US010349898B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Al-Ali et al.

(10) **Patent No.: US 10,349,898 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent: Jul. 16, 2019**

(54) **AUTOMATED CCHD SCREENING AND DETECTION**

(71) Applicant: **MASIMO CORPORATION**, Irvine, CA (US)

(72) Inventors: **Ammar Al-Ali**, San Juan Capistrano, CA (US); **Bilal Muhsin**, San Clemente, CA (US)

(73) Assignee: **MASIMO CORPORATION**, Irvine, CA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 260 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **15/195,037**

(22) Filed: **Jun. 28, 2016**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2017/0007134 A1 Jan. 12, 2017

Related U.S. Application Data

(62) Division of application No. 13/733,782, filed on Jan. 3, 2013, now Pat. No. 9,392,945.
(Continued)

(51) **Int. Cl.**
A61B 5/1455 (2006.01)
A61B 5/00 (2006.01)
(Continued)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC ***A61B 5/7275*** (2013.01); ***A61B 5/0205*** (2013.01); ***A61B 5/02028*** (2013.01);
(Continued)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC A61B 5/0205; A61B 5/024; A61B 5/1455; A61B 5/14551; A61B 5/14552;
(Continued)

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,869,253 A 9/1989 Craig et al.
4,960,128 A 10/1990 Gordon et al.
(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

WO WO 2013/103885 7/2013

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Kemper et al., "Strategies for Implementing Screening for Critical Congenital Heart Disease", American Academy of Pediatrics 2011. DOI 10.1542/peds.2011-1317.*
(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Eric F Winakur
Assistant Examiner — Chu Chuan Liu
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Knobbe Martens Olson & Bear LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

Automated critical congenital heart defect ("CCHD") screening systems and processes are described. A caregiver may be guided to use a single or dual sensor pulse oximeter to obtain pre- and post-ductal blood oxygenation measurements. A delta of the measurements indicates the possible existence or nonexistence of a CCHD. Errors in the measurements are reduced by a configurable measurement confidence threshold based on, for example, a perfusion index. Measurement data may be stored and retrieved from a remote data processing center for repeated screenings.

23 Claims, 16 Drawing Sheets



Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Provisional application No. 61/583,143, filed on Jan. 4, 2012, provisional application No. 61/703,132, filed on Sep. 19, 2012.

(51) **Int. Cl.**

A61B 5/02	(2006.01)
A61B 5/0205	(2006.01)
A61B 5/0295	(2006.01)
A61B 5/024	(2006.01)
A61B 5/08	(2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC ***A61B 5/0295*** (2013.01); ***A61B 5/14551*** (2013.01); ***A61B 5/14552*** (2013.01); ***A61B 5/684*** (2013.01); ***A61B 5/6825*** (2013.01); ***A61B 5/6829*** (2013.01); ***A61B 5/7221*** (2013.01); ***A61B 5/7278*** (2013.01); ***A61B 5/7282*** (2013.01); ***A61B 5/743*** (2013.01); *A61B 5/024* (2013.01); *A61B 5/0816* (2013.01); *A61B 2503/04* (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**

CPC A61B 5/72; A61B 5/7203; A61B 5/7207; A61B 5/7221; A61B 5/7282; A61B 5/02028; A61B 5/0295; A61B 5/743; A61B 5/684; A61B 5/6829; A61B 5/6825; A61B 5/7278; A61B 5/0816

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,964,408	A	10/1990	Hink et al.
5,041,187	A	8/1991	Hink et al.
5,069,213	A	12/1991	Polczynski
5,078,714	A	1/1992	Katims
5,163,438	A	11/1992	Gordon et al.
5,319,355	A	6/1994	Russek
5,337,744	A	8/1994	Branigan
5,341,805	A	8/1994	Stavridi et al.
D353,195	S	12/1994	Savage et al.
D353,196	S	12/1994	Savage et al.
5,377,676	A	1/1995	Vari et al.
5,398,682	A	3/1995	Lynn
D359,546	S	6/1995	Savage et al.
5,431,170	A	7/1995	Mathews
D361,840	S	8/1995	Savage et al.
D362,063	S	9/1995	Savage et al.
5,452,717	A	9/1995	Branigan et al.
D363,120	S	10/1995	Savage et al.
5,456,252	A	10/1995	Vari et al.
5,479,934	A	1/1996	Imran
5,482,036	A	1/1996	Diab et al.
5,490,505	A	2/1996	Diab et al.
5,494,043	A	2/1996	O'Sullivan et al.
5,533,511	A	7/1996	Kaspari et al.
5,534,851	A	7/1996	Russek
5,561,275	A	10/1996	Savage et al.
5,562,002	A	10/1996	Lalin
5,590,649	A	1/1997	Caro et al.
5,602,924	A	2/1997	Durand et al.
5,632,272	A	5/1997	Diab et al.
5,638,816	A	6/1997	Kiani-Azarbayjany et al.
5,638,818	A	6/1997	Diab et al.
5,645,440	A	7/1997	Tobler et al.
5,685,299	A	11/1997	Diab et al.
D393,830	S	4/1998	Tobler et al.
5,743,262	A	4/1998	Lepper, Jr. et al.
5,758,644	A	6/1998	Diab et al.
5,760,910	A	6/1998	Lepper, Jr. et al.
5,769,785	A	6/1998	Diab et al.
5,782,757	A	7/1998	Diab et al.
5,785,659	A	7/1998	Caro et al.
5,791,347	A	8/1998	Flaherty et al.
5,810,734	A	9/1998	Caro et al.
5,823,950	A	10/1998	Diab et al.
5,830,131	A	11/1998	Caro et al.
5,833,618	A	11/1998	Caro et al.
5,860,919	A	1/1999	Kiani-Azarbayjany et al.
5,890,929	A	4/1999	Mills et al.
5,904,654	A	5/1999	Wohltmann et al.
5,919,134	A	7/1999	Diab
5,934,277	A	8/1999	Mortz
5,934,925	A	8/1999	Tobler et al.
5,940,182	A	8/1999	Lepper, Jr. et al.
5,987,343	A	11/1999	Kinast
5,995,855	A	11/1999	Kiani et al.
5,997,343	A	12/1999	Mills et al.
6,002,952	A	12/1999	Diab et al.
6,011,986	A	1/2000	Diab et al.
6,027,452	A	2/2000	Flaherty et al.
6,036,642	A	3/2000	Diab et al.
6,045,509	A	4/2000	Caro et al.
6,067,462	A	5/2000	Diab et al.
6,081,735	A	6/2000	Diab et al.
6,083,172	A	7/2000	Baker, Jr. et al.
6,088,607	A	7/2000	Diab et al.
6,110,522	A	8/2000	Lepper, Jr. et al.
6,124,597	A	9/2000	Shehada
6,128,521	A	10/2000	Marro et al.
6,129,675	A	10/2000	Jay
6,144,868	A	11/2000	Parker
6,151,516	A	11/2000	Kiani-Azarbayjany et al.
6,152,754	A	11/2000	Gerhardt et al.
6,157,850	A	12/2000	Diab et al.
6,165,005	A	12/2000	Mills et al.
6,184,521	B1	2/2001	Coffin, IV et al.
6,206,830	B1	3/2001	Diab et al.
6,229,856	B1	5/2001	Diab et al.
6,232,609	B1	5/2001	Snyder et al.
6,236,872	B1	5/2001	Diab et al.
6,241,683	B1	6/2001	Macklem et al.
6,253,097	B1	6/2001	Aronow et al.
6,256,523	B1	7/2001	Diab et al.
6,263,222	B1	7/2001	Diab et al.
6,278,522	B1	8/2001	Lepper, Jr. et al.
6,280,213	B1	8/2001	Tobler et al.
6,285,896	B1	9/2001	Tobler et al.
6,301,493	B1	10/2001	Marro et al.
6,308,089	B1	10/2001	von der Ruhr et al.
6,317,627	B1	11/2001	Ennen et al.
6,321,100	B1	11/2001	Parker
6,321,110	B1	11/2001	Ito et al.
6,325,761	B1	12/2001	Jay
6,334,065	B1	12/2001	Al-Ali et al.
6,343,224	B1	1/2002	Parker
6,349,228	B1	2/2002	Kiani et al.
6,360,114	B1	3/2002	Diab et al.
6,368,283	B1	4/2002	Xu et al.
6,371,921	B1	4/2002	Caro et al.
6,377,829	B1	4/2002	Al-Ali
6,388,240	B2	5/2002	Schulz et al.
6,397,091	B2	5/2002	Diab et al.
6,430,437	B1	8/2002	Marro
6,430,525	B1	8/2002	Weber et al.
6,463,311	B1	10/2002	Diab
6,470,199	B1	10/2002	Kopotic et al.
6,501,975	B2	12/2002	Diab et al.
6,505,059	B1	1/2003	Kollias et al.
6,515,273	B2	2/2003	Al-Ali
6,519,487	B1	2/2003	Parker
6,525,386	B1	2/2003	Mills et al.
6,526,300	B1	2/2003	Kiani et al.
6,541,756	B2	4/2003	Schulz et al.
6,542,764	B1	4/2003	Al-Ali et al.
6,580,086	B1	6/2003	Schulz et al.
6,584,336	B1	6/2003	Ali et al.
6,595,316	B2	7/2003	Cybulski et al.
6,597,932	B2	7/2003	Tian et al.
6,597,933	B2	7/2003	Kiani et al.
6,606,511	B1	8/2003	Ali et al.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,632,181 B2 10/2003 Flaherty et al.
6,639,668 B1 10/2003 Trepagnier
6,640,116 B2 10/2003 Diab
6,643,530 B2 11/2003 Diab et al.
6,650,917 B2 11/2003 Diab et al.
6,654,624 B2 11/2003 Diab et al.
6,658,276 B2 12/2003 Kiani et al.
6,661,161 B1 12/2003 Lanzo et al.
6,671,531 B2 12/2003 Al-Ali et al.
6,678,543 B2 1/2004 Diab et al.
6,684,090 B2 1/2004 Ali et al.
6,684,091 B2 1/2004 Parker
6,697,656 B1 2/2004 Al-Ali
6,697,657 B1 2/2004 Shehada et al.
6,697,658 B2 2/2004 Al-Ali
RE38,476 E 3/2004 Diab et al.
6,699,194 B1 3/2004 Diab et al.
6,714,804 B2 3/2004 Al-Ali et al.
RE38,492 E 4/2004 Diab et al.
6,721,582 B2 4/2004 Trepagnier et al.
6,721,585 B1 4/2004 Parker
6,725,075 B2 4/2004 Al-Ali
6,728,560 B2 4/2004 Kollias et al.
6,735,459 B2 5/2004 Parker
6,745,060 B2 6/2004 Diab et al.
6,760,607 B2 7/2004 Al-Ali
6,770,028 B1 8/2004 Ali et al.
6,771,994 B2 8/2004 Kiani et al.
6,792,300 B1 9/2004 Diab et al.
6,813,511 B2 11/2004 Diab et al.
6,816,741 B2 11/2004 Diab
6,822,564 B2 11/2004 Al-Ali
6,826,419 B2 11/2004 Diab et al.
6,830,711 B2 12/2004 Mills et al.
6,850,787 B2 2/2005 Weber et al.
6,850,788 B2 2/2005 Al-Ali
6,852,083 B2 2/2005 Caro et al.
6,861,639 B2 3/2005 Al-Ali
6,898,452 B2 5/2005 Al-Ali et al.
6,920,345 B2 7/2005 Al-Ali et al.
6,931,268 B1 8/2005 Kiani-Azarbayjany et al.
6,934,570 B2 8/2005 Kiani et al.
6,939,305 B2 9/2005 Flaherty et al.
6,943,348 B1 9/2005 Coffin, IV
6,950,687 B2 9/2005 Al-Ali
6,961,598 B2 11/2005 Diab
6,970,792 B1 11/2005 Diab
6,979,812 B2 12/2005 Al-Ali
6,985,764 B2 1/2006 Mason et al.
6,993,371 B2 1/2006 Kiani et al.
6,996,427 B2 2/2006 Ali et al.
6,999,904 B2 2/2006 Weber et al.
7,003,338 B2 2/2006 Weber et al.
7,003,339 B2 2/2006 Diab et al.
7,015,451 B2 3/2006 Dalke et al.
7,024,233 B2 4/2006 Ali et al.
7,027,849 B2 4/2006 Al-Ali
7,030,749 B2 4/2006 Al-Ali
7,039,449 B2 5/2006 Al-Ali
7,041,060 B2 5/2006 Flaherty et al.
7,044,918 B2 5/2006 Diab
7,048,687 B1 5/2006 Reuss et al.
7,067,893 B2 6/2006 Mills et al.
7,096,052 B2 8/2006 Mason et al.
7,096,054 B2 8/2006 Abdul-Hafiz et al.
7,132,641 B2 11/2006 Schulz et al.
7,142,901 B2 11/2006 Kiani et al.
7,149,561 B2 12/2006 Diab
7,186,966 B2 3/2007 Al-Ali
7,190,261 B2 3/2007 Al-Ali
7,215,984 B2 5/2007 Diab
7,215,986 B2 5/2007 Diab
7,221,971 B2 5/2007 Diab
7,225,006 B2 5/2007 Al-Ali et al.
7,225,007 B2 5/2007 Al-Ali
RE39,672 E 6/2007 Shehada et al.
7,239,905 B2 7/2007 Kiani-Azarbayjany et al.
7,245,953 B1 7/2007 Parker
7,254,429 B2 8/2007 Schurman et al.
7,254,431 B2 8/2007 Al-Ali
7,254,433 B2 8/2007 Diab et al.
7,254,434 B2 8/2007 Schulz et al.
7,272,425 B2 9/2007 Al-Ali
7,274,955 B2 9/2007 Kiani et al.
D554,263 S 10/2007 Al-Ali
7,280,858 B2 10/2007 Al-Ali et al.
7,289,835 B2 10/2007 Mansfield et al.
7,292,883 B2 11/2007 De Felice et al.
7,295,866 B2 11/2007 Al-Ali
7,328,053 B1 2/2008 Diab et al.
7,332,784 B2 2/2008 Mills et al.
7,340,287 B2 3/2008 Mason et al.
7,341,559 B2 3/2008 Schulz et al.
7,343,186 B2 3/2008 Lamego et al.
D566,282 S 4/2008 Al-Ali et al.
7,355,512 B1 4/2008 Al-Ali
7,356,365 B2 4/2008 Schurman
7,371,981 B2 5/2008 Abdul-Hafiz
7,373,193 B2 5/2008 Al-Ali et al.
7,373,194 B2 5/2008 Weber et al.
7,376,453 B1 5/2008 Diab et al.
7,377,794 B2 5/2008 Al Ali et al.
7,377,899 B2 5/2008 Weber et al.
7,383,070 B2 6/2008 Diab et al.
7,415,297 B2 8/2008 Al-Ali et al.
7,428,432 B2 9/2008 Ali et al.
7,438,683 B2 10/2008 Al-Ali et al.
7,440,787 B2 10/2008 Diab
7,454,240 B2 11/2008 Diab et al.
7,467,002 B2 12/2008 Weber et al.
7,469,157 B2 12/2008 Diab et al.
7,471,969 B2 12/2008 Diab et al.
7,471,971 B2 12/2008 Diab et al.
7,483,729 B2 1/2009 Al-Ali et al.
7,483,730 B2 1/2009 Diab et al.
7,489,958 B2 2/2009 Diab et al.
7,496,391 B2 2/2009 Diab et al.
7,496,393 B2 2/2009 Diab et al.
D587,657 S 3/2009 Al-Ali et al.
7,499,741 B2 3/2009 Diab et al.
7,499,835 B2 3/2009 Weber et al.
7,500,950 B2 3/2009 Al-Ali et al.
7,509,154 B2 3/2009 Diab et al.
7,509,494 B2 3/2009 Al-Ali
7,510,849 B2 3/2009 Schurman et al.
7,526,328 B2 4/2009 Diab et al.
7,530,942 B1 5/2009 Diab
7,530,949 B2 5/2009 Al Ali et al.
7,530,955 B2 5/2009 Diab et al.
7,563,110 B2 7/2009 Al-Ali et al.
7,596,398 B2 9/2009 Al-Ali et al.
7,618,375 B2 11/2009 Flaherty
D606,659 S 12/2009 Kiani et al.
7,647,083 B2 1/2010 Al-Ali et al.
D609,193 S 2/2010 Al-Ali et al.
D614,305 S 4/2010 Al-Ali et al.
RE41,317 E 5/2010 Parker
7,729,733 B2 6/2010 Al-Ali et al.
7,734,320 B2 6/2010 Al-Ali
7,761,127 B2 7/2010 Al-Ali et al.
7,761,128 B2 7/2010 Al-Ali et al.
7,764,982 B2 7/2010 Dalke et al.
D621,516 S 8/2010 Kiani et al.
7,791,155 B2 9/2010 Diab
7,801,581 B2 9/2010 Diab
7,822,452 B2 10/2010 Schurman et al.
RE41,912 E 11/2010 Parker
7,844,313 B2 11/2010 Kiani et al.
7,844,314 B2 11/2010 Al-Ali
7,844,315 B2 11/2010 Al-Ali
7,865,222 B2 1/2011 Weber et al.
7,873,497 B2 1/2011 Weber et al.
7,880,606 B2 2/2011 Al-Ali
7,880,626 B2 2/2011 Al-Ali et al.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

