being submitted herewith, as Applicant understands the documents to be readily accessible by the Examiner.

However, Applicant can provide any of the documents upon request. Petition for Inter Partes Review by Medtronic, Inc. in IPR2013-00508 filed Aug. 14, 2013, Individual documents of the referenced court case are not being submitted herewith, as Applicant understands the documents to be readily accessible by the Examiner.

However, Applicant can provide any of the documents upon request. Petition for Inter Partes Review by Medtronic, Inc. in IPR2014-00034 filed Oct. 8, 2013, Individual documents of the referenced court case are not being submitted herewith, as Applicant understands the documents to be readily accessible by the Examiner.

However, Applicant can provide any of the documents upon request. Petition for Inter Partes Review by Medtronic, Inc. in IPR2014-00035 filed Oct. 8, 2013, Individual documents of the referenced court case are not being submitted herewith, as Applicant under-

stands the documents to be readily accessible by the Examiner. However, Applicant can provide any of the documents upon request.

Petition for Inter Partes Review by Medtronic, Inc. in IPR2014-00035 filed Oct. 8, 2013, Individual documents of the referenced court case are not being submitted herewith, as Applicant under-

stands the documents to be readily accessible by the Examiner. However, Applicant can provide any of the documents upon request.

Petition for Inter Partes Review by Medtronic, Inc. in IPR2014-00035 filed Oct. 8, 2013, Individual documents of the referenced court case are not being submitted herewith, as Applicant under-

stands the documents to be readily accessible by the Examiner. However, Applicant can provide any of the documents upon request.

Petition for Inter Partes Review by Medtronic, Inc. in IPR2014-00035 filed Oct. 8, 2013, Individual documents of the referenced court case are not being submitted herewith, as Applicant under-

stands the documents to be readily accessible by the Examiner. However, Applicant can provide any of the documents upon request.

Petition for Inter Partes Review by Medtronic, Inc. in IPR2014-00035 filed Oct. 8, 2013, Individual documents of the referenced court case are not being submitted herewith, as Applicant under-

stands the documents to be readily accessible by the Examiner.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

stands the documents to be readily accessible by the Examiner. However, Applicant can provide any of the documents upon request. Petition for Inter Partes Review by Medtronic, Inc. in IPR2014-00071 filed Oct. 16, 2013, Individual documents of the referenced court case are not being submitted herewith, as Applicant understands the documents to be readily accessible by the Examiner. However, Applicant can provide any of the documents upon request. Petition for Inter Partes Review by Medtronic, Inc. in IPR2014-00073 filed Oct. 18, 2013, Individual documents of the referenced court case are not being submitted herewith, as Applicant understands the documents to be readily accessible by the Examiner. However, Applicant can provide any of the documents upon request. Petition for Inter Partes Review by Medtronic, Inc. in IPR2014-00074 filed Oct. 18, 2013, Individual documents of the referenced court case are not being submitted herewith, as Applicant understands the documents to be readily accessible by the Examiner. However, Applicant can provide any of the documents upon request. Petition for Inter Partes Review by Medtronic, Inc. in IPR2014-00075 filed Oct. 21, 2013, Individual documents of the referenced court case are not being submitted herewith, as Applicant understands the documents to be readily accessible by the Examiner. However, Applicant can provide any of the documents upon request. Petition for Inter Partes Review by Medtronic, Inc. in IPR2014-00076 filed Oct. 21, 2013, Individual documents of the referenced court case are not being submitted herewith, as Applicant understands the documents to be readily accessible by the Examiner. However, Applicant can provide any of the documents upon request. Petition for Inter Partes Review by Medtronic, Inc. in IPR2014-00081 filed Oct. 22, 2013, Individual documents of the referenced court case are not being submitted herewith, as Applicant understands the documents to be readily accessible by the Examiner. However, Applicant can provide any of the documents upon request. Petition for Inter Partes Review by Medtronic, Inc. in IPR2014-00087 filed Oct. 22, 2013, Individual documents of the referenced court case are not being submitted herewith, as Applicant understands the documents to be readily accessible by the Examiner. However, Applicant can provide any of the documents upon request.

Petition for Inter Partes Review by Medtronic, Inc. in IPR2014-00487 filed Mar. 5, 2014, Individual documents of the referenced court case are not being submitted herewith, as Applicant understands the documents to be readily accessible by the Examiner. However, Applicant can provide any of the documents upon request. Petition for Inter Partes Review by NuVasive, Inc. in IPR2013-00206 filed Mar. 22, 2013, Individual documents of the referenced court case are not being submitted herewith, as Applicant understands the documents to be readily accessible by the Examiner. However, Applicant can provide any of the documents upon request. Petition for Inter Partes Review by NuVasive, Inc. in IPR2013-00208 filed Mar. 22, 2013, Individual documents of the referenced court case are not being submitted herewith, as Applicant understands the documents to be readily accessible by the Examiner. However, Applicant can provide any of the documents upon request. Petition for Inter Partes Review by NuVasive, Inc. in IPR2013-00395 filed Jun. 27, 2013, Individual documents of the referenced court case are not being submitted herewith, as Applicant understands the documents to be readily accessible by the Examiner. However, Applicant can provide any of the documents upon request. Petition for Inter Partes Review by NuVasive, Inc. in IPR2013-00396 filed Jun. 27, 2013, Individual documents of the referenced court case are not being submitted herewith, as Applicant understands the documents to be readily accessible by the Examiner. However, Applicant can provide any of the documents upon request. Petition for Inter Partes Review by NuVasive, Inc. in IPR2015-00502 filed Dec. 24, 2014, Individual documents of the referenced court case are not being submitted herewith, as Applicant understands the documents to be readily accessible by the Examiner. However, Applicant can provide any of the documents upon request. *Warsaw Orthopedic, Inc. v. NuVasive, Inc.* in 12-1263 filed Mar. 15, 2012. *Warsaw Orthopedic, Inc. v. NuVasive, Inc.* in 12-1266 filed Mar. 15, 2012. *Warsaw Orthopedic, Inc. v. NuVasive, Inc.* in 13-1576 filed Aug. 21, 2013. *Warsaw Orthopedic, Inc. v. NuVasive, Inc.* in 13-1577 filed Aug. 21, 2013. INS-1 Guide Dec. 31, 2000, Part I. INS-1 Guide Dec. 31, 2000, Part II. INS-1 Guide Dec. 31, 2000, Part III.




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9




FIG. 10




FIG. 11




FIG. 12




FIG. 13




FIG. 14




FIG. 15




FIG. 16




FIG. 17




FIG. 18




FIG. 19




FIG. 20




FIG. 21




FIG. 22




FIG. 23




FIG. 24




FIG. 25




FIG. 26




FIG. 27




FIG. 28




FIG. 29




FIG. 30




FIG. 31




FIG. 32




FIG. 33




FIG. 34




FIG. 35




FIG. 36




FIG. 37




FIG. 38




FIG. 39




FIG. 40




FIG. 41




FIG. 42




FIG. 43




FIG. 44




FIG. 45




FIG. 46




FIG. 47




FIG. 48




FIG. 49




FIG. 50

SURGICAL ACCESS SYSTEM AND RELATED METHODS

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/066,098 (filed on Oct. 29, 2013), which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/983,627 (filed on Jan. 3, 2011), now U.S. Pat. No. 8,591,432, entitled "Surgical Access System and Related Methods," which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/635,869 (filed on Dec. 11, 2009), now U.S. Pat. No. 8,303,515, entitled "Surgical Access System and Related Methods," which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/967,668 (filed on Oct. 18, 2004), now U.S. Pat. No. 7,905,840, entitled "Surgical Access System and Related Methods," which claims the benefit of priority from U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 60/512,594 (filed on Oct. 17, 2003) entitled "System and Methods for Performing Lateral Lumbar Surgery," the entire contents of all these prior applications are hereby expressly incorporated by reference into this disclosure as if set forth fully herein. The present application also incorporates by reference the following co-assigned patent applications in their entireties: PCT App. Ser. No. PCT/US02/22247, entitled "System and Methods for Determining Nerve Proximity, Direction, and Pathology During Surgery," filed on Jul. 11, 2002; PCT App. Ser. No. PCT/US02/30617, entitled "System and Methods for Performing Surgical Procedures and Assessments," filed on Sep. 25, 2002; PCT App. Ser. No. PCT/US02/35047, entitled "System and Methods for Performing Percutaneous Pedicle Integrity Assessments," filed on Oct. 30, 2002; and PCT App. Ser. No. PCT/US03/02056, entitled "System and Methods for Determining Nerve Direction to a Surgical Instrument," filed Jan. 15, 2003 (collectively "NeuroVision PCT Applications").

