US008532728B2

(12) **United States Patent**   (10) **Patent No.:**   **US 8,532,728 B2**

Diab et al.   (45) **Date of Patent:**   *Sep. 10, 2013

(54) **PULSE OXIMETER PROBE-OFF DETECTOR**

(75) Inventors: **Mohamed K. Diab**, Ladera Ranch, CA (US); **Ammar Al-Ali**, Tustin, CA (US)

(73) Assignee: **Masimo Corporation**, Irvine, CA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 1229 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **12/345,537**

(22) Filed: **Dec. 29, 2008**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2009/0112073 A1   Apr. 30, 2009

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 10/721,607, filed on Nov. 25, 2003, now Pat. No. 7,471,969, which is a continuation of application No. 10/027,574, filed on Dec. 19, 2001, now Pat. No. 6,654,624, which is a continuation of application No. 09/531,820, filed on Mar. 21, 2000, now Pat. No. 6,360,114.

(60) Provisional application No. 60/126,148, filed on Mar. 25, 1999.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
A61B 5/1455   (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
USPC **600/323**; 600/310; 600/336

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
USPC 600/310, 322, 323, 324, 330, 340,
600/473, 476; 356/41
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

| 4,295,475 A | 10/1981 | Torzala |
| 4,331,161 A | 5/1982 | Patel |

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

| DE | 197 28 902 A1 | 7/1997 |
| EP | 0 182 197 A2 | 11/1985 |

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

PCT International Search Report, App. No. PCT/US00/08005, Date of Actual Completion of Search: Aug. 2, 2000, 2 pages.

(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Eric Winakur
Assistant Examiner — Chu Chuan (JJ) Liu
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Knobbe Martens Olson & Bear, LLP

(57)   **ABSTRACT**

A processor provides signal quality based limits to a signal strength operating region of a pulse oximeter. These limits are superimposed on the typical gain dependent signal strength limits. If a sensor signal appears physiologically generated, the pulse oximeter is allowed to operate with minimal signal strength, maximizing low perfusion performance. If a sensor signal is potentially due to a signal induced by a dislodged sensor, signal strength requirements are raised. Thus, signal quality limitations enhance probe off detection without significantly impacting low perfusion performance. One signal quality measure used is pulse rate density, which defines the percentage of time physiologically acceptable pulses are occurring. If the detected signal contains a significant percentage of unacceptable pulses, the minimum required signal strength is raised proportionately. Another signal quality measure used in conjunction with pulse rate density is energy ratio, computed as the percentage of total energy contained in the pulse rate fundamental and associated harmonics.