7,891,355 B2 2/2011 Al-Ali et al.
7,894,868 B2 2/2011 Al-Ali et al.
7,899,507 B2 3/2011 Al-Ali et al.
7,899,518 B2 3/2011 Trepagnier et al.
7,904,132 B2 3/2011 Weber et al.
7,909,772 B2 3/2011 Popov et al.
7,910,875 B2 3/2011 Al-Ali
7,919,713 B2 4/2011 Al-Ali et al.
7,937,128 B2 5/2011 Al-Ali
7,937,129 B2 5/2011 Mason et al.
7,937,130 B2 5/2011 Diab et al.
7,941,199 B2 5/2011 Kiani
7,942,824 B1 5/2011 Kayyali et al.
7,951,086 B2 5/2011 Flaherty et al.
7,957,780 B2 6/2011 Lamego et al.
7,962,188 B2 6/2011 Kiani et al.
7,962,190 B1 6/2011 Diab et al.
7,976,472 B2 7/2011 Kiani
7,988,637 B2 8/2011 Diab
7,990,382 B2 8/2011 Kiani
7,991,446 B2 8/2011 Ali et al.
8,000,761 B2 8/2011 Al-Ali
8,008,088 B2 8/2011 Bellott et al.
RE42,753 E 9/2011 Kiani-Azarbayjany et al.
8,019,400 B2 9/2011 Diab et al.
8,028,701 B2 10/2011 Al-Ali et al.
8,029,765 B2 10/2011 Bellott et al.
8,036,727 B2 10/2011 Schurman et al.
8,036,728 B2 10/2011 Diab et al.
8,046,040 B2 10/2011 Ali et al.
8,046,041 B2 10/2011 Diab et al.
8,046,042 B2 10/2011 Diab et al.
8,048,040 B2 11/2011 Kiani
8,050,728 B2 11/2011 Al-Ali et al.
RE43,169 E 2/2012 Parker
8,118,620 B2 2/2012 Al-Ali et al.
8,126,528 B2 2/2012 Diab et al.
8,128,572 B2 3/2012 Diab et al.
8,130,105 B2 3/2012 Al-Ali et al.
8,145,287 B2 3/2012 Diab et al.
8,150,487 B2 4/2012 Diab et al.
8,175,672 B2 5/2012 Parker
8,180,420 B2 5/2012 Diab et al.
8,182,443 B1 5/2012 Kiani
8,185,180 B2 5/2012 Diab et al.
8,190,223 B2 5/2012 Al-Ali et al.
8,190,227 B2 5/2012 Diab et al.
8,203,438 B2 6/2012 Kiani et al.
8,203,704 B2 6/2012 Merritt et al.
8,204,566 B2 6/2012 Schurman et al.
8,219,172 B2 7/2012 Schurman et al.
8,224,411 B2 7/2012 Al-Ali et al.
8,228,181 B2 7/2012 Al-Ali
8,229,533 B2 7/2012 Diab et al.
8,233,955 B2 7/2012 Al-Ali et al.
8,244,325 B2 8/2012 Al-Ali et al.
8,255,026 B1 8/2012 Al-Ali
8,255,027 B2 8/2012 Al-Ali et al.
8,255,028 B2 8/2012 Al-Ali et al.
8,260,577 B2 9/2012 Weber et al.
8,265,723 B1 9/2012 McHale et al.
8,274,360 B2 9/2012 Sampath et al.
8,280,473 B2 10/2012 Al-Ali
8,301,217 B2 10/2012 Al-Ali et al.
8,306,596 B2 11/2012 Schurman et al.
8,310,336 B2 11/2012 Muhsin et al.
8,315,683 B2 11/2012 Al-Ali et al.
RE43,860 E 12/2012 Parker
8,337,403 B2 12/2012 Al-Ali et al.
8,346,330 B2 1/2013 Lamego
8,353,842 B2 1/2013 Al-Ali et al.
8,355,766 B2 1/2013 MacNeish, III et al.
8,359,080 B2 1/2013 Diab et al.
8,364,223 B2 1/2013 Al-Ali et al.
8,364,226 B2 1/2013 Diab et al.
8,374,665 B2 2/2013 Lamego
8,385,995 B2 2/2013 Al-ali et al.
8,385,996 B2 2/2013 Smith et al.
8,388,353 B2 3/2013 Kiani et al.
8,399,822 B2 3/2013 Al-Ali
8,401,602 B2 3/2013 Kiani
8,405,608 B2 3/2013 Al-Ali et al.
8,414,499 B2 4/2013 Al-Ali et al.
8,418,524 B2 4/2013 Al-Ali
8,423,106 B2 4/2013 Lamego et al.
8,428,967 B2 4/2013 Olsen et al.
8,430,817 B1 4/2013 Al-Ali et al.
8,437,825 B2 5/2013 Dalvi et al.
8,455,290 B2 6/2013 Siskavich
8,457,703 B2 6/2013 Al-Ali
8,457,707 B2 6/2013 Kiani
8,463,349 B2 6/2013 Diab et al.
8,466,286 B2 6/2013 Bellot et al.
8,471,713 B2 6/2013 Poeze et al.
8,473,020 B2 6/2013 Kiani et al.
8,483,787 B2 7/2013 Al-Ali et al.
8,489,364 B2 7/2013 Weber et al.
8,498,684 B2 7/2013 Weber et al.
8,504,128 B2 8/2013 Blank et al.
8,509,867 B2 8/2013 Workman et al.
8,515,509 B2 8/2013 Bruinsma et al.
8,523,781 B2 9/2013 Al-Ali
8,529,301 B2 9/2013 Al-Ali et al.
8,532,727 B2 9/2013 Ali et al.
8,532,728 B2 9/2013 Diab et al.
D692,145 S 10/2013 Al-Ali et al.
8,547,209 B2 10/2013 Kiani et al.
8,548,548 B2 10/2013 Al-Ali
8,548,549 B2 10/2013 Schurman et al.
8,548,550 B2 10/2013 Al-Ali et al.
8,560,032 B2 10/2013 Al-Ali et al.
8,560,034 B1 10/2013 Diab et al.
8,570,167 B2 10/2013 Al-Ali
8,570,503 B2 10/2013 Vo et al.
8,571,617 B2 10/2013 Reichgott et al.
8,571,618 B1 10/2013 Lamego et al.
8,571,619 B2 10/2013 Al-Ali et al.
8,577,431 B2 11/2013 Lamego et al.
8,581,732 B2 11/2013 Al-Ali et al.
8,584,345 B2 11/2013 Al-Ali et al.
8,588,880 B2 11/2013 Abdul-Hafiz et al.
8,600,467 B2 12/2013 Al-Ali et al.
8,606,342 B2 12/2013 Diab
8,626,255 B2 1/2014 Al-Ali et al.
8,630,691 B2 1/2014 Lamego et al.
8,634,889 B2 1/2014 Al-Ali et al.
8,641,631 B2 2/2014 Sierra et al.
8,652,060 B2 2/2014 Al-Ali
8,663,107 B2 3/2014 Kiani
8,666,468 B1 3/2014 Al-Ali
8,667,967 B2 3/2014 Al-Ali et al.
8,670,811 B2 3/2014 O'Reilly
8,670,814 B2 3/2014 Diab et al.
8,676,286 B2 3/2014 Weber et al.
8,682,407 B2 3/2014 Al-Ali
RE44,823 E 4/2014 Parker
RE44,875 E 4/2014 Kiani et al.
8,690,799 B2 4/2014 Telfort et al.
8,700,112 B2 4/2014 Kiani
8,702,627 B2 4/2014 Telfort et al.
8,706,179 B2 4/2014 Parker
8,712,494 B1 4/2014 MacNeish, III et al.
8,715,206 B2 5/2014 Telfort et al.
8,718,735 B2 5/2014 Lamego et al.
8,718,737 B2 5/2014 Diab et al.
8,718,738 B2 5/2014 Blank et al.
8,720,249 B2 5/2014 Al-Ali
8,721,541 B2 5/2014 Al-Ali et al.
8,721,542 B2 5/2014 Al-Ali et al.
8,723,677 B1 5/2014 Kiani
8,740,792 B1 6/2014 Kiani et al.
8,754,776 B2 6/2014 Poeze et al.
8,755,535 B2 6/2014 Telfort et al.
8,755,856 B2 6/2014 Diab et al.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