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

I. Field of the Invention

The present invention relates generally to systems and methods for performing surgical procedures and, more particularly, for accessing a surgical target site in order to perform surgical procedures.

II. Discussion of the Prior Art

A noteworthy trend in the medical community is the move away from performing surgery via traditional "open" techniques in favor of minimally invasive or minimal access techniques. Open surgical techniques are generally undesirable in that they typically require large incisions and high amounts of tissue displacement to gain access to the surgical target site, which produces concomitantly high amounts of pain, lengthened hospitalization (increasing health care costs), and high morbidity in the patient population. Less-invasive surgical techniques (including so-called "minimal access" and "minimally invasive" techniques) are gaining favor due to the fact that they involve accessing the surgical target site via incisions of substantially smaller size with greatly reduced tissue displacement requirements. This, in turn, reduces the pain, morbidity and cost associated with such procedures. The access systems developed to date, however, fail in various respects to meet all the needs of the surgical population.

One drawback associated with prior art surgical access systems relates to the ease with which the operative corridor can be created, as well as maintained over time, depending upon the particular surgical target site. For example, when accessing surgical target sites located beneath or behind musculature or other relatively strong tissue (such as, by way of example only, the psoas muscle adjacent to the spine), it has been found that advancing an operative corridor-establishing instrument directly through such tissues can be challenging and/or lead to unwanted or undesirable effects (such as stressing or tearing the tissues). While certain efforts have been undertaken to reduce the trauma to tissue while creating an operative corridor, such as (by way of example only) the sequential dilation system of U.S. Pat. No. 5,792,044 to Foley et al., these attempts are nonetheless limited in their applicability based on the relatively narrow operative corridor. More specifically, based on the generally cylindrical nature of the so-called "working cannula," the degree to which instruments can be manipulated and/or angled within the cannula can be generally limited or restrictive, particularly if the surgical target site is a relatively deep within the patient.

Efforts have been undertaken to overcome this drawback, such as shown in U.S. Pat. No. 6,524,320 to DiPoto, wherein an expandable portion is provided at the distal end of a cannula for creating a region of increased cross-sectional area adjacent to the surgical target site. While this system may provide for improved instrument manipulation relative to sequential dilation access systems (at least at deep sites within the patient), it is nonetheless flawed in that the deployment of the expandable portion may inadvertently compress or impinge upon sensitive tissues adjacent to the surgical target site. For example, in anatomical regions having neural and/or vasculature structures, such a blind expansion may cause the expandable portion to impinge upon these sensitive tissues and cause neural and/or vasculature compromise, damage and/or pain for the patient.

This highlights yet another drawback with the prior art surgical access systems, namely, the challenges in establishing an operative corridor through or near tissue having major neural structures which, if contacted or impinged, may result in neural impairment for the patient. Due to the threat of contacting such neural structures, efforts thus far have largely restricted to establishing operative corridors through tissue having little or substantially reduced neural structures, which effectively limits the number of ways a given surgical target site can be accessed. This can be seen, by way of example only, in the spinal arts, where the exiting nerve roots and neural plexus structures in the psoas muscle have rendered a lateral or far lateral access path (so-called trans-psoas approach) to the lumbar spine virtually impossible. Instead, spine surgeons are largely restricted to accessing the spine from the posterior (to perform, among other procedures, posterior lumbar interbody fusion (PLIF)) or from the anterior (to perform, among other procedures, anterior lumbar interbody fusion (ALIF)).

Posterior-access procedures involve traversing a shorter distance within the patient to establish the operative corridor, albeit at the price of oftentimes having to reduce or cut away part of the posterior bony structures (i.e. lamina, facets, spinous process) in order to reach the target site (which typically comprises the disc space). Anterior-access procedures are relatively simple for surgeons in that they do not involve reducing or cutting away bony structures to reach the surgical target site. However, they are nonetheless disadvantageous in that they require traversing through a much greater distance within the patient to establish the

operative corridor, oftentimes requiring an additional surgeon to assist with moving the various internal organs out of the way to create the operative corridor.

The present invention is directed at eliminating, or at least minimizing the effects of, the above-identified drawbacks in the prior art.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention accomplishes this goal by providing a novel access system and related methods which involve detecting the existence of (and optionally the distance and/or direction to) neural structures before, during, and after the establishment of an operative corridor through (or near) any of a variety of tissues having such neural structures which, if contacted or impinged, may otherwise result in neural impairment for the patient. It is expressly noted that, although described herein largely in terms of use in spinal surgery, the access system of the present invention is suitable for use in any number of additional surgical procedures wherein tissue having significant neural structures must be passed through (or near) in order to establish an operative corridor.

According to one broad aspect of the present invention, the access system comprises a tissue distraction assembly and a tissue retraction assembly, both of which may be equipped with one or more electrodes for use in detecting the existence of (and optionally the distance and/or direction to) neural structures. The tissue distraction assembly (in conjunction with one or more elements of the tissue retraction assembly) is capable of, as an initial step, distracting a region of tissue between the skin of the patient and the surgical target site. The tissue retraction assembly is capable of, as a secondary step, being introduced into this distracted region to thereby define and establish the operative corridor. Once established, any of a variety of surgical instruments, devices, or implants may be passed through and/or manipulated within the operative corridor depending upon the given surgical procedure. The electrode(s) are capable of, during both tissue distraction and retraction, detecting the existence of (and optionally the distance and/or direction to) neural structures such that the operative corridor may be established through (or near) any of a variety of tissues having such neural structures which, if contacted or impinged, may otherwise result in neural impairment for the patient. In this fashion, the access system of the present invention may be used to traverse tissue that would ordinarily be deemed unsafe or undesirable, thereby broadening the number of manners in which a given surgical target site may be accessed.

The tissue distraction assembly may include any number of components capable of performing the necessary distraction. By way of example only, the tissue distraction assembly may include a K-wire, an initial dilator of split construction, and one or more dilators of traditional (that is, non-split) construction for performing the necessary tissue distraction to receive the remainder of the tissue retractor assembly thereafter. One or more electrodes may be provided on one or more of the K-wire and dilator(s) to detect the presence of (and optionally the distance and/or direction to) neural structures during tissue distraction.

The tissue retraction assembly may include any number of components capable of performing the necessary retraction. By way of example only, the tissue retraction assembly may include one or more retractor blades extending from a handle assembly. The handle assembly may be manipulated to open the retractor assembly; that is, allowing the retractor

blades to separate from one another (simultaneously or sequentially) to create an operative corridor to the surgical target site. In a preferred embodiment, this is accomplished by maintaining a posterior retractor blade in a fixed position relative to the surgical target site (so as to avoid having it impinge upon any exiting nerve roots near the posterior elements of the spine) while the additional retractor blades (i.e. cephalad-most and caudal-most blades) are moved or otherwise translated away from the posterior retractor blade (and each other) so as to create the operative corridor in a fashion that doesn't infringe upon the region of the exiting nerve roots.