17 Claims, 7 Drawing Sheets

(56)   **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,399,824 A		8/1983	Davidson
4,561,440 A		12/1985	Kudo et al.
4,603,700 A		8/1986	Nichols et al.
5,562,002 A		10/1986	Lalin
4,960,128 A		10/1990	Gordon et al.
4,964,408 A		10/1990	Hink et al.
5,041,187 A		8/1991	Hink et al.
5,058,588 A	*	10/1991	Kaestle 356/41
5,069,213 A		12/1991	Polczynski
5,163,438 A		11/1992	Gordon et al.
5,190,038 A		3/1993	Polson et al.
5,226,417 A		7/1993	Swedlow et al.
5,337,744 A		8/1994	Branigan
5,341,805 A		8/1994	Stavridi et al.
5,348,005 A	*	9/1994	Merrick et al. 600/330
5,368,041 A		11/1994	Shambroom
D353,195 S		12/1994	Savage et al.
D353,196 S		12/1994	Savage et al.
5,370,114 A		12/1994	Wong et al.
5,377,676 A		1/1995	Vari et al.
D359,546 S		6/1995	Savage et al.
5,431,170 A		7/1995	Mathews
D361,840 S		8/1995	Savage et al.
D362,063 S		9/1995	Savage et al.
5,452,717 A		9/1995	Branigan et al.
D363,120 S		10/1995	Savage et al.
5,456,252 A		10/1995	Vari et al.
5,469,845 A		11/1995	DeLonzor et al.
5,482,036 A		1/1996	Diab et al.
5,490,505 A		2/1996	Diab et al.
5,494,043 A		2/1996	O'Sullivan et al.
5,503,148 A		4/1996	Pologe et al.
5,533,511 A		7/1996	Kaspari et al.
5,561,275 A		10/1996	Savage et al.
5,590,649 A		1/1997	Caro et al.
5,602,924 A		2/1997	Durand et al.
5,632,272 A		5/1997	Diab et al.
5,638,816 A		6/1997	Kiani-Azarbayjany et al.
5,638,818 A		6/1997	Diab et al.
5,645,440 A		7/1997	Tobler et al.
5,685,299 A		11/1997	Diab et al.
D393,830 S		4/1998	Tobler et al.
5,743,262 A		4/1998	Lepper, Jr. et al.
5,758,644 A		6/1998	Diab et al.
5,760,910 A		6/1998	Lepper, Jr. et al.
5,769,785 A		6/1998	Diab et al.
5,782,757 A		7/1998	Diab et al.
5,785,659 A		7/1998	Caro et al.
5,791,347 A		8/1998	Flaherty et al.
5,810,734 A		9/1998	Caro et al.
5,823,950 A		10/1998	Diab et al.
5,830,131 A		11/1998	Caro et al.
5,833,618 A		11/1998	Caro et al.
5,846,190 A		12/1998	Woehrle
5,860,919 A		1/1999	Kiani-Azarbayjany et al.
5,890,929 A		4/1999	Mills et al.
5,904,654 A		5/1999	Wohltmann et al.
5,919,134 A		7/1999	Diab
5,934,925 A		8/1999	Tobler et al.
5,940,182 A		8/1999	Lepper, Jr. et al.
5,995,855 A		11/1999	Kiani et al.
5,997,343 A		12/1999	Mills et al.
6,002,952 A		12/1999	Diab et al.
6,011,986 A		1/2000	Diab et al.
6,027,452 A		2/2000	Flaherty et al.
6,035,223 A		3/2000	Baker, Jr.
6,036,642 A		3/2000	Diab et al.
6,045,509 A		4/2000	Caro et al.
6,067,462 A		5/2000	Diab et al.
6,081,735 A		6/2000	Diab et al.
6,088,607 A		7/2000	Diab et al.
6,110,522 A		8/2000	Lepper, Jr. et al.
6,124,597 A		9/2000	Shehada
6,144,868 A		11/2000	Parker
6,151,516 A		11/2000	Kiani-Azarbayjany et al.
6,152,754 A		11/2000	Gerhardt et al.
6,157,850 A		12/2000	Diab et al.
6,165,005 A		12/2000	Mills et al.
6,184,521 B1		2/2001	Coffin, IV et al.
6,206,830 B1		3/2001	Diab et al.
6,229,856 B1		5/2001	Diab et al.
6,232,609 B1		5/2001	Snyder et al.
6,236,872 B1		5/2001	Diab et al.
6,241,683 B1		6/2001	Macklem et al.
6,256,523 B1		7/2001	Diab et al.
6,263,222 B1		7/2001	Diab et al.
6,278,522 B1		8/2001	Lepper, Jr. et al.
6,280,213 B1		8/2001	Tobler et al.
6,285,896 B1		9/2001	Tobler et al.
6,321,100 B1		11/2001	Parker
6,334,065 B1		12/2001	Al-Ali et al.
6,343,224 B1		1/2002	Parker
6,349,228 B1		2/2002	Kiani et al.
6,360,114 B1		3/2002	Diab et al.
6,368,283 B1		4/2002	Xu et al.
6,371,921 B1		4/2002	Caro et al.
6,377,829 B1		4/2002	Al-Ali
6,388,240 B2		5/2002	Schulz et al.
6,397,091 B2		5/2002	Diab et al.
6,430,525 B1		8/2002	Weber et al.
6,463,311 B1		10/2002	Diab
6,470,199 B1		10/2002	Kopotic et al.
6,501,975 B2		12/2002	Diab et al.
6,505,059 B1		1/2003	Kollias et al.
6,515,273 B2		2/2003	Al-Ali
6,519,487 B1		2/2003	Parker
6,525,386 B1		2/2003	Mills et al.
6,526,300 B1		2/2003	Kiani et al.
6,541,756 B2		4/2003	Schulz et al.
6,542,764 B1		4/2003	Al-Ali et al.
6,580,086 B1		6/2003	Schulz et al.
6,584,336 B1		6/2003	Ali et al.
6,595,316 B2		7/2003	Cybulski et al.
6,597,932 B2		7/2003	Tian et al.
6,597,933 B2		7/2003	Kiani et al.
6,606,511 B1		8/2003	Ali et al.
6,632,181 B2		10/2003	Flaherty et al.
6,639,668 B1		10/2003	Trepagnier
6,640,116 B2		10/2003	Diab
6,643,530 B2		11/2003	Diab et al.
6,650,917 B2		11/2003	Diab et al.
6,654,624 B2		11/2003	Diab et al.
6,658,276 B2		12/2003	Kianl et al.
6,661,161 B1		12/2003	Lanzo et al.
6,671,531 B2		12/2003	Al-Ali et al.
6,678,543 B2		1/2004	Diab et al.
6,684,090 B2		1/2004	Ali et al.
6,684,091 B2		1/2004	Parker
6,697,656 B1		2/2004	Al-Ali
6,697,657 B1		2/2004	Shehada et al.
6,697,658 B2		2/2004	Al-Ali
RE38,476 E		3/2004	Diab et al.
6,699,194 B1		3/2004	Diab et al.
6,714,804 B2		3/2004	Al-Ali et al.
RE38,492 E		4/2004	Diab et al.
6,721,582 B2		4/2004	Trepagnier et al.
6,721,585 B1		4/2004	Parker
6,725,075 B2		4/2004	Al-Ali
6,728,560 B2		4/2004	Kollias et al.
6,735,459 B2		5/2004	Parker
6,745,060 B2		6/2004	Diab et al.
6,760,607 B2		7/2004	Al-Ali
6,770,028 B1		8/2004	Ali et al.
6,771,994 B2		8/2004	Kiani et al.
6,792,300 B1		9/2004	Diab et al.
6,813,511 B2		11/2004	Diab et al.
6,816,741 B2		11/2004	Diab
6,822,564 B2		11/2004	Al-Ali
6,826,419 B2		11/2004	Diab et al.
6,830,711 B2		12/2004	Mills et al.
6,850,787 B2		2/2005	Weber et al.
6,850,788 B2		2/2005	Al-Ali
6,852,083 B2		2/2005	Caro et al.
6,861,639 B2		3/2005	Al-Ali