8,755,872 B1 6/2014 Marinow
8,761,850 B2 6/2014 Lamego
8,764,671 B2 7/2014 Kiani
8,768,423 B2 7/2014 Shakespeare et al.
8,771,204 B2 7/2014 Telfort et al.
8,777,634 B2 7/2014 Kiani et al.
8,781,543 B2 7/2014 Diab et al.
8,781,544 B2 7/2014 Al-Ali et al.
8,781,549 B2 7/2014 Al-Ali et al.
8,788,003 B2 7/2014 Schurman et al.
8,790,268 B2 7/2014 Al-Ali
8,801,613 B2 8/2014 Al-Ali et al.
8,821,397 B2 9/2014 Al-Ali et al.
8,821,415 B2 9/2014 Al-Ali et al.
8,830,449 B1 9/2014 Lamego et al.
8,831,700 B2 9/2014 Schurman et al.
8,840,549 B2 9/2014 Al-Ali et al.
8,847,740 B2 9/2014 Kiani et al.
8,849,365 B2 9/2014 Smith et al.
8,852,094 B2 10/2014 Al-Ali et al.
8,852,994 B2 10/2014 Wojtczuk et al.
8,868,147 B2 10/2014 Stippick et al.
8,868,150 B2 10/2014 Al-Ali et al.
8,870,792 B2 10/2014 Al-Ali et al.
8,886,271 B2 11/2014 Kiani et al.
8,888,539 B2 11/2014 Al-Ali et al.
8,888,708 B2 11/2014 Diab et al.
8,892,180 B2 11/2014 Weber et al.
8,897,847 B2 11/2014 Al-Ali
8,909,310 B2 12/2014 Lamego et al.
8,911,377 B2 12/2014 Al-Ali
8,912,909 B2 12/2014 Al-Ali et al.
8,920,317 B2 12/2014 Al-Ali et al.
8,921,699 B2 12/2014 Al-Ali et al.
8,922,382 B2 12/2014 Al-Ali et al.
8,929,964 B2 1/2015 Al-Ali et al.
8,942,777 B2 1/2015 Diab et al.
8,948,834 B2 2/2015 Diab et al.
8,948,835 B2 2/2015 Diab
8,965,471 B2 2/2015 Lamego
8,983,564 B2 3/2015 Al-Ali
8,989,831 B2 3/2015 Al-Ali et al.
8,996,085 B2 3/2015 Kiani et al.
8,998,809 B2 4/2015 Kiani
9,028,429 B2 5/2015 Telfort et al.
9,037,207 B2 5/2015 Al-Ali et al.
9,060,721 B2 6/2015 Reichgott et al.
9,066,666 B2 6/2015 Kiani
9,066,680 B1 6/2015 Al-Ali et al.
9,072,474 B2 7/2015 Al-Ali et al.
9,078,560 B2 7/2015 Schurman et al.
9,084,569 B2 7/2015 Weber et al.
9,095,316 B2 8/2015 Welch et al.
9,106,038 B2 8/2015 Telfort et al.
9,107,625 B2 8/2015 Telfort et al.
9,107,626 B2 8/2015 Al-Ali et al.
9,113,831 B2 8/2015 Al-Ali
9,113,832 B2 8/2015 Al-Ali
9,119,595 B2 9/2015 Lamego
9,131,881 B2 9/2015 Diab et al.
9,131,882 B2 9/2015 Al-Ali et al.
9,131,883 B2 9/2015 Al-Ali
9,131,917 B2 9/2015 Telfort et al.
9,138,180 B1 9/2015 Coverston et al.
9,138,182 B2 9/2015 Al-Ali et al.
9,138,192 B2 9/2015 Weber et al.
9,142,117 B2 9/2015 Muhsin et al.
9,153,112 B1 10/2015 Kiani et al.
9,153,121 B2 10/2015 Kiani et al.
9,161,696 B2 10/2015 Al-Ali et al.
9,161,713 B2 10/2015 Al-Ali et al.
9,167,995 B2 10/2015 Lamego et al.
9,176,141 B2 11/2015 Al-Ali et al.
9,186,102 B2 11/2015 Bruinsma et al.
9,192,312 B2 11/2015 Al-Ali
9,192,329 B2 11/2015 Al-Ali
9,192,351 B1 11/2015 Telfort et al.
9,195,385 B2 11/2015 Al-Ali et al.
9,211,072 B2 12/2015 Kiani
9,211,095 B1 12/2015 Al-Ali
9,218,454 B2 12/2015 Kiani et al.
9,226,696 B2 1/2016 Kiani
9,241,662 B2 1/2016 Al-Ali et al.
9,245,668 B1 1/2016 Vo et al.
9,259,185 B2 2/2016 Abdul-Hafiz et al.
9,267,572 B2 2/2016 Barker et al.
9,277,880 B2 3/2016 Poeze et al.
9,289,167 B2 3/2016 Diab et al.
9,295,421 B2 3/2016 Kiani et al.
9,307,928 B1 4/2016 Al-Ali et al.
9,323,894 B2 4/2016 Kiani
D755,392 S 5/2016 Hwang et al.
9,326,712 B1 5/2016 Kiani
9,333,316 B2 5/2016 Kiani
9,339,220 B2 5/2016 Lamego et al.
9,341,565 B2 5/2016 Lamego et al.
9,351,673 B2 5/2016 Diab et al.
9,351,675 B2 5/2016 Al-Ali et al.
9,364,181 B2 6/2016 Kiani et al.
9,368,671 B2 6/2016 Wojtczuk et al.
9,370,325 B2 6/2016 Al-Ali et al.
9,370,326 B2 6/2016 McHale et al.
9,370,335 B2 6/2016 Al-Ali et al.
9,375,185 B2 6/2016 Al-Ali et al.
9,386,953 B2 7/2016 Al-Ali
9,386,961 B2 7/2016 Al-Ali et al.
9,392,945 B2 7/2016 Al-Ali et al.
9,397,448 B2 7/2016 Al-Ali et al.
9,408,542 B1 8/2016 Kinast et al.
9,436,645 B2 9/2016 Al-Ali et al.
9,445,759 B1 9/2016 Lamego et al.
9,466,919 B2 10/2016 Kiani et al.
9,474,474 B2 10/2016 Lamego et al.
9,480,422 B2 11/2016 Al-Ali
9,480,435 B2 11/2016 Olsen
9,492,110 B2 11/2016 Al-Ali et al.
9,510,779 B2 12/2016 Poeze et al.
9,517,024 B2 12/2016 Kiani et al.
9,532,722 B2 1/2017 Lamego et al.
9,538,949 B2 1/2017 Al-Ali et al.
9,538,980 B2 1/2017 Telfort et al.
9,549,696 B2 1/2017 Lamego et al.
9,554,737 B2 1/2017 Schurman et al.
9,560,996 B2 2/2017 Kiani
9,560,998 B2 2/2017 Al-Ali et al.
9,566,019 B2 2/2017 Al-Ali et al.
9,579,039 B2 2/2017 Jansen et al.
9,591,975 B2 3/2017 Dalvi et al.
9,622,692 B2 4/2017 Lamego et al.
9,622,693 B2 4/2017 Diab
D788,312 S 5/2017 Al-Ali et al.
9,636,055 B2 5/2017 Al-Ali et al.
9,636,056 B2 5/2017 Al-Ali
9,649,054 B2 5/2017 Lamego et al.
9,662,052 B2 5/2017 Al-Ali et al.
9,668,679 B2 6/2017 Schurman et al.
9,668,680 B2 6/2017 Bruinsma et al.
9,668,703 B2 6/2017 Al-Ali
9,675,286 B2 6/2017 Diab
9,687,160 B2 6/2017 Kiani
9,693,719 B2 7/2017 Al-Ali et al.
9,693,737 B2 7/2017 Al-Ali
9,697,928 B2 7/2017 Al-Ali et al.
9,717,425 B2 8/2017 Kiani et al.
9,717,458 B2 8/2017 Lamego et al.
9,724,016 B1 8/2017 Al-Ali et al.
9,724,024 B2 8/2017 Al-Ali
9,724,025 B1 8/2017 Kiani et al.
9,743,887 B2 8/2017 Al-Ali et al.
9,749,232 B2 8/2017 Sampath et al.
9,750,442 B2 9/2017 Olsen
9,750,461 B1 9/2017 Telfort
2004/0034293 A1 2/2004 Kimball
2004/0097797 A1 5/2004 Porges et al.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2005/0165316 A1 7/2005 Lowery et al.
2006/0161054 A1 7/2006 Reuss et al.
2007/0282478 A1 12/2007 Al-Ali et al.
2008/0009754 A1 1/2008 Chang
2008/0071155 A1* 3/2008 Kiani A61B 5/14551 600/324
2008/0221464 A1 9/2008 Al-Ali
2009/0062664 A1 3/2009 Chang et al.
2009/0247984 A1 10/2009 Lamego et al.
2009/0275813 A1 11/2009 Davis
2009/0275844 A1 11/2009 Al-Ali
2010/0004518 A1 1/2010 Vo et al.
2010/0030040 A1 2/2010 Poeze et al.
2011/0001605 A1 1/2011 Kiani et al.
2011/0082711 A1 4/2011 Poeze et al.
2011/0087083 A1 4/2011 Poeze et al.
2011/0105854 A1 5/2011 Kiani et al.
2011/0125060 A1 5/2011 Telfort et al.
2011/0208015 A1 8/2011 Welch et al.
2011/0213212 A1 9/2011 Al-Ali
2011/0230733 A1 9/2011 Al-Ali
2011/0237911 A1 9/2011 Lamego et al.
2011/0237969 A1 9/2011 Eckerbom et al.
2011/0288383 A1 11/2011 Diab
2011/0301444 A1 12/2011 Al-Ali
2012/0041316 A1 2/2012 Al-Ali et al.
2012/0046557 A1 2/2012 Kiani
2012/0059267 A1 3/2012 Lamego et al.
2012/0088984 A1 4/2012 Al-Ali et al.
2012/0165629 A1 6/2012 Merritt et al.
2012/0179006 A1 7/2012 Jansen et al.
2012/0209082 A1 8/2012 Al-Ali
2012/0209084 A1 8/2012 Olsen et al.
2012/0227739 A1 9/2012 Kiani
2012/0283524 A1 11/2012 Kiani et al.
2012/0296178 A1 11/2012 Lamego et al.
2012/0319816 A1 12/2012 Al-Ali
2012/0330112 A1 12/2012 Lamego et al.
2013/0018241 A1* 1/2013 Bezzerides A61B 5/14552 600/324
2013/0023775 A1 1/2013 Lamego et al.
2013/0041591 A1 2/2013 Lamego
2013/0045685 A1 2/2013 Kiani
2013/0046204 A1 2/2013 Lamego et al.
2013/0060147 A1 3/2013 Welch et al.
2013/0096405 A1 4/2013 Garfio
2013/0096936 A1 4/2013 Sampath et al.
2013/0197328 A1 8/2013 Diab et al.
2013/0211214 A1 8/2013 Olsen
2013/0243021 A1 9/2013 Siskavich
2013/0253334 A1 9/2013 Al-Ali et al.
2013/0262730 A1 10/2013 Al-Ali et al.
2013/0296672 A1 11/2013 O'Neil et al.
2013/0296713 A1 11/2013 Al-Ali et al.
2013/0317370 A1 11/2013 Dalvi et al.
2013/0324808 A1 12/2013 Al-Ali et al.
2013/0331660 A1 12/2013 Al-Ali et al.
2013/0331670 A1 12/2013 Kiani
2013/0338461 A1 12/2013 Lamego et al.
2014/0012100 A1 1/2014 Al-Ali et al.
2014/0034353 A1 2/2014 Al-Ali et al.
2014/0051953 A1 2/2014 Lamego et al.
2014/0058230 A1 2/2014 Abdul-Hafiz et al.
2014/0066783 A1 3/2014 Kiani et al.
2014/0077956 A1 3/2014 Sampath et al.
2014/0081100 A1 3/2014 Muhsin et al.
2014/0081175 A1 3/2014 Telfort
2014/0094667 A1 4/2014 Schurman et al.
2014/0100434 A1 4/2014 Diab et al.
2014/0114199 A1 4/2014 Lamego et al.
2014/0120564 A1 5/2014 Workman et al.
2014/0121482 A1 5/2014 Merritt et al.
2014/0121483 A1 5/2014 Kiani
2014/0127137 A1 5/2014 Bellott et al.
2014/0129702 A1 5/2014 Lamego et al.
2014/0135588 A1 5/2014 Al-Ali et al.
2014/0142401 A1 5/2014 Al-Ali et al.
2014/0163344 A1 6/2014 Al-Ali
2014/0163402 A1 6/2014 Lamego et al.
2014/0166076 A1 6/2014 Kiani et al.
2014/0171763 A1 6/2014 Diab
2014/0180038 A1 6/2014 Kiani
2014/0180154 A1 6/2014 Sierra et al.
2014/0180160 A1 6/2014 Brown et al.
2014/0187973 A1 7/2014 Brown et al.
2014/0194709 A1 7/2014 Al-Ali et al.
2014/0194711 A1 7/2014 Al-Ali
2014/0194766 A1 7/2014 Al-Ali et al.
2014/0206963 A1 7/2014 Al-Ali
2014/0213864 A1 7/2014 Abdul-Hafiz et al.
2014/0243627 A1 8/2014 Diab et al.
2014/0266790 A1 9/2014 Al-Ali et al.
2014/0275808 A1 9/2014 Poeze et al.
2014/0275835 A1 9/2014 Lamego et al.
2014/0275871 A1 9/2014 Lamego et al.
2014/0275872 A1 9/2014 Merritt et al.
2014/0275881 A1 9/2014 Lamego et al.
2014/0276115 A1 9/2014 Dalvi et al.
2014/0288400 A1 9/2014 Diab et al.
2014/0303520 A1 10/2014 Telfort et al.
2014/0316217 A1 10/2014 Purdon et al.
2014/0316218 A1 10/2014 Purdon et al.
2014/0316228 A1 10/2014 Blank et al.
2014/0323825 A1 10/2014 Al-Ali et al.
2014/0323897 A1 10/2014 Brown et al.
2014/0323898 A1 10/2014 Purdon et al.
2014/0330092 A1 11/2014 Al-Ali et al.
2014/0330098 A1 11/2014 Merritt et al.
2014/0330099 A1 11/2014 Al-Ali et al.
2014/0333440 A1 11/2014 Kiani
2014/0336481 A1 11/2014 Shakespeare et al.
2014/0343436 A1 11/2014 Kiani
2014/0357966 A1 12/2014 Al-Ali et al.
2014/0371548 A1 12/2014 Al-Ali et al.
2014/0371632 A1 12/2014 Al-Ali et al.
2014/0378784 A1 12/2014 Kiani et al.
2015/0005600 A1 1/2015 Blank et al.
2015/0011907 A1 1/2015 Purdon et al.
2015/0012231 A1 1/2015 Poeze et al.
2015/0018650 A1 1/2015 Al-Ali et al.
2015/0025406 A1 1/2015 Al-Ali
2015/0032029 A1 1/2015 Al-Ali et al.
2015/0038859 A1 2/2015 Dalvi et al.
2015/0045637 A1 2/2015 Dalvi
2015/0051462 A1 2/2015 Olsen
2015/0080754 A1 3/2015 Purdon et al.
2015/0087936 A1 3/2015 Al-Ali et al.
2015/0094546 A1 4/2015 Al-Ali
2015/0097701 A1 4/2015 Al-Ali et al.
2015/0099950 A1 4/2015 Al-Ali et al.
2015/0099951 A1 4/2015 Al-Ali et al.
2015/0099955 A1 4/2015 Al-Ali et al.
2015/0101844 A1 4/2015 Al-Ali et al.
2015/0106121 A1 4/2015 Muhsin et al.
2015/0112151 A1 4/2015 Muhsin et al.
2015/0116076 A1 4/2015 Al-Ali et al.
2015/0126830 A1 5/2015 Schurman et al.
2015/0133755 A1 5/2015 Smith et al.
2015/0140863 A1 5/2015 Al-Ali et al.
2015/0141781 A1 5/2015 Weber et al.
2015/0165312 A1 6/2015 Kiani
2015/0196237 A1 7/2015 Lamego
2015/0201874 A1 7/2015 Diab
2015/0208966 A1 7/2015 Al-Ali
2015/0216459 A1 8/2015 Al-Ali et al.
2015/0230755 A1 8/2015 Al-Ali et al.
2015/0238722 A1 8/2015 Al-Ali
2015/0245773 A1 9/2015 Lamego et al.
2015/0245794 A1 9/2015 Al-Ali
2015/0257689 A1 9/2015 Al-Ali et al.
2015/0272514 A1 10/2015 Kiani et al.
2015/0351697 A1 12/2015 Weber et al.
2015/0351704 A1 12/2015 Kiani et al.
2015/0359429 A1 12/2015 Al-Ali et al.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2015/0366472 A1 12/2015 Kiani
2015/0366507 A1 12/2015 Blank
2015/0374298 A1 12/2015 Al-Ali et al.
2015/0380875 A1 12/2015 Coverston et al.
2016/0000362 A1 1/2016 Diab et al.
2016/0007930 A1 1/2016 Weber et al.
2016/0029932 A1 2/2016 Al-Ali
2016/0029933 A1 2/2016 Al-Ali et al.
2016/0045118 A1 2/2016 Kiani
2016/0051205 A1 2/2016 Al-Ali et al.
2016/0058338 A1 3/2016 Schurman et al.
2016/0058347 A1 3/2016 Reichgott et al.
2016/0066823 A1 3/2016 Kind et al.
2016/0066824 A1 3/2016 Al-Ali et al.
2016/0066879 A1 3/2016 Telfort et al.
2016/0072429 A1 3/2016 Kiani et al.
2016/0073967 A1 3/2016 Lamego et al.
2016/0081552 A1 3/2016 Wojtczuk et al.
2016/0095543 A1 4/2016 Telfort et al.
2016/0095548 A1 4/2016 Al-Ali et al.
2016/0103598 A1 4/2016 Al-Ali et al.
2016/0113527 A1 4/2016 Al-Ali et al.
2016/0143548 A1 5/2016 Al-Ali
2016/0166182 A1 6/2016 Al-Ali et al.
2016/0166183 A1 6/2016 Poeze et al.
2016/0166188 A1 6/2016 Bruinsma et al.
2016/0166210 A1 6/2016 Al-Ali
2016/0192869 A1 7/2016 Kiani et al.
2016/0196388 A1 7/2016 Lamego
2016/0197436 A1 7/2016 Barker et al.
2016/0213281 A1 7/2016 Eckerbom et al.
2016/0228043 A1 8/2016 O'Neil et al.
2016/0233632 A1 8/2016 Scruggs et al.
2016/0234944 A1 8/2016 Schmidt et al.
2016/0267804 A1 9/2016 Pemba et al.
2016/0270735 A1 9/2016 Diab et al.
2016/0274572 A1 9/2016 Littrell et al.
2016/0283665 A1 9/2016 Sampath et al.
2016/0287090 A1 10/2016 Al-Ali et al.
2016/0287786 A1 10/2016 Kiani
2016/0296169 A1 10/2016 McHale et al.
2016/0310052 A1 10/2016 Al-Ali et al.
2016/0314260 A1 10/2016 Kiani
2016/0324486 A1 11/2016 Al-Ali et al.
2016/0324488 A1 11/2016 Olsen
2016/0327984 A1 11/2016 Al-Ali et al.
2016/0328528 A1 11/2016 Al-Ali et al.
2016/0331332 A1 11/2016 Al-Ali
2016/0367173 A1 12/2016 Dalvi et al.
2017/0000394 A1 1/2017 Al-Ali et al.
2017/0007190 A1 1/2017 Al-Ali et al.
2017/0007198 A1 1/2017 Al-Ali et al.
2017/0014083 A1 1/2017 Diab et al.
2017/0014084 A1 1/2017 Al-Ali et al.
2017/0021099 A1 1/2017 Al-Ali et al.
2017/0027456 A1 2/2017 Kinast et al.
2017/0042488 A1 2/2017 Muhsin
2017/0055847 A1 3/2017 Kiani et al.
2017/0055851 A1 3/2017 Al-Ali
2017/0055882 A1 3/2017 Al-Ali et al.
2017/0055887 A1 3/2017 Al-Ali
2017/0055896 A1 3/2017 Al-Ali et al.
2017/0079594 A1 3/2017 Telfort et al.
2017/0086723 A1 3/2017 Al-Ali et al.
2017/0143281 A1 5/2017 Olsen
2017/0147774 A1 5/2017 Kiani
2017/0156620 A1 6/2017 Al-Ali et al.
2017/0173632 A1 6/2017 Al-Ali
2017/0187146 A1 6/2017 Kiani et al.
2017/0188919 A1 7/2017 Al-Ali et al.
2017/0196464 A1 7/2017 Jansen et al.
2017/0196470 A1 7/2017 Lamego et al.
2017/0202490 A1 7/2017 Al-Ali et al.
2017/0224216 A1 8/2017 Al-Ali
2017/0224231 A1 8/2017 Al-Ali
2017/0224233 A1 8/2017 Al-Ali
2017/0224262 A1 8/2017 Al-Ali
2017/0231537 A1 8/2017 Al-Ali
2017/0245790 A1 8/2017 Al-Ali et al.
2017/0251974 A1 9/2017 Shreim et al.
2017/0251975 A1 9/2017 Shreim et al.
2017/0258403 A1 9/2017 Abdul-Hafiz et al.
2017/0340293 A1 11/2017 Al-Ali et al.
2017/0360310 A1 12/2017 Kiani et al.