The retractor blades may be optionally dimensioned to receive and direct a rigid shim element to augment the structural stability of the retractor blades and thereby ensure the operative corridor, once established, will not decrease or become more restricted, such as may result if distal ends of the retractor blades were permitted to "slide" or otherwise move in response to the force exerted by the displaced tissue. In a preferred embodiment, only the posterior retractor blade is equipped with such a rigid shim element. In an optional aspect, this shim element may be advanced into the disc space after the posterior retractor blade is positioned, but before the retractor is opened into the fully retracted position. The rigid shim element is preferably oriented within the disc space such that it distracts the adjacent vertebral bodies, which serves to restore disc height. It also preferably advances a sufficient distance within the disc space (preferably past the midline), which serves the dual purpose of preventing post-operative scoliosis and forming a protective barrier (preventing the migration of tissue (such as nerve roots) into the operative field and the inadvertent advancement of instruments outside the operative field).

The retractor blades may optionally be equipped with a mechanism for transporting or emitting light at or near the surgical target site to aid the surgeon's ability to visualize the surgical target site, instruments and/or implants during the given surgical procedure. According to one embodiment, this mechanism may comprise, but need not be limited to, coupling one or more light sources to the retractor blades such that the terminal ends are capable of emitting light at or near the surgical target site. According to another embodiment, this mechanism may comprise, but need not be limited to, constructing the retractor blades of suitable material (such as clear polycarbonate) and configuration such that light may be transmitted generally distally through the walls of the retractor blade light to shine light at or near the surgical target site. This may be performed by providing the retractor blades having light-transmission characteristics (such as with clear polycarbonate construction) and transmitting the light almost entirely within the walls of the retractor blade (such as by frosting or otherwise rendering opaque portions of the exterior and/or interior) until it exits a portion along the interior (or medially-facing) surface of the retractor blade to shine at or near the surgical target site. The exit portion may be optimally configured such that the light is directed towards the approximate center of the surgical target site and may be provided along the entire inner periphery of the retractor blade or one or more portions therealong.

According to another aspect of the invention, a minimally invasive lateral lumbar surgery may be performed using various embodiments of the surgical access system. The surgical method may be accomplished by guiding at least a portion of the tissue distraction assembly to the surgical target site using a lateral, retroperitoneal approach. According to some embodiments, the access system is used to

access the lumbar spine via a direct lateral, retroperitoneal approach. In such embodiments, blunt finger dissection may be used to safely enter the retroperitoneal space posteriorly and sweep the peritoneal cavity anteriorly. A distal end of the K-wire, and possibly other components of the tissue distraction assembly, are then escorted through the retroperitoneal space to the psoas muscle utilizing finger dissection. In some instances, the initial dilator is guided through the retroperitoneal space by a finger in contact with the distal end, so the potential of peritoneal disruption may be reduced.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Many advantages of the present invention will be apparent to those skilled in the art with a reading of this specification in conjunction with the attached drawings, wherein like reference numerals are applied to like elements and wherein:

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of a tissue retraction assembly (in use) forming part of a surgical access system according to the present invention;

FIGS. 2-3 are perspective views illustrating the front and back of a shim element for use with a posterior retractor blade of the retractor according to the retractor of the present invention;

FIGS. 4-5 are perspective views illustrating the front and back of a narrow retractor extender for use with one of a cephalad and caudal retractor blade according to the retractor of the present invention;

FIGS. 6-7 are perspective views illustrating the front and back of a wide retractor extender for use with one of a cephalad and caudal retractor blade according to the retractor of the present invention;

FIG. 8 is a perspective, partially exploded view of the retractor assembly of the present invention, without the retractor blades;

FIG. 9 is a perspective view illustrating the components and use of an initial distraction assembly (i.e. K-wire, an initial dilating cannula with handle, and a split-dilator housed within the initial dilating cannula) forming part of the surgical access system according to the present invention, for use in distracting to a surgical target site (i.e. annulus);

FIG. 10 is a perspective view illustrating the K-wire and split-dilator of the initial distraction assembly with the initial dilating cannula and handle removed;

FIG. 11 is a posterior view of the vertebral target site illustrating the split-dilator of the present invention in use distracting in a generally cephalad-caudal fashion according to one aspect of the present invention;

FIG. 12 is a side view illustrating the use of a secondary distraction assembly (comprising a plurality of dilating cannulae over the K-wire) to further distract tissue between the skin of the patient and the surgical target site according to the present invention;

FIG. 13 is a side view of a retractor assembly according to the present invention, comprising a handle assembly having three (3) retractor blades extending there from (posterior, cephalad-most, and caudal-most) disposed over the secondary distraction assembly of FIG. 12 (shown in a first, closed position);

FIG. 14 is a side view of a retractor assembly according to the present invention, comprising a handle assembly having three (3) retractor blades extending there from (pos-

terior, cephalad-most, and caudal-most) with the secondary distraction assembly of FIG. 12 removed and shim element introduced;

FIGS. 15-16 are perspective and top views, respectively, of the retractor assembly in a second, opened (i.e. retracted) position to thereby create an operative corridor to a surgical target site according to the present invention;

FIGS. 17-18 are perspective and side views, respectively, of the retractor assembly in the second, opened (i.e. retracted) position (with the secondary distraction assembly removed) and with the retractor extenders of FIGS. 4-5 and 6-7 coupled to the retractor according to the present invention.

FIG. 19 is a perspective view of an exemplary nerve monitoring system capable of performing nerve monitoring before, during and after the creating of an operative corridor to a surgical target site using the surgical access system in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 20 is a block diagram of the nerve monitoring system shown in FIG. 19; and

FIGS. 21-22 are screen displays illustrating exemplary features and information communicated to a user during the use of the nerve monitoring system of FIG. 19.

FIGS. 23-50 illustrate a method for accessing a surgical target site in the spine using a substantially lateral, retroperitoneal approach.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

Illustrative embodiments of the invention are described below. In the interest of clarity, not all features of an actual implementation are described in this specification. It will of course be appreciated that in the development of any such actual embodiment, numerous implementation-specific decisions must be made to achieve the developers' specific goals, such as compliance with system-related and business-related constraints, which will vary from one implementation to another. Moreover, it will be appreciated that such a development effort might be complex and time-consuming, but would nevertheless be a routine undertaking for those of ordinary skill in the art having the benefit of this disclosure. It is furthermore to be readily understood that, although discussed below primarily within the context of spinal surgery, the surgical access system of the present invention may be employed in any number of anatomical settings to provide access to any number of different surgical target sites throughout the body. The surgical access system disclosed herein boasts a variety of inventive features and components that warrant patent protection, both individually and in combination.

The present invention involves accessing a surgical target site in a fashion less invasive than traditional "open" surgeries and doing so in a manner that provides access in spite of the neural structures required to be passed through (or near) in order to establish an operative corridor to the surgical target site. Generally speaking, the surgical access system of the present invention accomplishes this by providing a tissue distraction assembly and a tissue retraction assembly, both of which may be equipped with one or more electrodes for use in detecting the existence of (and optionally the distance and/or direction to) neural structures. In some embodiments, the surgical access system may be used access a surgical target site on the spine via a substantially lateral, retroperitoneal approach (as shown, for example, in FIGS. 23-50).

These electrodes are preferably provided for use with a nerve surveillance system such as, by way of example, the type shown and described in the co-pending and commonly assigned NeuroVision PCT Applications referenced above, the entire contents of which are expressly incorporated by reference as if set forth herein in their entirety. Generally speaking, this nerve surveillance system is capable of detecting the existence of (and optionally the distance and/or direction to) neural structures during the distraction and retraction of tissue by detecting the presence of nerves by applying a stimulation signal to such instruments and monitoring the evoked EMG signals from the myotomes associated with the nerves being passed by the distraction and retraction systems of the present invention. In so doing, the system as a whole (including the surgical access system of the present invention) may be used to form an operative corridor through (or near) any of a variety of tissues having such neural structures, particularly those which, if contacted or impinged, may otherwise result in neural impairment for the patient. In this fashion, the access system of the present invention may be used to traverse tissue that would ordinarily be deemed unsafe or undesirable, thereby broadening the number of manners in which a given surgical target site may be accessed.