6,898,452 B2	5/2005	Al-Ali et al.
6,920,345 B2	7/2005	Al-Ali et al.
6,931,268 B1	8/2005	Kiani-Azarbayjany et al.
6,934,570 B2	8/2005	Kiani et al.
6,939,305 B2	9/2005	Flaherty et al.
6,943,348 B1	9/2005	Coffin, IV
6,950,687 B2	9/2005	Al-Ali
6,961,598 B2	11/2005	Diab
6,970,792 B1	11/2005	Diab
6,979,812 B2	12/2005	Al-Ali
6,985,764 B2	1/2006	Mason et al.
6,993,371 B2	1/2006	Kiani et al.
6,996,427 B2	2/2006	Ali et al.
6,999,904 B2	2/2006	Weber et al.
7,003,338 B2	2/2006	Weber et al.
7,003,339 B2	2/2006	Diab et al.
7,015,451 B2	3/2006	Dalke et al.
7,024,233 B2	4/2006	Ali et al.
7,027,849 B2	4/2006	Al-Ali
7,030,749 B2	4/2006	Al-Ali
7,039,449 B2	5/2006	Al-Ali
7,041,060 B2	5/2006	Flaherty et al.
7,044,918 B2	5/2006	Diab
7,067,893 B2	6/2006	Mills et al.
7,096,052 B2	8/2006	Mason et al.
7,096,054 B2	8/2006	Abdul-Hafiz et al.
7,132,641 B2	11/2006	Schulz et al.
7,142,901 B2	11/2006	Kiani et al.
7,149,561 B2	12/2006	Diab
7,186,966 B2	3/2007	Al-Ali
7,190,261 B2	3/2007	Al-Ali
7,215,984 B2	5/2007	Diab
7,215,986 B2	5/2007	Diab
7,221,971 B2	5/2007	Diab
7,225,006 B2	5/2007	Al-Ali et al.
7,225,007 B2	5/2007	Al-Ali
RE39,672 E	6/2007	Shehada et al.
7,239,905 B2	7/2007	Kiani-Azarbayjany et al.
7,245,953 B1	7/2007	Parker
7,254,431 B2	8/2007	Al-Ali
7,254,433 B2	8/2007	Diab et al.
7,254,434 B2	8/2007	Schulz et al.
7,272,425 B2	9/2007	Al-Ali
7,274,955 B2	9/2007	Kiani et al.
D554,263 S	10/2007	Al-Ali
7,280,858 B2	10/2007	Al-Ali et al.
7,289,835 B2	10/2007	Mansfield et al.
7,292,883 B2	11/2007	De Felice et al.
7,295,866 B2	11/2007	Al-Ali
7,328,053 B1	2/2008	Diab et al.
7,332,784 B2	2/2008	Mills et al.
7,340,287 B2	3/2008	Mason et al.
7,341,559 B2	3/2008	Schulz et al.
7,343,186 B2	3/2008	Lamego et al.
D566,282 S	4/2008	Al-Ali et al.
7,355,512 B1	4/2008	Al-Ali
7,371,981 B2	5/2008	Abdul-Hafiz
7,373,193 B2	5/2008	Al-Ali et al.
7,373,194 B2	5/2008	Weber et al.
7,376,453 B1	5/2008	Diab et al.
7,377,794 B2	5/2008	Al-Ali et al.
7,377,899 B2	5/2008	Weber et al.
7,383,070 B2	6/2008	Diab et al.
7,415,297 B2	8/2008	Al-Ali et al.
7,428,432 B2	9/2008	Ali et al.
7,438,683 B2	10/2008	Al-Ali et al.
7,440,787 B2	10/2008	Diab
7,454,240 B2	11/2008	Diab et al.
7,467,002 B2	12/2008	Weber
7,469,157 B2	12/2008	Diab et al.
7,471,969 B2	12/2008	Diab et al.
7,471,971 B2	12/2008	Diab et al.
7,483,729 B2	1/2009	Al-Ali et al.
7,483,730 B2	1/2009	Diab et al.
7,489,958 B2	2/2009	Diab et al.
7,496,391 B2	2/2009	Diab et al.
7,496,393 B2	2/2009	Diab et al.
D587,657 S	3/2009	Al-Ali et al.
7,499,741 B2	3/2009	Diab et al.
7,499,835 B2	3/2009	Weber et al.
7,500,950 B2	3/2009	Al-Ali et al.
7,509,154 B2	3/2009	Diab et al.
7,509,494 B2	3/2009	Al-Ali
7,526,328 B2	4/2009	Diab et al.
7,530,942 B1	5/2009	Diab
7,530,949 B2	5/2009	Al Ali et al.
7,530,955 B2	5/2009	Diab et al.
7,563,110 B2	7/2009	Al-Ali et al.
7,596,398 B2	9/2009	Al-Ali et al.
7,618,375 B2	11/2009	Flaherty
D606,659 S	12/2009	Kiani et al.
7,647,083 B2	1/2010	Al-Ali et al.
D609,193 S	2/2010	Al-Ali et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