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

U.S. Appl. No. 13/907,638, Congenital Heart Disease Monitor, filed May 31, 2013.

Granelli A.D., Mellander et al., "Screening for duct-dependent congenital heart disease with pulse oximetry: A critical evaluation of strategies to maximize sensitivity", Acta Paediatrica, 2005; 94:1590-1596, http://ww.masimo.com/pdf/Granelli_Article.pdf., 1 page downloaded and printed from the World Wide Web.

Koppel, Robert I et al., "Effective of Pulse Oximetry Screening for Congenital Heart Disease in Asymptomatic Newborns", Pediatrics, 2003, vol. 111, No. 3, 451-455.

Hoke et al., "Oxygen saturation as a screening test for critical congenital heart disease: a preliminary study", Pediatr Cardiol 23:403-409, 2002.

PCT International Search Report and Written Opinion, dated Apr. 17, 2013, re: PCT Application No. PCT/US2013/020377, application dated Jan. 4, 2013, in 15 pages.

PCT Preliminary Report of Patentability, dated Jul. 8, 2014, for application No. PCT/US2013/020377 in 8 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 15/279,134, Automated CCHD Screening and Detection, filed Sep. 28, 2016.

U.S. Appl. No. 15/681,256, Automated Condition Screening and Detection, filed Aug. 18, 2017.

U.S. Appl. No. 15/634,502, Congenital Heart Disease Monitor, filed Jun. 27, 2017.

"Newborn Screening for CCHD, Answers and Resources for Primary Care Pediatricians", American Academy of Pediatrics, http://www.aap.org/en-us/advocacy-and-policy/aap-health-initiatives/, printed on Jun. 6, 2017, in 8 pages.

"Tools and Technology to Start Your CCHD Screening Program", Masimo Corporation, 2010-2011, in 16 pages.

"User Interface Elements", http://www.usabilit.gov/how-to-and-tools/methods/user-interface-elements.html, printed on Jun. 19, 2017, in 7 pages.

* cited by examiner



FIG. 1



FIG. 2
(PRIOR ART)


300
302
304
ATTACH SENSOR TO RIGHT HAND
25 PVI
15.2 SpHb
5.2 PI
1.5 % SpMet

FIG. 3A


PULSE OXIMETER 300
SENSOR
304
322
FRONT-END INTERFACE
324
SIGNAL PROCESSOR
326
MEMORY
328
330
USER INTERFACE PROCESSOR
STORAGE
334
NETWORK INTERFACE
336
DISPLAY
302

FIG. 3B


GATHER SENSOR DATA AT SITE ONE
362
GATHER SENSOR DATA AT SITE TWO
364
PROCESS GATHERED DATA
366
COMMUNICATE WITH REMOTE DATA PROCESSING CENTER
368
REPORT RESULT(S)
370

FIG. 3C



FIG. 4


REMOTE DATA PROCESSING CENTER
504
NETWORK
506
PATIENT MONITOR
502
IN HOSPITAL

FIG. 5


600
newborn screening for CCHD
1
R
2
R
3
R
FIRST SCREEN
SECOND SCREEN
THIRD SCREEN
602
604
606

FIG. 6


700
spot check settings
702
SpO2 delta
3%
704
low SpO2 threshold
95%
706
all mute
ON
708
low PI threshold
0.7

FIG. 7


800
801
positive result: SpO2 less than 95
804
810
816
first screen
pre-ductal
post-ductal
delta SpO2
802
05.13.2012 05:42 am
94% SpO2
1.8 PI
93% SpO2
1.7 PI
1 % SpO2
818
808
806
814
812
perform second screen in one hour
820

FIG. 8


900
step 3: spot check results
904
910
916
First check
pre-ductal
post-ductal
delta SpO2
902
05.13.2012 05:42 am
96% SpO2
1.8 PI
97% SpO2
1.7 PI
1 SpO2
918
908
906
914
912
Positive CCHD Screening
Perform second screen in one hour
901
920

FIG. 9



FIG. 10A



FIG. 10B



FIG. 11A



FIG. 11B

FIG. 12A



FIG. 12B



FIG. 12C



FIG. 13A



FIG. 13B



FIG. 13C



FIG. 14A

Step 5: CCHD Screen Results: Pass



FIG. 14B

Step 5: CCHD Screen Results: Conditional Fail (1)



FIG. 14C

Step 5: CCHD Screen Results: Conditional Fail (2)



FIG. 14D

Step 5: CCHD Screen Results: Fail (3)




1500
1510
1512
1514
1502
1504
PreSat
PostSat
$SpO_2 \Delta$
Time(s)
100
99
98
97
96
95
94
93
92
91
90
0
20
40
60

FIG. 15


1600
2
1.8
1.6
1.4
1.2
1
0.8
0.6
0.4
0.2
0
0
20
40
60
Time(s)
1605
PrePI
PreConf
1610

FIG. 16

AUTOMATED CCHD SCREENING AND DETECTION

PRIORITY CLAIM AND RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a divisional of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/733,782, filed Jan. 3, 2013, and titled "AUTOMATED CCHD SCREENING AND DETECTION", which application claims a priority benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 119 to the following U.S. Provisional Patent Applications:

Serial No.	Date	Title
61/583,143,	Jan. 4, 2012,	SYSTEMS AND METHODS AUTOMATING CCHD SCREENING AND DETECTION,
61/703,132,	Sep. 19, 2012,	SYSTEMS AND METHODS AUTOMATING CCHD SCREENING AND DETECTION.

Each of the foregoing disclosures is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.

FIELD OF THE DISCLOSURE

The present application relates to the field of pulse oximetry. Specifically, the present application relates to the field of infant oxygen saturation monitoring and congenital heart defects screening.