The tissue distraction assembly of the present invention (comprising a K-wire, an initial dilator, and a split-dilator disposed within the initial dilator) is employed to distract the tissues extending between the skin of the patient and a given surgical target site (preferably along the posterior region of the target intervertebral disc). A secondary distraction assembly (i.e. a plurality of sequentially dilating cannulae) may optionally be employed after the initial distraction assembly to further distract the tissue. Once distracted, the resulting void or distracted region within the patient is of sufficient size to accommodate a tissue retraction assembly of the present invention. More specifically, the tissue retraction assembly (comprising a plurality of retractor blades extending from a handle assembly) may be advanced relative to the secondary distraction assembly such that the retractor blades, in a first, closed position, are advanced over the exterior of the secondary distraction assembly. At that point, the handle assembly may be operated to move the retractor blades into a second, open or "retracted" position to create an operative corridor to the surgical target site.

According to one aspect of the invention, following (or before) this retraction, a posterior shim element (which is preferably slideably engaged with the posterior retractor blade) may be advanced such that a distal shim extension is positioned within the posterior region of the disc space. If done before retraction, this helps ensure that the posterior retractor blade will not move posteriorly during the retraction process, even though the other retractor blades (i.e. cephalad-most and caudal-most) are able to move and thereby create an operative corridor. Fixing the posterior retractor blade in this fashion serves several important functions. First, the distal end of the shim element serves to distract the adjacent vertebral bodies, thereby restoring disc height. It also rigidly couples the posterior retractor blade in fixed relation relative to the vertebral bodies. The posterior shim element also helps ensure that surgical instruments employed within the operative corridor are incapable of being advanced outside the operative corridor, preventing inadvertent contact with the exiting nerve roots during the surgery. Once in the appropriate retracted state, the cephalad-most and caudal-most retractor blades may be locked in position and, thereafter, retractor extenders advanced therealong to prevent the ingress or egress of instruments or

biological structures (i.e. nerves, vasculature, etc. . . .) into or out of the operative corridor. Once the operative corridor is established, any of a variety of surgical instruments, devices, or implants may be passed through and/or manipulated within the operative corridor depending upon the given surgical procedure.

FIG. 1 illustrates a tissue retraction assembly **10** forming part of a surgical access system according to the present invention. The retraction assembly **10** includes a plurality of retractor blades extending from a handle assembly **20**. By way of example only, the handle assembly **20** is provided with a first retractor blade **12**, a second retractor blade **16**, and a third retractor blade **18**. The retractor assembly **10** is shown in a fully retracted or "open" configuration, with the retractor blades **12**, **16**, **18** positioned a distance from one another so as to form an operative corridor **15** there between and extending to a surgical target site (e.g. an annulus of an intervertebral disc). Although shown and described below with regard to the three-bladed configuration, it is to be readily appreciated that the number of retractor blades may be increased or decreased without departing from the scope of the present invention. Moreover, although described and shown herein, for example in FIGS. **1**, **9-18**, and **23-50**, with reference to a generally lateral approach to a spinal surgical target site (with the first blade **12** being the "posterior" blade, the second blade **16** being the "cephalad-most" blade, and the third blade **18** being the "caudal-most" blade), it will be appreciated that the retractor assembly **10** of the present invention may find use in any number of different surgical approaches, including generally posterior, generally posterolateral, generally anterior and generally antero-lateral.

The retractor blades **12**, **16**, **18** may be equipped with various additional features or components. By way of example only, posterior retractor blade **12** may be equipped with a shim element **22** (shown more clearly in FIGS. **2-3**). Shim element **22** serves to distract the adjacent vertebral bodies (thereby restoring disc height), helps secure the retractor assembly **10** relative to the surgical target site, and forms a protective barrier to prevent the ingress or egress of instruments or biological structures (i.e. nerves, vasculature, etc. . . .) into or out of the operative corridor. Each of the remaining retractor blades (cephalad-most blade **16** and caudal-most blade **18**) may be equipped with a retractor extender, such as the narrow retractor extender **24** shown in FIGS. **4-5** or the wide retractor extender **25** shown in FIGS. **6-7**. The retractor extenders **24/25** extend from the cephalad-most and caudal-most retractor blades **16**, **18** to form a protective barrier to prevent the ingress or egress of instruments or biological structures (i.e. nerves, vasculature, etc. . . .) into or out of the operative corridor **15**.

According to the present invention, any or all of the retractor blades **12**, **16**, **18**, the shim element **22** and/or the retractor extenders **24/25** may be provided with one or more electrodes **39** (preferably at their distal regions) equipped for use with a nerve surveillance system, such as, by way of example, the type shown and described in the NeuroVision PCT Applications. Each of the shim element **22** and/or the retractor extenders **24/25** may also be equipped with a mechanism to selectively and releasably engage with the respective retractor blades **12**, **16**, **18**. By way of example only, this may be accomplished by configuring the shim element **22** and/or the retractor extenders **24/25** with a tab element **27** capable of engaging with corresponding ratchet-like grooves (shown at **29** in FIG. **1**) along the inner-facing surfaces of the retractor blades **12**, **16**, **18**. Each of the shim element **22** and/or the retractor extenders **24/25** is provided with a pair of engagement elements **37** having, by way of

example only, a generally dove-tailed cross-sectional shape. The engagement elements 37 are dimensioned to engage with receiving portions on the respective retractor blades 12, 16, 18. In a preferred embodiment, each of the shim element 22 and/or the retractor extenders 24/25 are provided with an elongate slot 43 for engagement with an insertion tool (not shown). Each tab member 27 is also equipped with an enlarged tooth element 49 which engages within corresponding grooves 29 provided along the inner surface of the retractor blades 12, 16, 18.

The handle assembly 20 may be coupled to any number of mechanisms for rigidly registering the handle assembly 20 in fixed relation to the operative site, such as through the use of an articulating arm mounted to the operating table. The handle assembly 20 includes first and second arm members 26, 28 hingedly coupled via coupling mechanism shown generally at 30. The cephalad-most retractor blade 16 is rigidly coupled (generally perpendicularly) to the end of the first arm member 26. The caudal-most retractor blade 18 is rigidly coupled (generally perpendicularly) to the end of the second arm member 28. The posterior retractor blade 12 is rigidly coupled (generally perpendicularly to) a translating member 17, which is coupled to the handle assembly 20 via a linkage assembly shown generally at 14. The linkage assembly 14 includes a roller member 34 having a pair of manual knob members 36 which, when rotated via manual actuation by a user, causes teeth 35 on the roller member 34 to engage within ratchet-like grooves 37 in the translating member 17. Thus, manual operation of the knobs 36 causes the translating member 17 to move relative to the first and second arm members 26, 28.

Through the use of handle extenders 31, 33 (FIG. 8), the arms 26, 28 may be simultaneously opened such that the cephalad-most and caudal-most retractor blades 16, 18 move away from one another. In this fashion, the dimension and/or shape of the operative corridor 15 may be tailored depending upon the degree to which the translating member 17 is manipulated relative to the arms 26, 28. That is, the operative corridor 15 may be tailored to provide any number of suitable cross-sectional shapes, including but not limited to a generally circular cross-section, a generally ellipsoidal cross-section, and/or an oval cross-section. Optional light emitting devices 39 may be coupled to one or more of the retractor blades 12, 16, 18 to direct light down the operative corridor 15.

FIG. 9 illustrates an initial distraction assembly 40 forming part of the surgical access system according to the present invention. The initial distraction assembly 40 includes a K-wire 42, an initial dilating cannula 44 with handle 46, and a split-dilator 48 housed within the initial dilating cannula 44. In use, the K-wire 42 and split-dilator 48 are disposed within the initial dilating cannula 44 and the entire assembly 40 advanced through the tissue towards the surgical target site (i.e. annulus). One exemplary method for advancing an initial dilator towards a spinal target site is described in more detail later in connection with FIGS. 23-50. Again, this is preferably accomplished while employing the nerve detection and/or direction features described above. After the initial dilating assembly 40 is advanced such that the distal ends of the split-dilator 48 and initial dilator 44 are positioned within the disc space (FIG. 9), the initial dilator 44 and handle 46 are removed (FIG. 10) to thereby leave the split-dilator 48 and K-wire 42 in place. As shown in FIG. 11, the split-dilator 48 is thereafter split such that the respective halves 48a, 48b are separated from one another to distract tissue in a generally cephalad-caudal fashion relative to the target site. The split dilator 48 may

thereafter be relaxed (allowing the dilator halves 48a, 48b to come together) and rotated such that the dilator halves 48a, 48b are disposed in the anterior-posterior plane. Once rotated in this manner, the dilator halves 48a, 48b are again separated to distract tissue in a generally anterior-posterior fashion. Each dilator half 48a, 48b may be, according to the present invention, provided with one or more electrodes (preferably at their distal regions) equipped for use with a nerve surveillance system, such as, by way of example, the type shown and described in the NeuroVision PCT Applications.

Following this initial distraction, a secondary distraction may be optionally undertaken, such as via a sequential dilation system 50 as shown in FIG. 12. According to the present invention, the sequential dilation system 50 may include the K-wire 42, the initial dilator 44, and one or more supplemental dilators 52, 54 for the purpose of further dilating the tissue down to the surgical target site. Once again, each component of the secondary distraction assembly 50 (namely, the K-wire 42, the initial dilator 44, and the supplemental dilators 52, 54) may be, according to the present invention, provided with one or more electrodes (preferably at their distal regions) equipped for use with a nerve surveillance system, such as, by way of example, the type shown and described in the NeuroVision PCT Applications.

As shown in FIG. 13, the retraction assembly 10 of the present invention is thereafter advanced along the exterior of the sequential dilation system 50. This is accomplished by maintaining the retractor blades 12, 16, 18 in a first, closed position (with the retractor blades 12-16 in generally abutting relation to one another). Once advanced to the surgical target site, the sequential dilation assembly 50 may be removed and the shim element 22 engaged with the posterior retractor blade 12 such that the distal end thereof extends into the disc space as shown in FIG. 14. At this point, the handle assembly 20 may be operated to move the retractor blades 16, 18 into a second, open or "retracted" position as shown generally in FIGS. 15-16. As one can see, the posterior retractor blade 12 is allowed to stay in the same general position during this process, such that the cephalad-most and caudal-most retractor blades 14, 16 move away from the posterior retractor blade 12. At this point, the narrow and wide retractor extenders 24, 25 may be engaged with the caudal-most retractor blade 18 and cephalad-most retractor blade 16, respectively, as shown in FIGS. 17-18.

As mentioned above, any number of distraction components and/or retraction components (including but not limited to those described herein) may be equipped to detect the presence of (and optionally the distance and/or direction to) neural structures during the steps tissue distraction and/or retraction. This is accomplished by employing the following steps: (1) one or more stimulation electrodes are provided on the various distraction and/or retraction components; (2) a stimulation source (e.g. voltage or current) is coupled to the stimulation electrodes; (3) a stimulation signal is emitted from the stimulation electrodes as the various components are advanced towards or maintained at or near the surgical target site; and (4) the patient is monitored to determine if the stimulation signal causes muscles associated with nerves or neural structures within the tissue to innervate. If the nerves innervate, this may indicate that neural structures may be in close proximity to the distraction and/or retraction components.

Neural monitoring may be accomplished via any number of suitable fashions, including but not limited to observing visual twitches in muscle groups associated with the neural

structures likely to found in the tissue, as well as any number of monitoring systems, including but not limited to any commercially available “traditional” electromyography (EMG) system (that is, typically operated by a neurophysiologist). Such monitoring may also be carried out via the surgeon-driven EMG monitoring system shown and described in the following commonly owned and co-pending NeuroVision PCT Applications referenced above. In any case (visual monitoring, traditional EMG and/or surgeon-driven EMG monitoring), the access system of the present invention may advantageously be used to traverse tissue that would ordinarily be deemed unsafe or undesirable, thereby broadening the number of manners in which a given surgical target site may be accessed. For example, the surgical access system may be advantageously used to traverse tissue through the retroperitoneal space and the psoas muscle during a substantially lateral, retroperitoneal approach to the lumbar spine, as shown in FIGS. 23-50.

FIGS. 19-20 illustrate, by way of example only, a monitoring system 120 of the type disclosed in the NeuroVision PCT Applications suitable for use with the surgical access system 10 of the present invention. The monitoring system 120 includes a control unit 122, a patient module 124, and an EMG harness 126 and return electrode 128 coupled to the patient module 124, and a cable 132 for establishing electrical communication between the patient module 124 and the surgical access system of the present invention (retractor assembly 10 of FIG. 1 and distraction assemblies 40, 50 of FIGS. 9-12). More specifically, this electrical communication can be achieved by providing, by way of example only, a hand-held stimulation controller 152 capable of selectively providing a stimulation signal (due to the operation of manually operated buttons on the hand-held stimulation controller 152) to one or more connectors 156a, 156b, 156c. The connectors 156a, 156b, 156c are suitable to establish electrical communication between the hand-held stimulation controller 152 and (by way of example only) the stimulation electrodes on the K-wire 42, the dilators 44, 48, 52, 54, the retractor blades 12, 16, 18 and/or the shim members 22, 24, 25 (collectively “surgical access instruments”).

In order to use the monitoring system 120, then, these surgical access instruments must be connected to the connectors 156a, 156b and/or 156c, at which point the user may selectively initiate a stimulation signal (preferably, a current signal) from the control unit 122 to a particular surgical access instruments. Stimulating the electrode(s) on these surgical access instruments before, during and/or after establishing operative corridor will cause nerves that come into close or relative proximity to the surgical access instruments to depolarize, producing a response in a myotome associated with the innervated nerve.

The control unit 122 includes a touch screen display 140 and a base 142, which collectively contain the essential processing capabilities (software and/or hardware) for controlling the monitoring system 120. The control unit 122 may include an audio unit 118 that emits sounds according to a location of a surgical element with respect to a nerve. The patient module 124 is connected to the control unit 122 via a data cable 144, which establishes the electrical connections and communications (digital and/or analog) between the control unit 122 and patient module 124. The main functions of the control unit 122 include receiving user commands via the touch screen display 140, activating stimulation electrodes on the surgical access instruments, processing signal data according to defined algorithms, displaying received parameters and processed data, and monitoring system status and report fault conditions. The

touch screen display 140 is preferably equipped with a graphical user interface (GUI) capable of communicating information to the user and receiving instructions from the user. The display 140 and/or base 142 may contain patient module interface circuitry (hardware and/or software) that commands the stimulation sources, receives digitized signals and other information from the patient module 124, processes the EMG responses to extract characteristic information for each muscle group, and displays the processed data to the operator via the display 140.

In one embodiment, the monitoring system 120 is capable of determining nerve direction relative to one or more of the K-wire 42, the dilators 44, 48, 52, 54, the retractor blades 12, 16, 18 and/or the shim elements 22, 24, 25 before, during and/or following the creation of an operative corridor to a surgical target site. Monitoring system 120 accomplishes this by having the control unit 122 and patient module 124 cooperate to send electrical stimulation signals to one or more of the stimulation electrodes provided on these instruments. Depending upon the location of the surgical access system 10 within a patient (and more particularly, to any neural structures), the stimulation signals may cause nerves adjacent to or in the general proximity of the surgical access system 10 to depolarize. This causes muscle groups to innervate and generate EMG responses, which can be sensed via the EMG harness 126. The nerve direction feature of the system 120 is based on assessing the evoked response of the various muscle myotomes monitored by the system 120 via the EMG harness 126.

By monitoring the myotomes associated with the nerves (via the EMG harness 126 and recording electrode 127) and assessing the resulting EMG responses (via the control unit 122), the surgical access system 10 is capable of detecting the presence of (and optionally the distant and/or direction to) such nerves. This provides the ability to actively negotiate around or past such nerves to safely and reproducibly form the operative corridor to a particular surgical target site, as well as monitor to ensure that no neural structures migrate into contact with the surgical access system 10 after the operative corridor has been established. In spinal surgery, for example, this is particularly advantageous in that the surgical access system 10 may be particularly suited for establishing an operative corridor to an intervertebral target site in a postero-lateral, trans-psoas fashion so as to avoid the bony posterior elements of the spinal column. For example, one such operative corridor to an intervertebral target site may be established through the retroperitoneal space and the psoas muscle during a substantially lateral, retroperitoneal approach to the lumbar spine, as shown in FIGS. 23-50.