BACKGROUND OF THE DISCLOSURE

Pulse oximetry screening can identify some critical congenital heart defects ("CCHDs"), which also are known collectively in some instances as critical congenital heart disease. CCHDs are structural heart defects that often are associated with hypoxia among infants during the newborn period. Infants with CCHDs are at risk for significant morbidity or mortality. There are several defects that could be considered CCHDs. However, in the context of newborn pulse oximetry screening at the time of preparation of the present application, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention ("CDC") for the U.S. government classify seven (7) defects as CCHD: hypoplastic left heart syndrome, pulmonary atresia (with intact septum), tetralogy of Fallot, total anomalous pulmonary venous return, transposition of the great arteries, tricuspid atresia, and truncus arteriosus. According to the CDC, these seven CCHDs represent about seventeen to about thirty one percent (17-31%) of all congenital heart disease.

Patent ductus arteriosus ("PDA") is common in infants with several or more of the above seven (7) defects. In the developing fetus, the ductus arteriosus ("DA") **102** shown in FIG. **1** is the vascular connection between the pulmonary artery **106** and the aortic arch **104** that allows most of the blood from the right ventricle **110** to bypass the fetus' fluid-filled compressed lungs. During fetal development, this shunt protects the right ventricle **110** from pumping against the high resistance in the lungs **108**, which can lead to right ventricular failure if the DA **102** closes in-utero.

When the newborn takes its first breath, the lungs open and pulmonary vascular resistance decreases. In normal newborns, the DA is substantially closed within twelve to twenty four (12-24) hours after birth, and is completely sealed after three (3) weeks.

In the case of PDA, high pressure oxygenated blood from the aorta **104** leaks or flows back into the pulmonary artery **112** and back to the lungs **108** with normal deoxygenated venous blood. The additional fluid returning to the lungs increases lung pressure to the point that the infant may have greater difficulty inflating the lungs. This uses more calories than normal and often interferes with feeding in infancy. Moreover, an open (patent) DA **102** alters the flow in the descending aorta **118**, which, as a result, changes the blood oxygen saturation in the feet.

Without screening, some newborns with CCHDs might be missed because the signs of CCHD might not be evident before an infant is discharged from the hospital after birth. Other heart defects might be considered secondary screening targets. Some of these heart defects can be just as severe as the primary screening targets and also require intervention soon after birth. These secondary targets include aortic arch atresia or hypoplasia, interrupted aortic arch, coarctation of the aorta, double-outlet right ventricle, Ebstein anomaly, pulmonary stenosis, atrioventricular septal defect, ventricular septal defect, and single ventricle defects (other than hypoplastic left heart syndrome and tricuspid atresia).

Current CDC recommendations focus on screening infants in the well-baby nursery and in intermediate care nurseries or other units in which discharge from the hospital is common during an infant's first week of life. At the time of preparation of the present application, the CDC promulgated a CCHD screening process **200** reproduced as FIG. **2**, directed toward oxygen saturation measurements, or percentages measured using, for example, a standard pulse oximeter.

According to the CDC's CCHD screening process **200** of FIG. **2**, a screen is considered positive (see box **228**) if (1) any oxygen saturation measurement is less than ninety percent (<90%) (in the initial screen or in repeat screens) (see boxes **206**, **214**, and **222**); (2) the oxygen saturation measurement is less than ninety five percent (<95%) in the right hand and foot on three measures (see boxes **208**, **216**, and **224**), each separated by one (1) hour (see boxes **204**, **212**, **220**); or (3) a greater than three percent (>3%) absolute difference exists in oxygen saturation measurements between the right hand and foot on three measures (see boxes **208**, **216**, and **224**), each separated by one (1) hour. Any screening that is greater than or equal to ninety five percent (≥95%) in the right hand or foot with a less than or equal to three percent (≤3%) absolute difference in oxygen saturation measurements between the right hand and foot is considered a negative screen and screening would end (see boxes **210**, **218**, **226**, and **230**).

The CDC recommends any infant receiving a positive screen receive a diagnostic echocardiogram, which would involve an echocardiogram within the hospital or birthing center, transport to another institution for the procedure, or use of telemedicine for remote evaluation. This can be expensive, disruptive, and possibly harmful to the infant. For example, at the time of preparation of the present application, an echocardiogram to verify an out-of-range (positive) screen could cost several hundred dollars.

Thus, false positives are to be avoided. The CDC believes that false positives are decreased if the infant is alert, and timing pulse oximetry screening around the time of newborn hearing screening improves efficiency.

Pulse oximetry screening may not detect all CCHDs, so it is possible for a baby with a negative screening result to still have a CCHD or other congenital heart defect.

SUMMARY OF THE DISCLOSURE

The CCHD screening process of FIG. **2** incorporates multiple measurement sites, such as, for example, a baseline site of the right hand and a secondary site of the feet or left

hand. In some cases, a stereo pulse oximeter, such as the one disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 6,334,065 (the '065 Patent), incorporated by reference herein, could use two (2) or more of its associated sensors, one for each of multiple sites to accomplish the measurements. In fact, the '065 Patent discusses the use of its sensor for multiple sites to determine indications of PDA, Persistent Pulmonary Hypertension in Neonates ("PPHN"), and Aortic Coarctation. However, very few if any institutions possess stereo pulse oximeters.

Rather, in most if not all circumstances, the CCHD screening process of FIG. **2** will be implemented on a single site pulse oximeter. The caregiver will apply the sensor to the first site, such as the baseline right hand, and take measurements. The caregiver will then remove the sensor from the first site, and transfer it to the second site, such as a foot, left hand, etc. and take measurements. As shown in FIG. **2**, the CCHD screening process relies on the delta (or difference) between the two measurements.

Drawbacks may occur using the single sensor implementation. For example, there will be a time differential between the first baseline measurement and the second alternate site measurement when the caregiver changes sites. In infants, the parameters measureable with today's oximeters, including but not limited to oxygen saturation ("SpO_2"), vary within relatively short periods. This is exacerbated when infants are exited, crying, or otherwise agitated.

In the most straightforward scenario where the SpO_2 measurements are somewhat varying, the single sensor implementation of CCHD screening may determine, for example, an infant's SpO_2 during a valley or trough of varying SpO_2 values for the baseline measurement, and quite accidentally during a peak of varying SpO_2 values for the alternate measurement. Such time displaced measurements could appear anywhere on an infant's SpO_2 waveform. Thus, the differential between the baseline measurement and the alternate measurement, which is the key to determining positive or negative screenings under the CCHD screening process, could be subject to error. This is exacerbated as the CCHD screening process may require three (3) or more screenings before rendering a conclusion. Thus, a screening process may include measurements taken under different data conditions at each screen, and then again across the screens.

To overcome these and other drawbacks, the present disclosure includes systems and methods automating CCHD screening and detection. In an embodiment, a processor executes one or more processing modules to improve a likelihood that during a single sensor implementation of CCHD screening, the measurement values while time displaced, correspond to data conditions similar to one another. In addition, the processing module may determine the best sites for measurements.

In an embodiment, an oximeter or communicating monitor controls and tracks the implementation of the screening process, including instructions to caregivers on next steps. For example, a straightforward instruction may include "Attach Sensor to Right Hand," "Attach Sensor to Alternate Site," "Attach Sensor to Right Foot," "Attach Sensor to Left Foot," "Calm Patient," "Adjust Sensor Positioning," or the like. The oximeter may also include a quality indicator providing information on the confidence in the screening measurements. A quality measure may be included for each measurement, for the entire screen, or the like. For example, the display may indicate "Positive Screen, 72% Confidence." In an embodiment, a minimum confidence threshold may be used to instruct a caregiver to repeat the measurements and/or restart the screening process. Moreover, the oximeter may produce an audio/visual alarm indicating time for a repeat screen, may accept patient information including a patient identifier, and the like.

In other embodiments, the oximeter may communicate with a host digital network or system to store or upload measurement data associated with a unique identifier to a remote processing center. That network or system may include multiple networks or systems. However, the oximeter may access previously stored information, such as, for example, earlier screening data stored at the remote network, to complete or increment the CCHD screening process. In an embodiment, a first network may be an institutional network such as a hospital data system, a cellular or other data system, or the like, wirelessly communicating with the oximeter or monitor. The system or systems eventually allow communication to a remote data server or processing center that stores the measurement information in a manner that provides for retrieval and appropriate association with newly acquired data.

For purposes of summarizing the disclosure, certain aspects, advantages and novel features thereof have been described herein. Of course, it is to be understood that not necessarily all such aspects, advantages or features will be embodied in any particular embodiment of the invention.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The following drawings and the associated descriptions are provided to illustrate embodiments of the present disclosure and do not limit the scope of the claims.

FIG. **1** illustrates a diagram of a portion of a circulatory system including a heart having a patent or open ductus arteriosus.

FIG. **2** illustrates an embodiment of a CCHD screening process provided by the CDC.

FIG. **3**A illustrates a simplified view of a pulse oximeter configured to perform a CCHD screening process, according to an embodiment of the disclosure.

FIG. **3**B illustrates a simplified block diagram of a pulse oximeter configured to perform a CCHD screening process, according to an embodiment of the disclosure.

FIG. **3**C illustrates an example CCHD screening process according to an embodiment of the present disclosure.

FIG. **4** illustrates a simplified perspective view and block diagram of a pulse oximeter configured to perform a CCHD screen process including dual sensors, according to an embodiment of present disclosure.

FIG. **5** illustrates a data diagram of a data sharing system, according to an embodiment of present disclosure.

FIG. **6** illustrates an example screen shot of a pulse oximeter monitor configured for measuring CCHD, according to an embodiment of the present disclosure.

FIG. **7** illustrates an example screen shot, including spot check settings, of a pulse oximeter monitor configured for measuring CCHD, according to an embodiment of the present disclosure.

FIGS. **8-9** illustrate example screen shots, including test results, of a pulse oximeter monitor configured for measuring CCHD, according to an embodiment of the present disclosure.

FIGS. **10**A-B illustrate example screen shots, including alternative screening settings, of a pulse oximeter monitor configured for measuring CCHD, according to an embodiment of the present disclosure.

FIGS. **11**A-B illustrate example screen shots, including additional settings, of a pulse oximeter monitor configured for measuring CCHD, according to an embodiment of the present disclosure.

FIGS. **12**A-C, **13**A-C, and **14**A-D illustrate example screen shots, including instructions for single sensor operation, of a pulse oximeter monitor configured for measuring CCHD, according to an embodiment of the present disclosure.

FIG. **15** illustrates an example plot of pre- and post-ductal SpO_2 measurements in a CCHD screen process, according to an embodiment of the present disclosure.

FIG. **16** illustrates an example plot of pre-ductal perfusion index and confidence measurement in a CCHD screen process, according to an embodiment of the present disclosure.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The present disclosure generally relates to systems and methods automating critical congenital heart defects ("CCHDs") screening and detection. In an embodiment, the CCHD screening process may be implemented on a single site pulse oximeter. A caregiver will apply the pulse oximeter sensor to the first site, such as the right hand, and take baseline measurements including, for example, blood oxygen saturation ("SpO_2"). The caregiver will then remove the pulse oximeter sensor from the first site, and transfer it to the second site, such as, for example, a foot or left hand, and take measurements. As shown in FIG. **2**, and as described above, the CCHD screening process relies on the delta (or difference) between the two measurements. Then, a processor executes one or more processing modules to improve a likelihood that during a single sensor implementation of CCHD screening, the measurement values, while time displaced, correspond to data conditions similar to one another. In addition, the processing module may determine the best sites for measurements.

As used herein, the terms pulse oximeter, CCHD screening system, CCHD measurement device, and CCHD monitor may be used interchangeably.

FIG. **1** illustrates an infant heart **100** having patent ductus arteriosus ("PDA"), and lungs **108**. The infant heart **100** includes a ductus arteriosus **102**, an aorta **104**, a pulmonary artery **106**, a right ventricle **110**, a main pulmonary artery **112**, a left ventricle **114**, an innominate artery **116**, a descending aorta **118**, and a subclavian artery **120**. The ductus arteriosus **102** frequently fails to close in premature infants, allowing left-to-right shunting, i.e. oxygenated "red" blood flows from the aorta **104** to the now unconstricted pulmonary artery **106** and recirculates through the lungs **108**. A persistent patent results in pulmonary hyperperfusion and an enlarged right ventricle **110**, which leads to a variety of abnormal respiratory, cardiac and genitourinary symptoms. Current PDA diagnosis involves physical examination, chest x-ray, blood gas analysis, echocardiogram, or a combination of the above. For example, large PDAs may be associated with a soft, long, low-frequency murmur detectable with a stethoscope. As another example, two-dimensional, color Doppler echocardiography may show a retrograde flow from the ductus arteriosus **102** into the main pulmonary artery **112**. Once a problematic PDA is detected, closure can be effected medically with indomethacin or ibuprofen or surgically by ligation. Multiple doses of indomethacin are commonplace but can still result in patency, demanding ligation. A drawback to current diagnostic techniques is that clinical symptoms of a PDA can vary on an hourly basis, requiring extended and inherently intermittent testing.

In a single sensor implementation of noninvasive PDA determination or CCHD screen (as described below in referenced to FIGS. **3**A-C), a sensor, such as a blood oxygenation sensor, may be placed on the right hand to determine a baseline of physiological data. For example, a pulse oximetry sensor at the right hand provides physiological data signals indicative of arterial oxygen saturation and a plethysmograph for blood circulating from the left ventricle **114** through the innominate artery **116**, which supplies the right subclavian artery leading to the right arm. Because the innominate artery **116** is upstream from the PDA shunt at the ductus arteriosus **102**, the oxygen saturation value and plethysmograph waveform obtained from the right hand are relatively unaffected by the shunt and serve as a baseline for comparison with readings from other tissue sites.