FIGS. 21-22 are exemplary screen displays (to be shown on the display 140) illustrating one embodiment of the nerve direction feature of the monitoring system shown and described with reference to FIGS. 19-20. These screen displays are intended to communicate a variety of information to the surgeon in an easy-to-interpret fashion. This information may include, but is not necessarily limited to, a display of the function 180 (in this case “DIRECTION”), a graphical representation of a patient 181, the myotome levels being monitored 182, the nerve or group associated with a displayed myotome 183, the name of the instrument being used 184 (in this case, a dilator 46, 48), the size of the instrument being used 185, the stimulation threshold current 186, a graphical representation of the instrument being used 187 (in this case, a cross-sectional view of a dilator 44, 48) to provide a reference point from which to illustrate relative direction of the instrument to the nerve, the stimulation current being applied to the stimulation electrodes 188,

instructions for the user **189** (in this case, “ADVANCE” and/or “HOLD”), and (in FIG. **22**) an arrow **190** indicating the direction from the instrument to a nerve. This information may be communicated in any number of suitable fashions, including but not limited to the use of visual indicia (such as alpha-numeric characters, light-emitting elements, and/or graphics) and audio communications (such as a speaker element). Although shown with specific reference to a dilating cannula (such as at **184**), it is to be readily appreciated that the present invention is deemed to include providing similar information on the display **140** during the use of any or all of the various instruments forming the surgical access system **10** of the present invention, including the initial distraction assembly **40** (i.e. the K-wire **42** and dilators **44, 48**) and/or the retractor blades **12, 16, 18** and/or the shim elements **22, 24, 25**.

Referring now to FIGS. **23-50**, some embodiments of the surgical access system **10** may be particularly suited for establishing an operative corridor to a surgical target site in the spine. Such an operative corridor may be established through the retroperitoneal space and the psoas muscle during a direct lateral, retroperitoneal approach to the spine. A surgeon may have direct visualization of the patient’s anatomy without the cumbersome requirements associated with using endoscopes or operating coaxial through narrow tubes. Moreover, when using the access system **10** through a lateral approach to the spine, the potential of damaging nerves while advancing instruments through the psoas muscle may be substantially reduced. It will, of course, be appreciated that the surgical access system and related methods of the present invention may find applicability in any of a variety of surgical and/or medical applications such that the following description relative to the direct lateral, retroperitoneal approach to the spine is not to be limiting of the overall scope of the present invention.

When accessing a spinal target site via the substantially lateral, retroperitoneal approach described in connection with FIGS. **23-50**, the surgeon should consider several anatomical reference points, such as the iliac crest, the twelfth rib, and the lateral border of the erector spinae muscle groups. In certain embodiments, blunt finger dissection is used to pass between these muscle groups and access the retroperitoneal space. Such a technique offers simple access to the retroperitoneal space while minimizing the potential of visceral lesion. Furthermore, in such embodiments, the finger may be used to escort one or more dilators through the retroperitoneal space, thus reducing the potential of peritoneal disruption. In some instances, each dilator is preferably advanced through the psoas muscle between the middle and anterior third of the muscle so that the nerves of the lumbar plexus are located posterior and outside the operative corridor. A monitoring system **120** of the type disclosed in the NeuroVision PCT Applications may be used to avoid damage to any peripheral nerves embedded throughout the psoas muscle as the dilator is advanced through the muscle to the surgical target site in the spine.

Referring now to FIGS. **23-24**, a patient **200** is positioned on a surgical table **250** in preparation of spinal surgery. In some embodiments, a cushion **252** is positioned between the patient’s lateral side and the surgical table **250** to arrange the patient **200** in such a way as to increase the distance between the patient’s iliac crest **202** and rib cage **204**. Alternatively, a flexion of the surgical table **250** may be used to accomplish the desired arrangement. Such an arrangement helps to open the intervertebral disc space **206** at or near the surgical target site.

Referring to FIG. **25**, an articulating arm assembly **60** is coupled to the surgical table **250** to maintain the access system **10** in a substantially fixed position relative to the surgical target site when the operative corridor has been established. In this embodiment, the articulating arm assembly **60** is mounted to a bedrail **254** of the surgical table **250**. In some instances, a fluoroscopy system **260** is disposed proximal to the surgical table **250** to provide the surgeon with visualization of the surgical target area. This fluoroscopy system **260** includes a display monitor **262** that is positioned such that the surgeon may view the monitor **262** during the operation. In addition, a monitoring system **120** of the type disclosed in the NeuroVision PCT Applications may be positioned near the surgical table **250** so that the surgeon may view a display **140** of the monitoring system **120** during the operation.

Referring now to FIGS. **26-28**, one or more instruments, such as K-wires **42**, are positioned laterally over an area of the patient **200** and then viewed using the lateral fluoroscopy. The instruments are used to identify a lateral incision location **208** that is substantially lateral to the surgical target site (e.g., the intervertebral disc space **206**). As shown in FIG. **28**, a first mark is made on the patient **200** at the lateral incision location **208**. In addition, a second mark is made on the patient at a posteriolateral incision location **209** near the lateral incision location **208**. In this embodiment, the posteriolateral incision location **209** is approximately at the lateral border of the erector spine muscle. Preferably, the posteriolateral incision location **209** is within a finger’s length of the lateral incision location **208**.

Referring to FIG. **29**, an incision is made at the posteriolateral incision location **209**, and the subcutaneous layers **210** are dissected until reaching the muscular masses **212**. A dissection instrument, such as blunt dissection scissors **270**, is used to spread the muscle fibers **212** until the retroperitoneal space **215** is reached. Preferably, the surgeon uses great caution to avoid perforation of the peritoneum **214**.

Referring to FIGS. **30-31**, after the retroperitoneal space **215** is reached, a guide member **275** is inserted through the posteriolateral incision **209** into the retroperitoneal space **215**. In a presently preferred embodiment, the guide member is a finger **275** of the surgeon, which is preferably covered with a surgical glove for hygienic purposes. In other embodiments, the guide member **275** may be an instrument or tool configured to extend and maneuver in the retroperitoneal space as described herein. As shown in FIGS. **30-31**, the finger **275** may sweep a portion of the retroperitoneal space **215** and then palpate down to the psoas muscle **220**. This motion of the finger **275** in the retroperitoneal space **215** may loosen some fatty tissue before a dilator is advanced therethrough.

Referring to FIGS. **32-33**, after the psoas muscle **220** is identified, the finger **275** is swept away from the psoas muscle **220** toward the lateral incision location **208**. A scalpel **272** or other like instrument is used to make and incision at this location **208**. The incision should be of a sufficient size to receive a distal end **41** of an initial dilator **40**.

Referring to FIGS. **34-35**, the finger **275** is used to direct the distal end **41** of the initial dilator **40** through the retroperitoneal space **215** toward the psoas muscle **220**. In the presently preferred embodiment, the initial dilator **40** includes at least a K-wire **42** and may also include a split-dilator **48** slideably passed over the K-wire **42** (see, for example, FIG. **10**). As shown in FIG. **34**, the distal end **41** is introduced through the lateral incision location **208** and directed to the finger **275** in the retroperitoneal space **215**. As shown in FIG. **35**, the finger **275** engages the initial dilator

40 proximal to the distal end **41** and guides the distal end **41** to the psoas muscle **220**. By escorting the dilator **40** through the retroperitoneal space **215** using the finger **275**, the potential for breaching or disrupting the peritoneal is reduced. Upon reaching the psoas muscle **220**, the location of the distal end **41** relative to the target site may be verified using an imaging system, such as an image intensifier.