A sensor may then be placed on a foot to provide oxygen status for blood supplied from the descending aorta **118**. The shunt at the ductus arteriosus **102** affects aortic flow. In particular, the shunt allows a transitory left-to-right flow during systole from the high pressure aorta **104** to the low pressure pulmonary artery **106** circulation. This left-to-right flow through the shunt at the ductus arteriosus **102** alters the flow in the descending aorta **118** and, as a result, affects the oxygen saturation value and plethysmograph waveform measured at the foot. The PDA condition, therefore, may be manifested as a normal plethysmograph with a characteristically narrow peak and well-defined dicrotic notch at the right-hand baseline site compared with a damped plethysmograph with a broadened peak and reduced or missing notch at the foot site. Further, the foot site waveform may be phase shifted from the baseline waveform. These plethysmograph differences are accompanied by comparable differences in arterial oxygen saturation values between the right-hand site and the foot site.

As an alternative, the sensor may be placed on the left hand to provide oxygen status for blood circulating from the left ventricle through the left subclavian artery **120** that supplies the left arm. Because the left subclavian artery **120** is nearer the shunt at the ductus arteriosus **102** than the further upstream innominate artery **116**, it may experience some alteration in flow due to the shunt at the ductus arteriosus **102**. The PDA condition, therefore, may also be manifested as an altered plethysmograph waveform at a left hand site as compared with the right hand baseline site, although likely to a lesser degree than with a foot site. Thus, the PDA condition, and thus a CCHD condition, can be detected and its treatment monitored from a delta in saturation (i.e., difference in SpO_2) values and plethysmograph morphology and phase comparisons between a right hand baseline sensor site and one or more other sites, such as the left hand or foot. One of ordinary skill will recognize that multiple site comparisons using an oximeter may also be used to detect other cardiac abnormalities that cause mixing of oxygenated and deoxygenated blood, such as a ventricular hole or a patent foramen. Further, abnormal mixing of oxygenated and deoxygenated blood may also be manifested in physiological data measurements other than oxygen saturation provided by an advanced patient monitor or pulse oximeter.

FIG. **3**A illustrates a simplified view of a pulse oximeter configured to perform a CCHD screening process with a single sensor (as described above), according to an embodiment of the disclosure. FIG. **3**A includes a pulse oximeter **300**, a display **302**, and a sensor **304**. In an embodiment, the

sensor **304** may be noninvasively attached to the patient's finger. The sensor **304** may measure various blood analytes noninvasively using multi-stream spectroscopy. In an embodiment, the multi-stream spectroscopy may employ visible, infrared and near infrared wavelengths. The sensor **304** may be capable of noninvasively measuring blood analytes or percentages thereof (e.g., saturation) based on various combinations of features and components.

The sensor **304** may include photocommunicative components, such as an emitter, a detector, and other components. The emitter may include a plurality of sets of optical sources that, in an embodiment, are arranged together as a point source. The various optical sources may emit a sequence of optical radiation pulses at different wavelengths towards a measurement site, such as a patient's finger. Detectors may then detect optical radiation from the measurement site. The optical sources and optical radiation detectors may operate at any appropriate wavelength, including infrared, near infrared, visible light, and ultraviolet. In addition, the optical sources and optical radiation detectors may operate at any appropriate wavelength, and such modifications to the embodiments desirable to operate at any such wavelength will be apparent to those skilled in the art. In some embodiments, the sensor **304** may be any of a disposable, reusable, and/or resposable sensor. Generally, for CCHD measurements, a sensor configured for use with an infant is desirable. In some embodiments, this may include a finger, toe, or ear sensor. In an embodiment, the sensor **304** may also be a wrist-type sensor configured to surround the wrist or ankle of an infant.

The sensor **304** is coupled to the pulse oximeter **300** that processes and/or displays the sensor **304**'s output, on, for example, display **302**. The sensor **304** may additionally be coupled to one or more monitors that process and/or display the sensor **304**'s output. As described below in reference to FIG. **3**B, the pulse oximeter **300** may include various components, such as a sensor front end, a signal processor, and/or a display, among other things.

The sensor **304** may be integrated with a monitor (such as the pulse oximeter **300**), for example, into a handheld unit including the sensor **304**, a display and user controls. In other embodiments, the sensor **304** may communicate with one or more processing devices. The communication may be through wire(s), cable(s), flex circuit(s), wireless technologies, or other suitable analog or digital communication methodologies and devices to perform those methodologies. Many of the foregoing arrangements allow the sensor **304** to be attached to the measurement site while the device (such as the pulse oximeter **300**) is attached elsewhere on a patient, such as the patient's arm, or placed at a location near the patient, such as a bed, shelf or table. The sensor **304** and/or pulse oximeter **300** may also provide outputs to a storage device or network interface.

FIG. **3**B illustrates a simplified block diagram of a pulse oximeter configured to perform a CCHD screening process, as described above with reference to FIG. **3**A. FIG. **3**B includes the pulse oximeter **300**, the sensor **304**, and a communications link **322**. The pulse oximeter **300** includes a front-end interface **324**, a signal processor **326**, a user interface processor **330**, the display **302**, a storage **334**, a network interface **336**, and an optional memory **328**. In an embodiment, the signal processor **326** includes processing logic that determines measurements, for example, SpO_2 and/or PI. The signal processor **326** may be implemented using one or more microprocessors or subprocessors (e.g., cores), digital signal processors, application specific integrated circuits (ASICs), field programmable gate arrays (FPGAs), combinations of the same, and the like.

The signal processor **326** may provide various signals through the front-end interface **324** and the communications link **322** that control the operation of the sensor **304**. For example, the signal processor **326** may provide an emitter control signal to the sensor **304**. Additionally, measurement data may be transmitted from the sensor **304** to the signal processor **326**. As also shown, the optional memory **328** may be included in the front-end interface **324** and/or in the signal processor **326**. This optional memory **328** may serve as a buffer or storage location for the front-end interface **324** and/or the signal processor **326**, among other uses.

The user interface processor **330** may provide an output, for example, on the display **302**, for presentation to a user of the pulse oximeter **300**. The user interface processor **330** and/or the display **302** may be implemented as a touch-screen display, an LCD display, an organic LED display, or the like. In addition, the user interface processor **330** and/or display **302** may include a flip screen, a screen that can be moved from one side to another on the pulse oximeter **300**, or may include an ability to reorient its display indicia responsive to user input or device orientation. In alternative embodiments, the pulse oximeter **300** may be provided without the display **302** and may simply provide an output signal to a separate display or system.

The storage **334** and the network interface **336** represent other optional output connections that can be included in the pulse oximeter **300**. The storage **334** may include any computer-readable medium, such as a memory device, hard disk storage, EEPROM, flash drive, or the like. Various software and/or firmware applications can be stored in the storage **334**, which may be executed by the signal processor **326** and/or another processor of the pulse oximeter **300**. The network interface **336** may be a serial bus port (RS-232/RS-485), a Universal Serial Bus (USB) port, an Ethernet port, a wireless interface (e.g., WiFi such as any 802.1x interface, including an internal wireless card), or other suitable communication device(s) that allows the pulse oximeter **300** to communicate and share data with other devices (such as, for example, a remote data processing center as described below in reference to FIG. **5**). The pulse oximeter **300** may also include various other components not shown, such as a microprocessor, graphics processor, and/or controller to output a user interface, to control data communications, to compute data trending, and/or to perform other operations.

Although not shown in the depicted embodiment, the pulse oximeter **300** may include various other components or may be configured in different ways. For example, the sensor **304** may measure additional advanced parameters. As described below, the pulse oximeter **300** may prompt the user to take specific actions through the display **302** to, for example, accomplish the CCHD screening process.

FIG. **3**C illustrates an example CCHD screening process according to an embodiment of the present disclosure. This CCHD screening process may be accomplished with, for example, the pulse oximeter **300** of FIGS. **3**A and **3**B. At box **362**, sensor data is gathered at a first site. For example, at this point the user/caregiver may be instructed to attach the pulse oximeter sensor (for example, the sensor **304**) to the patient's right hand for a pre-ductal measurement. At box **364**, sensor data is gathered at a second site. For example, at this point the user/caregiver may be instructed to attach the pulse oximeter sensor (for example, the sensor **304**) to the patient's foot or left hand for a post-ductal measurement. In each of boxes **362** and **364**, the measurement data may be

transmitted from the sensor **304**, through the communications link **322** and front-end interface **324**, to the signal processor **326**.

At box **366**, the gathered pre- and post-ductal measurement data is processed by, for example, the signal processor **326**. The gathered data is processed to provide both screening results (for example, the pre- and post-ductal SpO_2 measurements and the delta between the two), but also to reduce errors in the measurements. As stated above, drawbacks arise when data from each time-displaced measurement (baseline and alternate, pre- and post-ductal) is not carefully selected. For example, normal infant SpO_2 values may drift up and down more than two percent (2%). If a baby holds its breath during onset of crying, values may drift more than twenty percent (20%) in a very short time. If by chance the baseline was taken at a coincidental peak in normal variation, and the alternate was taken during crying or even at a valley or trough of normal variation, such error may impact a CCHD screening process, which, as shown in FIG. **2**, may change the result based on a three percent (3%) differential between baseline and alternate.

As described above, FIG. **2** depicts the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's ("CDC's") recommended CCHD screening process **200**. A screen is considered positive (see box **228**) if (1) any oxygen saturation measurement is less than ninety percent (<90%) (in the initial screen or in repeat screens) (see boxes **206**, **214**, and **222**); (2) the oxygen saturation measurement is less than ninety five percent (<95%) in the right hand and foot on three measures (see boxes **208**, **216**, and **224**), each separated by one (1) hour (see boxes **204**, **212**, **220**); or (3) a greater than three percent (>3%) absolute difference exists in oxygen saturation measurements between the right hand and foot on three measures (see boxes **208**, **216**, and **224**), each separated by one (1) hour. Any screening that is greater than or equal to ninety five percent (≥95%) in the right hand or foot with a less than or equal to three percent (≤3%) absolute difference in oxygen saturation measurements between the right hand and foot is considered a negative screen and screening would end (see boxes **210**, **218**, **226**, and **230**).

Referring back to FIG. **3**C and box **366**, in an embodiment, a process or processes executing on one or more signal processors (such as signal processor **326**) seek to reduce errors introduced by, for example, the time differential in measurements in the single sensor implementation of CCHD screening processes. In an embodiment, confidence thresholds may indicate when signal quality is sufficiently high to use measurement data. Confidence information may also be used to weight data as it is combined for comparisons. Confidence information may be assigned to windows of data and may be used to reduce an impact of a particular window on a measurement value or adjust the value itself. Such confidence information may be advantageously incorporated into a visual queue, such as a number or a graphic, indicating a confidence in the measurement or screening itself. An embodiment of a confidence measurement is displayed in FIG. **16**. FIG. **16** shows an example plot **1600** of a pre-ductal PI graph **1605** and a pre-ductal confidence graph **1610**, each versus time (in seconds). The pre-ductal PI graph **1605** will be described below. Pre-ductal confidence is indicated by pre-ductal confidence graph **1610**, which varies over time based on, for example, signal quality from the sensor **304** and/or PI measurements as shown in the pre-ductal PI graph **1605**. In an embodiment, a sufficiently low confidence level (and/or threshold) results in a measurement error, and no result is reported to the user/caregiver. For example, a confidence threshold may be (on a scale of 0 to 1) 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.85, 0.9, 0.95, 0.96, 0.97, 0.98, 0.99, and/or 1, among other possibilities.

Referring back to box **366** in FIG. **3**C, additional processing may be accomplished to ensure the measured data is reliable, and/or the user/caregiver may be notified of possible problems with the gathered data. Alternatively, the gathered data may be processed after it is gathered. For example, the sensor data at site one may be gathered and processed, followed by the gathering and processing of the sensor data of site two.

In an embodiment, indications of motion may advantageously cause an audio/visual message to be presented to a caregiver to calm the patient before measurements can be used. In an embodiment, a minimum wait time may ensure that actual stabilization of the data occurs, such as for example, about ten (10) to twenty (20) seconds or more may need to pass after an indication of cessation of motion in the patient.

In an embodiment, the features of the plethsmographic data or of the oxygen saturation data values can be analyzed to determine when to use measurement data. In the case of the plethsmographic data, determination of how well the waveform fits a model may guide confidence measurements or indicate signal noise. With saturation data, troughs and peaks, and their respective severities may be determined so that measurements for each site are chosen during similar or the same waveform feature, such as, for example, using measurements that correspond to peaks for each site. In an embodiment, the natural high and low cycles of oxygen saturation are used to correlate the measurements. For example, typical pre- and post-ductal SpO_2 measurements are illustrated in FIG. **15**. FIG. **15** shows an example plot **1500**, including a pre-ductal SpO_2 graph **1502** and a post-ductal SpO_2 graph **1504**, each versus time (in seconds). The SpO_2 measurements vary cyclically over time. Thus, in order to compare measurements from two different sites taken at different times, the natural cycles of variance in the SpO_2 are used to correlate the measurement data. In the example of FIG. **15**, a 60 second window of data is taken of both the pre- and post-ductal measurement. The data is time adjusted so that the natural cycles of the SpO_2 measurements occur at roughly the same time. A window or timeframe, for example, a 30 second window of time, is analyzed, indicated as **1510** and **1512**, respectively, in FIG. **15**. This window or timeframe is then used to determine the SpO_2 delta **1514**. As a result of correlating the pre- and post-ductal SpO_2 measurements using the natural cycles, a more accurate delta can be determined.

Referring back to box **366** in FIG. **3**C, in an embodiment, additional processing may be performed and/or intermediate data may be accessed to assist in determining which measurements to select for screening deltas. For example, often sophisticated filters are used to smooth saturation measurements. In an embodiment, pre-filtered results may provide more accurate or immediate information on measurement selection. Similarly, ratio or other information may be used in addition to or in place of focusing on oxygen saturation values.

In an embodiment, phases in the respiration cycle may be accounted for to select measurement data. For example, measurement values may correspond to only data during, for example, the inspiration phase, or the like. In an embodiment, respiration or pulse rates may qualify or disqualify measurement data, based on, for example, rate stability or the like.

Other parameter information may also be used. For example, perfusion index ("PI") information may provide indicators on when to select measurement data. In an embodiment, PI may vary for reasons unrelated to CCHD and therefore can be used in certain implementations, such as ranges that qualify or disqualify measurement data or the like. For example, the perfusion index may indicate that signal quality is sufficiently high to use measurement data. A PI measurement is also illustrated in FIG. **16** as pre-ductal PI graph **1605**. Although both confidence and PI have been illustrated with respect to a pre-ductal measurement in FIG. **16**, it is to be understood that a similar PI and confidence measure may also be determined for a post-ductal measurement.

In box **368** of FIG. **3**C, the pulse oximeter **300** may optionally communicate with a remote data processing center, through, for example, the network interface **336**. As described below in reference to FIG. **5**, current measurement data may be transmitted to the remote data processing center, and/or previous measurement data may be accessed from the remote data processing center, among other things. Thus, the results of multiple measurements may be stored, retrieved, and/or compared as part of the CCHD screening process. Alternatively, measurement data may be stored at the pulse oximeter **300**. In an embodiment, the data processing of box **366** takes place at the remote data processing center.

In box **370**, the results of the CCHD screening are reported to the caregiver/patient/user. This may be accomplished, for example, by displaying the results on the display **302** as a number, color, and/or other symbol, and/or aurally in the form of, for example, an alarm.

An artisan will recognize from the disclosure herein a wide variety of indicators or combinations of indicators for determining when to select measurement data for use in CCHD screening processes. For example, segments or whole windows of data for the various parameters and indicators discussed in the foregoing may be combined to provide additional insight into measurement selection. Moreover, any or combinations of the foregoing may be used to adjust a particular measurement instead of seeking a different measurement.

FIG. **4** illustrates an embodiment of the CCHD screening system incorporating dual (or stereo) sensors. FIG. **4** includes a pulse oximeter **400**, a signal processing device **406**, a first sensor **408**, and a second sensor **409**. Further, the pulse oximeter **400** includes a display **402**, a communication port **403**, and a portable oximeter **404**. Such a pulse oximeter is commercially available from Masimo Corporation of Irvine, Calif. Other CCHD systems may employ more than two sensors. In an embodiment, the pulse oximeter **400** includes a USB or other communication port **403** that connects to the signal processing device **406**. In this embodiment, each of the dual sensors (first sensor **408** and second sensor **409**) connects to the signal processing device **406**. Either of the first sensor **408** or the second sensor **409**, or both the first sensor **408** and the second sensor **409**, may comprise any combination of disposable, reusable, and/or resposable sensors. As described above, the first sensor **408** and/or the second sensor **409** may be configured for use with an infant. Additionally, the two sensors are not required to be of the same type, but may be any combination of suitable sensors. For example, in some embodiments, the first sensor **408** and/or the second sensor **409** may include finger, toe, or ear sensors, or any combination of finger, toe, or ear sensors. In another example, the first sensor **408** and/or the second sensor **409** may be a wrist-type sensor configured to surround the wrist or ankle of an infant. The signal processing device **406** provides stereo processing of sensor signals, such as those disclosed in the '065 Patent. In an embodiment, such processing may include separate oximeter calculators logically similar to having two independent oximeters, one for each sensor. The pulse oximeter **400** may include components similar to those of the pulse oximeter **300** of FIG. **3**B. Specifically, the pulse oximeter **400** may include a signal processor, among other things. Processing of sensor data may take place in the pulse oximeter **400** and/or the signal processing device **406**.

In this stereo embodiment of two (2) or more sensors, while there may not necessarily be time differentials between measurements, the data from each sensor may be of varying quality. Thus, many of the same procedures disclosed in the foregoing will apply. For example, at a particular time, the data from the baseline sensor may be clean with a high confidence while the data from the alternate sensor may have low quality from motion artifact, such as, for example, an infant kicking but not moving their right hand. Thus, the signal processing device **406** may use the foregoing processes to select measurement values from each sensor at different times. In such cases, determining which measurements should be used involves determinations similar to those used in the single sensor implementation. Alternatively, the signal processing device **406** may wait and select a time when both sensors produce usable measurement data, similar data conditions, or the like.

In an embodiment, the signal processing device **406** determines measurements for each of first sensor **408** and second sensor **409**, and forwards measurement values to the pulse oximeter **400**. The monitor **400** advantageously includes CCHD screening modules that guide a caregiver through the screening process. In other embodiments, the signal processing device **406** executes the screening and sends flags or messages to the display **402** and/or the portable oximeter **404** directing the display **402** and/or the portable oximeter **404** to display caregiver instructions and/or output results.

Although disclosed as the processing device **406** separate from the pulse oximeter **400**, an artisan will recognize from the disclosure herein that the processing of the signal processing device **406** may be incorporated into the pulse oximeter **400** and/or the portable oximeter **404**.

FIG. **5** illustrates a data diagram of a data sharing system, according to an embodiment of present disclosure. FIG. **5** includes a patient monitor **502**, a remote data processing center **504**, and a network **506**. In an embodiment, the patient monitor **502** may include, for example, either the pulse oximeter **300** of FIG. **3**A or the pulse oximeter **400** of FIG. **4**. In an embodiment, the patient monitor **502** and the network **506** may communicate with one another, and may be located in a hospital, while both the patient monitor **502** and the network **506** may advantageously communicate with the remote data processing center **504**. The network **506** may include, for example, Masimo's Patient SafetyNet System, a hospital patient data system, and/or any other wired or wirelessly connected system. An artisan will recognize that communications among any of the patient monitor **502**, the remote data processing center **504**, and the network **506** may be through any appropriate wired and/or wireless data transmission, and may use any suitable communications protocol. For example, communication may be serial or parallel, through Universal Serial Bus (USB) (wired or wireless), Ethernet, Bluetooth, Near Field Communications (NFC), radio frequency (RF), infrared, and/or WiFi (such as any 802.1x interface), among others as is known in the alt

In an embodiment, the remote data processing center **504** may store, for example, Patient ID's, device information (such as, for example, patient monitor **502** device information), sensor information, measurement data, screening data, and/or screening determinations for comparisons with later screening events. For example, the CCHD screening process of FIG. **2** includes multiple repeat screens. In this example, previous screening data for a particular patient, among other things, may be requested by the patient monitor **502**, when for example, a new screening is performed. Thus, the data from the two screening may be compared or used in some other way. Such communication may advantageously be wirelessly directed from the patient monitor **502** to the remote data processing center **504**, or through one or more intermediary networks (such as the network **506**). For example, the patient monitor **502** may include wireless communication to a hospital or other network which eventually communicates with the remote data processing center **504**.

In an embodiment, the pulse oximeter **300** may communicate with network **506** (or other host digital network or system) to store or upload measurement data associated with a unique identifier to remote data processing center **504**. The network **506** may include multiple networks or systems. The pulse oximeter **300** may access previously stored information, such as, for example, earlier screening data stored at the remote data processing center **504** of a remote network, to complete or increment the CCHD screening process. In an embodiment, a first network may be an institutional network such as a hospital data system, a cellular or other data system, or the like, wirelessly communicating with the pulse oximeter **300** or monitor. The system or systems eventually allow communication to a remote data processing center **504** or other processing center that stores the measurement information in a manner that provides for retrieval and appropriate association with newly acquired data.

As will be described in detail below, FIGS. **6-9**, **10**A-B, **11**A-B, **12**A-C, **13**A-C, and **14**A-D illustrate example screen shots and/or displays of a pulse oximeter monitor (such as the pulse oximeter **300** of FIG. **3**A or the pulse oximeter **400** of FIG. **4**) and/or other CCHD measurement device configured for measuring CCHD, according to embodiments of the present disclosure.

FIG. **6** illustrates a screen shot **600** of a pulse oximeter monitor configured for measuring CCHD. The screen shot **600** includes a first screen **602**, second screen **604**, and third screen **606** for measuring CCHD. These three screens correlate to the three separate measurement recommendations for measuring CCHD, as described in reference to FIG. **2**. A touch screen can be provided in the pulse oximeter monitor so that a caregiver need only touch each measurement (for example, first screen **602**, second screen **604**, and third screen **606**) in succession to begin the three different measurement processes.

FIG. **7** illustrates various spot check settings that are available on the pulse oximeter monitor and/or CCHD measurement device of the present disclosure. A SpO_2 delta **702** allows a caregiver to dictate a specific difference in SpO_2 between measurement sites that will indicate a CCHD problem. The value can range between 1 and 10 with a default value of 3. The low SpO_2 threshold **704** indicates a minimal passing SpO_2 value for a test result. The value can range between 85-100 with a default value of 95. The all mute **706** mutes all noise from the pulse oximeter monitor and/or CCHD measurement device. The low PI threshold **708** indicates a minimum PI measurement value that may still indicate a valid test result. This value can range between 0.1 and 1 with a default value of 0.7.

FIG. **8** illustrates a display of a first test results page **800**. The first test results page **800** includes a first test results indication **801**. In the embodiment of first test results page **800**, a positive result was obtained, but it was at a saturation value of less than 95%. The first test results page **800** also includes the time of the test **802**, the pre-ductal results **804** and post-ductal results **810**, including the respective SpO_2 values **806**, **812** and PI values **808** and **814**, as well as the delta SpO_2 **816** illustrating the delta SpO_2 value **818**. The first test results page **800** also includes a next step indication **820**. For example, in the embodiment of FIG. **8**, the next step may indicate that a second screen test is to be performed in an hour. Alternatively, the next step may indicate that no further testing is required (in the event of, for example, a negative screen).

FIG. **9** illustrates another example of a first test results page **900**. The test results page has similar features corresponding to that of FIG. **8** shown in an alternative format. The first test results page **900** includes a first test results indication **901**. In the embodiment of first test results page **900**, a positive result was obtained. The first test results page **900** also includes the time of the test **902**, the pre-ductal results **904** and post-ductal results **910**, including the respective SpO_2 values **906**, **912** and PI values **908** and **914**, as well as the delta SpO_2 **916** illustrating the delta SpO_2 value **918**. The first test results page **900** also includes a next step indication **920**.

FIGS. **10**A and **10**B illustrate additional alternative embodiments of settings available for the CCHD monitor of the present disclosure. Referring to FIG. **10**A, screen **1000** includes settings which indicate the difference in SpO_2 readings (SpO_2 difference **1002**) between sites to indicate a CCHD problem. The screen settings also include passing SpO_2 low limit **1004**, positive result SpO_2 threshold **1006**, and enable PI **1008**. Referring to FIG. **10**B, additional settings on screen **1020** include positive result SpO_2 threshold **1022**, enable PI **1024**, positive result PI threshold **1026**, and settings defaults **1028**. The settings defaults can include different protocols for measuring CCHD including the Kemper Protocol.

FIG. **11**A illustrates additional advanced settings in screen **1100**, including a time window **1102**, a correlation window **1104**, a minimum confidence **1106**, and minimum PI **1108**. In FIG. **11**B other settings are illustrated in screen **1120**, including minimum confidence **1122**, minimum PI **1124**, minimum correlation **1126**, and mean trim **1128**.

Once all of the settings are configured, the CCHD screening device of the present disclosure provides step by step instructions for performing a CCHD test protocol. FIGS. **12**A-C, **13**A-C, and **14**A-D illustrate instructions provided for the single sensor CCHD monitor of the present disclosure. FIGS. **12**A-C illustrate an embodiment of various protocol step-by-step instructions. For the pre-ductal measurement, instruction screen **1200** instructs a caregiver to place the sensor on the right hand and press a button on the screen to move to the next instruction screen **1220**. Instruction screen **1220** indicates that a measurement is taking place. If an error occurs in the measurement, an error screen **1240** is displayed. In an embodiment, the CCHD screening system (including, for example, pulse oximeter **300** or pulse oximeter **400**) controls and tracks the implementation of the screening process, including instructions to caregivers on next steps, as described. Additional examples of instruction may include "Attach Sensor to Right Hand," "Attach Sensor

to Alternate Site," "Attach Sensor to Right Foot," "Attach Sensor to Left Foot," "Calm Patient," "Adjust Sensor Positioning," or the like.

Once the pre-ductal measurement is obtained, the instructions move on to the instruction screens of FIGS. **13**A-C. Instruction screen **1300**, labeled as step **3**, instructs the caregiver to place the sensor on the either foot of the patient and press a button to continue to instruction screen **1320**. In instruction screen **1320**, labeled as step **4**, the post-ductal measurement is obtained. If an error occurs in the post-ductal measurement, an error screen **1340** is presented to the user.