Referring to FIGS. **36-37**, the distal end **41** of the initial dilator **40** is advanced in a substantially lateral direction through the psoas muscle **220** toward the intervertebral disc space **206** at or near the surgical target site. In the presently preferred embodiment, the fibers of the psoas muscle **220** are split using blunt dissection and NeuroVision neurophysiologic monitoring of the type disclosed in the NeuroVision PCT Applications. A stimulation connector **156** of the NeuroVision monitoring system **120** (see FIG. **19**) is coupled to the initial dilator **40** to provide a stimulation signal **157** as the dilator **40** is advanced through the psoas muscle **220**. It should be understood that the stimulation signal **157** is depicted in FIG. **36** for illustrative purposes and is generally not visible.

Descending nerves of the lumbar plexus normally lie in the posterior one-third of the psoas muscle **220**. The NeuroVision monitoring system **120** of the type disclosed in the NeuroVision PCT Applications assists with the safe passage by these nerves and/or confirmation of the nerves' posterior location. The NeuroVision monitoring system **120** will continuously search for the stimulus threshold that elicits an EMG response on the myotomes monitored and then reports such thresholds on a display **140** as shown in FIG. **37**. As the dilator is advanced through the psoas muscle **220**, the stimulus necessary to elicit an EMG response will vary with distance from the nerve. In the presently preferred embodiment, experience has shown that threshold values greater than 10 mA indicate a distance that allows for safe passage through the psoas muscle **220** and continued nerve safety.

Referring to FIGS. **38-40**, a K-wire **42** of the initial dilator **40** is introduced into the targeted disc space **206** after the dilator **40** is passed through the psoas muscle **220**. Preferably, the position of the distal end **41** of the dilator **40** is confirmed using fluoroscopic imaging before the K-wire **42** is introduced into the disc space **206**. After a distal portion of the K-wire **42** is inserted into the targeted disc space **206**, depth markings **45** (FIG. **39**) on the dilator **40** may be read at the skin level to determine the appropriate length of retractor blades **12, 16, 18** that will be used with the handle assembly **20** of the access system **10**. As shown in FIG. **40**, the appropriate length blades **12, 16, and 18** may be secured to the handle portion **20** by tightening fasteners with a driver instrument **274**.

Referring to FIG. **41**, the sequential dilation system **50** (previously described in connection with FIG. **12**), including one or more supplemental dilators **52, 54**, may be guided over the initial dilator **40** for the purpose of further dilating the tissue down to the surgical target site. In the presently preferred embodiment, the NeuroVision monitoring system **120** of the type disclosed in the NeuroVision PCT Applications is used with the supplemental dilators **52, 54** to provide safe passage through the psoas muscle **220**. The initial dilator **40** and the supplemental dilators **52, 54** are advanced through the lateral incision location **208** to the targeted disc space **206** in a substantially lateral direction to create a distraction corridor.

Still referring to FIG. **41**, the retractor blades **12, 16, 18** of the access system **10** are introduced over the supplemental dilator **54** (or the initial dilator **40** if the sequential dilation system **50** is not employed) toward the disc space

206. Again, the NeuroVision monitoring system **120** of the type disclosed in the NeuroVision PCT Applications may be used with the blades **12, 16, 18** to provide safe passage through the psoas muscle **220**. In some embodiments, the posterior shim element **22** and/or the retractor extenders **24, 25** are engaged with the retractor blades **12, 16, 18** (as previously described in connection with FIGS. **1-7**). After the retractor blades **12, 16, 18** are introduced along the distraction corridor, fluoroscopic imaging may be used to confirm the position of the blades **12, 16, 18** proximal to the disc space **206**.

Referring to FIG. **42**, the articulating arm assembly **60** is coupled to the handle member **20** of the access system **10**. As previous described in connection with FIG. **25**, the articulating arm assembly **60** is also coupled to the surgical table **250** so as to maintain the access system **10** in a substantially fixed position. Handles **62** and **64** may be turned to substantially fix the position of articulating arm assembly **60**.

Referring now to FIGS. **43-44**, handle extenders **31** and **33** may be squeeze to spread the blades **12, 16, 18** and knob members **36** may be turned to selectively adjust the posterior retractor blade **12** (previously described in connection with FIGS. **13-18**). Such movement by the blades **12, 16, 18** retracts the distraction corridor so as to form an operative corridor **15**.

FIG. **45** shows a lateral view of the operative corridor **15** down to the targeted disc space **206** in the patient's spine. Light emitting devices **39** may be coupled to one or more of the retractor blades **12, 16, 18** to direct light down the operative corridor **15**. In this embodiment, the light emitting devices **39** are coupled to a xenon arthroscopy light source. The surgeon may use direct visualization and/or a NeuroVision probe of the type disclosed in the NeuroVision PCT Applications to confirm that the operative corridor **15** is neurologically clear.

Referring to FIGS. **46-50**, various instruments may be inserted through the operative corridor **15** to prepare the targeted disc space **206**. In the presently preferred embodiment, the operative corridor **15** has a 15-20 mm annulotomy to provide ample space for the various instruments. In other embodiments, the operative corridor **15** may have other configurations, depending on the surgical task to be performed.

In this embodiment depicted in FIGS. **46-50**, the disc space **206** is undergoing a discectomy and insertion of a spinal implant. As shown in FIG. **46**, at least one preparation tool **276** such as a disc cutter, pituitary, scraper, curette, or the like is inserted through the operative corridor **15** to prepare the disc space **206**. Referring more closely to FIG. **47**, one or more sizers **277** are inserted to the disc space **206** to provide appropriate disc height restoration. As shown in FIG. **48**, a broach **278** may be used in the disc space **206** to remove osteophytes and to facilitate implant insertion.

Referring now to FIGS. **49-50**, an appropriately sized implant **282** is advanced into the disc space **206** with an inserter tool **280**. The implant **282** is releasably secured to the inserter tool **280** such that the surgeon may release the implant when it is properly positioned in the disc space **206**. The implant may comprise a material that facilitates bone fusion (such as allograft or autograft), and autograft or graft extenders may be used in the disc space **206** after the implant is inserted.

After the procedure on the targeted disc space **206** is complete, the access system **10** is carefully removed from the operative corridor **15**. Direct visualization may be used to confirm the absence of significant bleeding in the disc

space **206** or the psoas muscle **220**. The skin around the operative corridor may be closed using a suturing method, such as a subcuticular suture.

Accordingly, certain methods of using the access system **10** can safely and effectively establish a minimally invasive operative corridor through the retroperitoneal space **215** and the psoas muscle **220** via a direct lateral, retroperitoneal approach to the spine. Such a method allows the surgeon to directly visualize the patient's anatomy without the cumbersome requirements associated with using endoscopes or operating coaxial through narrow, artificial tube. Moreover, when employing such a method to laterally approach the spine, the potential of damaging nerves while advancing dilators and other instruments through the psoas muscle **220** may be substantially reduced.

As evident from the above discussion and drawings, the present invention accomplishes the goal of gaining access a surgical target site in a fashion less invasive than traditional "open" surgeries and, moreover, does so in a manner that provides the ability to access such a surgical target site regardless of the neural structures required to be passed through (or near) in order to establish an operative corridor to the surgical target site. The present invention furthermore provides the ability to perform neural monitoring in the tissue or regions adjacent the surgical target site during any procedures performed after the operative corridor has been established. The surgical access system of the present invention can be used in any of a wide variety of surgical or medical applications, above and beyond the spinal applications discussed herein. Such spinal applications may include any procedure wherein instruments, devices, implants and/or compounds are to be introduced into or adjacent the surgical target site, including but not limited to discectomy, fusion (including PLIF, ALIF, TLIF and any fusion effectuated via a lateral or far-lateral approach and involving, by way of example, the introduction of bone products (such as allograft or autograft) and/or devices having ceramic, metal and/or plastic construction (such as mesh) and/or compounds such as bone morphogenic protein), total disc replacement, etc. . . .