The instructions continue with FIGS. **14**A-D. Once the post-ductal measurement is obtained, results screen **1400**, labeled as step **5**, is displayed. The results screen **1400** provides the pre- and post-ductal screening results, as well as the difference in SpO_2. The results screen **1400** illustrates an embodiment in which a passing test was obtained and instructions that the test was passed are provided on the screen at **1405**. Alternatively, if a potential problem was found, as illustrated in results screen **1420** of FIG. **14**B, then an instruction is provided at **1425** instructing the care giver to perform a second test in one hour. If a second screening indicates a failing test, as illustrated in results screen **1440** of FIG. **14**C, then an instruction is provided to perform a third screen testing in one hour at **1445**. If the results of the third test also indicate a problem, for example in screen **1460** of FIG. **14**D, then an instruction is provided to refer the newborn for further medical evaluation at **1465**. As described above, the CCHD screening system may include a quality indicator providing information on the confidence in the screening measurements. A quality measure may be included for each measurement, for the entire screen, or the like. For example, the display may indicate "Positive Screen, 72% Confidence." In an embodiment, a minimum confidence threshold may be used to instruct a caregiver to repeat the measurements and/or restart the screening process. Moreover, the oximeter may produce an audio/visual alarm indicating time for a repeat screen, may accept patient information including a patient identifier, and the like.

Although the foregoing has been described in terms of certain preferred embodiments, other embodiments will be apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art from the disclosure herein. For example, other CCHD screening methodologies may take advantage of the processes for matching measurements disclosed herein. Moreover, data conditions from one screen may influence when measurements are chosen for subsequent screens. For example, if choosing according to peaks in the SpO_2 values was implemented to match measurement conditions in one screen, the same may be used or implemented in subsequent screens. Accordingly, the present disclosure is not intended to be limited by the reaction of the preferred embodiments, but is to be defined by reference to the appended claims.

In addition to the foregoing, all publications, patents, and patent applications mentioned in this specification are herein incorporated by reference to the same extent as if each individual publication, patent, or patent application was specifically and individually indicated to be incorporated by reference.

Moreover, the oximeters discussed in the foregoing may include many or all of the features of basic pulse oximeters that determine measurements for blood oxygen saturation ("SpO_2"), pulse rate ("PR") and plethysmographic information, to read-through-motion oximeters, to co-oximeters that determine measurements of many constituents of circulating blood. For example, Masimo Corporation of Irvine California ("Masimo") manufactures pulse oximetry systems including Masimo SET® low noise optical sensors and read through motion pulse oximetry monitors for measuring SpO_2, PR, perfusion index ("PI") and others. Masimo sensors include any of LNOP®, LNCS®, SonfTouch™ and Blue™ adhesive or reusable sensors. Masimo oximetry monitors include any of Rad-8®, Rad-5®, Rad-5v® or SatShare® monitors.

Also, many innovations improving the measurement of blood constituents are described in at least U.S. Pat. Nos. 6,770,028; 6,658,276; 6,157,850; 6,002,952; 5,769,785 and 5,758,644, and are incorporated by reference herein. Corresponding low noise optical sensors are disclosed in at least U.S. Pat. Nos. 6,985,764; 6,813,511; 6,792,300; 6,256,523; 6,088,607; 5,782,757 and 5,638,818, and are incorporated by reference herein.

Masimo also manufactures more advanced co-oximeters including Masimo Rainbow® SET, which provides measurements in addition to SpO_2, such as total hemoglobin (SpHb™), oxygen content (SpCO™), methemoglobin (SpMet®), carboxyhemoglobin (SpCO®) and PVI®. Advanced blood parameter sensors include Masimo Rainbow® adhesive, ReSposable™ and reusable sensors. Masimo's advanced blood parameter monitors include Masimo Radical-7™, Rad-87™, and Rad-57™ monitors as well as Pronto and Pronto-7 spot check monitors.

Innovations relating to these more advanced blood parameter measurement systems are described in at least U.S. Pat. Nos. 7,647,083; 7,729,733; U.S. Pat. Pub. Nos. 2006/0211925; and 2006/0238358, incorporated by reference herein.

Such advanced pulse oximeters, low noise sensors and advanced blood parameter systems have gained rapid acceptance in a wide variety of medical applications, including surgical wards, intensive care and neonatal units, general wards, home care, physical training, and virtually all types of monitoring scenarios.

Thus, by employing the embodiments of the CCHD screening processes and systems disclosed herein, CCHD, particularly PDA, may be more accurately detected and diagnosed. Specifically, false positives may be reduced, variances in SpO_2 may be detected and filtered, caregivers may be more properly directed, and/or measurement confidence may be evaluated, among other advantages.

Conditional language used herein, such as, among others, "can," "could," "might," "may," "e.g.," and the like, unless specifically stated otherwise, or otherwise understood within the context as used, is generally intended to convey that certain embodiments include, while other embodiments do not include, certain features, elements and/or states. Thus, such conditional language is not generally intended to imply that features, elements and/or states are in any way required for one or more embodiments or that one or more embodiments necessarily include logic for deciding, with or without author input or prompting, whether these features, elements and/or states are included or are to be performed in any particular embodiment.

While certain embodiments of the inventions disclosed herein have been described, these embodiments have been presented by way of example only, and are not intended to limit the scope of the inventions disclosed herein. Indeed, the novel methods and systems described herein can be embodied in a variety of other forms; furthermore, various omissions, substitutions and changes in the form of the methods and systems described herein can be made without departing from the spirit of the inventions disclosed herein. The claims and their equivalents are intended to cover such

forms or modifications as would fall within the scope and spirit of certain of the inventions disclosed herein.

What is claimed is:

1. A computer-implemented method for determining the existence of a critical congenital heart defect, the computer-implemented method comprising:

under control of one or more computing devices configured with specific computer executable instructions,

gathering first physiological data from a first site on a patient's body for a first period of time;

gathering second physiological data from a second site on a patient's body for a second period of time;

processing said first physiological data and said second physiological data, wherein said processing comprises at least:

selecting at least a first sub-portion of at least one of said first physiological data or said second physiological data based on one or more confidence criteria;

selecting at least a second sub-portion of an other of said first physiological data or said second physiological data; and

comparing the first sub-portion to the second sub-portion to determine a difference; and

outputting the difference, the difference used to determine the existence of a critical congenital heart defect.

2. The computer-implemented method of claim **1**, wherein the physiological data includes at least one of: blood oxygenation data, plethysmograph data, pulse rate data, respiration data, or perfusion index data.

3. The computer-implemented method of claim **1**, wherein the physiological data gathered from the first site comprises a pre-ductal blood oxygen saturation measurement, and the physiological data gathered from the second site comprises a post-ductal blood oxygen saturation measurement.

4. The computer-implemented method of claim **3**, wherein the difference is the computed average, over a third period of time, of the difference between the pre-ductal blood oxygen saturation measurement and the post-ductal blood oxygen saturation measurement.

5. The system of claim **4**, wherein the third period of time is based on at least one of: a correlation between the pre-ductal blood oxygen saturation measurement and the post-ductal blood oxygen saturation measurement, a signal strength of a noninvasive sensor used to gather pre- and post-ductal blood oxygen saturation measurements, a perfusion index, or a respiration cycle.

6. The computer-implemented method of claim **1**, further comprising:

under control of the one or more computing devices configured with specific computer executable instructions,

communicating with a remote data processing center, the remote data processing center configured to store and communicate physiological data;

retrieving one or more previously acquired differences associated with the patient; and

comparing the one or more previously acquired differences with the difference to determine the existence of a critical congenital heart defect.

7. The computer-implemented method of claim **1**, further comprising:

under control of the one or more computing devices configured with specific computer executable instructions,

displaying to a caregiver at least one of: the first physiological data, the second physiological data, or the difference.

8. The computer-implemented method of claim **1**, wherein the one or more confidence criteria include at least one of: a correlation between a feature of the first physiological data and a feature of the second physiological data, a signal strength, a perfusion index, or a respiration cycle.

9. A system configured to indicate the existence of a critical congenital heart defect, the system comprising:

a noninvasive sensor configured to gather blood oxygen saturation data related to a patient; and

a pulse oximeter in communication with the noninvasive sensor, the pulse oximeter configured to process the blood oxygen saturation data,

wherein blood oxygen saturation data related to the patient is gathered from a first site for a first period of time and a second site for a second period of time with the noninvasive sensor,

wherein at least a first sub-portion of blood oxygen saturation data from at least one of the first site or the second site is selected based on one or more confidence criteria,

wherein at least a second sub-portion blood oxygen saturation data from an other of the first site or the second site is selected,

wherein the first sub-portion is compared to the second sub-portion to compute a delta, and

wherein the computed delta indicates the existence or nonexistence of a critical congenital heart defect.

10. The system of claim **9**, wherein the blood oxygen saturation data gathered from the first site comprises a pre-ductal measurement, and the blood oxygen saturation data gathered from the second site comprises a post-ductal measurement.

11. The system of claim **9**, wherein the pulse oximeter comprises a display.

12. The system of claim **10**, wherein the blood oxygen saturation data related to the patient is shown on the display.

13. The system of claim **10**, wherein the existence of a critical congenital heart defect is indicated on the display by at least one of: a number, a color, or a symbol.

14. The system of claim **10**, wherein a caregiver is instructed, through the display, where to place the noninvasive sensor, and whether to re-perform either of the measurements.

15. The system of claim **9**, wherein the computed delta is an average over a third period of time of the difference between the blood oxygen saturation at the first site and the blood oxygen saturation at the second site.

16. The system of claim **15**, wherein the third period of time is selected based on at least one of: a correlation between the blood oxygen saturation at the first site and the blood oxygen saturation at the second site, a signal strength of the noninvasive sensor, a perfusion index, or a respiration cycle.

17. The system of claim **9**, further comprising:

a remote data processing center in communication with the pulse oximeter, the remote data processing center configured to store and communicate blood oxygen saturation data,

wherein one or more previously calculated deltas associated with the patient are retrieved by the pulse oximeter and compared to the current calculated delta, indicating the existence of a critical congenital heart defect.

18. The system of claim **9**, wherein the one or more confidence criteria include at least one of: a correlation

between a feature of the first physiological data and a feature of the second physiological data, a signal strength, a perfusion index, or a respiration cycle.

19. A system configured to indicate the existence of a critical congenital heart defect, the system comprising:

a plurality of noninvasive sensors configured to gather blood oxygen saturation data related to a patient; and

a pulse oximeter in communication with the plurality of noninvasive sensors, the pulse oximeter configured to process the blood oxygen saturation data,

wherein blood oxygen saturation data related to the patient is gathered from at least a first site and a second site simultaneously for a period of time with two or more of the plurality of noninvasive sensors,

wherein at least a first sub-portion of blood oxygen saturation data from at least one of the first site or the second site is selected based on one or more confidence criteria,

wherein at least a second sub-portion of blood oxygen saturation data from an other of the first site or the second site is selected,

wherein the first sub-portion is compared to the second sub-portion to compute a delta, and

wherein the computed delta indicates the existence or nonexistence of a critical congenital heart defect.

20. The system of claim **19**, wherein the blood oxygen saturation data gathered from the first site comprises a pre-ductal measurement, and the blood oxygen saturation data gathered from the second site comprises a post-ductal measurement.

21. The system of claim **19**, wherein the computed delta is an average over a second period of time of the difference between the blood oxygen saturation at the first site and the blood oxygen saturation at the second site.

22. The system of claim **21**, wherein the second period of time is selected based on at least one of: a correlation between the blood oxygen saturation at the first site and the blood oxygen saturation at the second site, a signal strength of the noninvasive sensor, a perfusion index, or a respiration cycle.

23. The system of claim **19**, wherein the one or more confidence criteria include at least one of: a correlation between a feature of the first physiological data and a feature of the second physiological data, a signal strength, a perfusion index, or a respiration cycle.

* * * * *

专利名称(译)	自动化CCHD筛查和检测		
公开(公告)号	US10349898	公开(公告)日	2019-07-16
申请号	US15/195037	申请日	2016-06-28
[标]申请(专利权)人(译)	梅西莫股份有限公司		
申请(专利权)人(译)	Masimo公司		
当前申请(专利权)人(译)	Masimo公司		
[标]发明人	AL ALI AMMAR MUHSIN BILAL		
发明人	AL-ALI, AMMAR MUHSIN, BILAL		
IPC分类号	A61B5/1455 A61B5/00 A61B5/0295 A61B5/02 A61B5/0205 A61B5/024 A61B5/08		
CPC分类号	A61B5/7275 A61B5/02028 A61B5/0295 A61B5/14551 A61B5/14552 A61B5/684 A61B5/6825 A61B5/6829 A61B5/7221 A61B5/7278 A61B5/7282 A61B5/743 A61B5/0205 A61B2503/04 A61B5/024 A61B5/0816		
优先权	61/583143 2012-01-04 US 61/703132 2012-09-19 US		
其他公开文献	US20170007134A1		
外部链接	Espacenet		

摘要(译)

描述了自动化的关键先天性心脏缺陷（“CCHD”）筛查系统和过程。可引导护理人员使用单或双传感器脉搏血氧计来获得导管前和血管后血氧测量值。测量值的增量表示CCHD可能存在或不存在。基于例如灌注指数，通过可配置的测量置信度阈值来减少测量中的误差。可以从远程数据处理中心存储和检索测量数据以重复筛选。