Moreover, the surgical access system of the present invention opens the possibility of accessing an increased number of surgical target sites in a "less invasive" fashion by eliminating or greatly reducing the threat of contacting nerves or neural structures while establishing an operative corridor through or near tissues containing such nerves or neural structures. In so doing, the surgical access system of the present invention represents a significant advancement capable of improving patient care (via reduced pain due to "less-invasive" access and reduced or eliminated risk of neural contact before, during, and after the establishment of the operative corridor) and lowering health care costs (via reduced hospitalization based on "less-invasive" access and increased number of suitable surgical target sites based on neural monitoring). Collectively, these translate into major improvements to the overall standard of care available to the patient population, both domestically and overseas.

What is claimed is:

1. A method of inserting a spinal implant along a lateral path to a targeted lumbar disc space, the method comprising: while a user's finger is inserted through a skin incision, moving a tip portion of the user's finger through bodily tissue in a retroperitoneal space that is lateral from a psoas muscle and a targeted lumbar disc space and contacting the tip portion of the user's finger with the psoas muscle;

after contacting the tip portion of the user's finger with the psoas muscle, advancing a dilator system along a lateral path to the targeted lumbar disc space, the dilator system comprising: a first cannulated dilator that is advanced to the targeted lumbar disc space, one or more sequential dilators that are advanced along the lateral path, wherein the first cannulated dilator comprises a central lumen configured to receive an elongate inner member, and wherein one or more of said first cannulated dilator, said one or more sequential dilators, and said elongate inner member includes a stimulation electrode that outputs electrical stimulation for nerve monitoring when the stimulation electrode is positioned in the lateral path;

slidably advancing a multi-blade retractor assembly over an outermost dilator of the dilator system toward the targeted lumbar disc space along the lateral path, the multi-blade retractor assembly including a blade adjuster apparatus and a plurality of retractor blades extending from the blade adjuster apparatus, wherein the plurality of retractor blades are slidably advanced over the outermost dilator while in a first position in which the plurality of retractor blades are configured to simultaneously slide over the outermost dilator of the dilator system, wherein the blade adjuster apparatus is operable to adjust the plurality of retractor blades to a second position in which a first retractor blade is moved away from a second retractor blade;

removing at least a portion of the dilator system after the multi-blade retractor assembly is advanced along the lateral path, wherein a lateral operative corridor to the targeted lumbar disc space is at least partially defined by the plurality of retractor blades;

inserting a spinal implant that is releasably secured to an inserter tool through the lateral operative corridor maintained by the multi-blade retractor assembly and into the targeted lumbar disc space; and

releasing the spinal implant from the inserter tool when the spinal implant is positioned in the targeted lumbar disc space.

2. The method of claim **1**, further comprising moving arm portions of the blade adjuster apparatus so that the plurality of retractor blades are adjusted to the second position before the step of inserting the spinal implant.

3. The method of claim **2**, further comprising releasably engaging a fixation element with one of the plurality of retractor blades so that at least a portion of the fixation element extends distally from the one of the plurality of retractor blades and anchors into a portion of the spine.

4. The method of claim **3**, wherein the fixation element comprises a posterior shim element that releasably engages with a posterior-most retractor blade of the plurality of retractor blades.

5. The method of claim **3**, further comprising releasably engaging retractor blade extenders with corresponding retractor blades of the plurality of retractor blades, the retractor blade extenders being different from the fixation element.

6. The method of claim **1**, wherein the step of moving the tip portion of the user's finger through the bodily tissue separates fatty tissue in the retroperitoneal space before the dilator system is advanced into the retroperitoneal space.

7. The method of claim **1**, further comprising activating one or more light emitting devices to direct light through the lateral operative corridor toward the targeted lumbar disc

space, each of the one or more light emitting device being releasably coupled to a respective one of the plurality of retractor blades.

8. The method of claim 1, further comprising inserting at least one preparation tool through the lateral operative corridor formed by the multi-blade retractor assembly along the lateral path so as to remove a portion of a spinal disc from the targeted lumbar disc space.

9. The method of claim 8, further comprising inserting a sizer instrument into the targeted lumbar disc space before the spinal implant is inserted into the targeted lumbar disc space.

10. The method of claim 1, wherein the blade adjuster apparatus comprises: two pivotable arm portions and a knob element that is rotatable relative to a linear rack member having teeth.

11. The method of claim 1, wherein the elongate inner member is deliverable along the lateral path to the targeted lumbar disc space such that a distal tip portion of the elongate inner member penetrates into an annulus of a spinal disc at the targeted lumbar disc space.

12. The method of claim 1, wherein the elongate inner member comprises a K-wire.

13. The method of claim 1, wherein the first cannulated dilator is advanced to the targeted lumbar disc space together with the elongate inner member received within the central lumen of the first cannulated dilator.

14. The method of claim 1, wherein when the multi-blade retractor assembly is in the first position, each retractor blade of the plurality of retractor blades at least partially abuts a neighboring retractor blade of the plurality of retractor blades.

15. The method of claim 1, wherein the skin incision through which the user's finger is inserted is different from a skin penetration point of the first cannulated dilator.

16. The method of claim 1, wherein the step of advancing the dilator system along the lateral path to the targeted lumbar disc space comprises advancing the dilator system along a trans-psoas path through the psoas muscle.

17. The method of claim 1, wherein the step of slidably advancing the multi-blade retractor assembly along the

lateral path comprises advancing the multi-blade retractor assembly along a trans-psoas path through the psoas muscle.

18. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

activating a nerve monitoring system that delivers an electrical stimulation signal to the stimulation electrode of the first cannulated dilator during delivery of the first cannulated dilator along the lateral path to the targeted lumbar disc space, the nerve monitoring system detecting neuromuscular responses via a set of sensors in leg muscle myotomes associated with nerves in the vicinity of the targeted lumbar disc space; and

during advancement of the first cannulated dilator along the lateral path to the targeted lumbar disc space, viewing a video display device of the nerve monitoring system that displays a numeric stimulation threshold that changes in response to the detection of said neuromuscular responses via said set of sensor in said leg muscle myotomes.

19. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

activating a nerve monitoring system that delivers an electrical stimulation signal to the stimulation electrode of the elongate inner member during delivery of the elongate inner member along the lateral path to the targeted lumbar disc space, the nerve monitoring system detecting neuromuscular responses via a set of sensors in leg muscle myotomes associated with nerves in the vicinity of the targeted lumbar disc space; and

during advancement of the elongate inner member along the lateral path to the targeted lumbar disc space, viewing a video display device of the nerve monitoring system that displays a numeric stimulation threshold that changes in response to the detection of said neuromuscular responses via said set of sensor in said leg muscle myotomes.

20. The method of claim 1, further comprising guiding the elongate inner member through the retroperitoneal space along the lateral path while covering a distal region of the elongate inner member with the tip portion of the user's finger.

* * * * *

专利名称(译)	手术通道系统及相关方法		
公开(公告)号	US10653308	公开(公告)日	2020-05-19
申请号	US15/071540	申请日	2016-03-16
[标]申请(专利权)人(译)	NUVASIVE		
申请(专利权)人(译)	NUVASIVE INC.		
当前申请(专利权)人(译)	NUVASIVE INC.		
[标]发明人	PIMENTA LUIZ MILES PATRICK MARTINELLI SCOT FINLEY ERIC		
发明人	PIMENTA, LUIZ MILES, PATRICK MARTINELLI, SCOT FINLEY, ERIC		
IPC分类号	A61B17/02 A61B5/00 A61B1/32 A61B5/04		
CPC分类号	A61B5/4836 A61B1/32 A61B5/04001 A61B17/02 A61B2017/0256		
优先权	60/512594 2003-10-17 US		
其他公开文献	US20160192921A1		
外部链接	Espacenet		

摘要(译)

一种包括组织牵开组件和组织牵开组件的外科手术进入系统，这两种系统均可配备一个或多个电极，用于检测神经结构在存在之前，期间，以及之间的距离以及/或者方向。建立通往手术目标部位的手术通道后。手术进入系统的一些实施例可以特别适合于建立到脊柱中的手术目标部位的手术通道。这样的手术通道可以在直接侧向腹膜后接近脊柱的过程中通过腹膜后间隙和腰大肌建立。