|		
EP	0 315 040 A1	10/1988
GB	2 061 496 A	10/1980
JP	09-108203	4/1997

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

PCT International Search Report, App. No. PCT/US00/16584, Date of Actual Completion of Search: Sep. 1, 2000, 4 pages.
Supplementary European Search Report, App. No. EP 00 91 6663, Date of Actual Completion of Search: Mar. 24, 2003, 2 pages.
Notice of Reasons for Rejection (Office Action) from International Application No. 2000-606119, Dec. 3, 2009 in 5 pages.
Extended European Search Report, App. No. EP 10 18 1436, Date of Actual Completion of Search: Nov. 26, 2010, 2 pages.

* cited by examiner

FIG.1

FIG.2A

FIG.2B

FIG.3

FIG. 4

IR signal
312

BANDPASS
FILTER
410

412

SUB-BLOCK
CONVERTER
430

310

MAX/MIN
CALCULATION
#1
460

432

462

MAX-MIN
CALCULATION
#15
460

462

SCALARS
TO
VECTOR
490

signal
strength
vector

314

FIG.5

FIG.6

PULSE OXIMETER PROBE-OFF DETECTOR

REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

The present application claims priority benefit under 35 U.S.C. §120 to, and is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/721,607, filed Nov. 25, 2003 entitled "Pulse Oximeter Probe-Off Detector," which is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 10/027,574, filed Dec. 19, 2001 entitled "Pulse Oximeter Probe-Off Detector," now U.S. Pat. No. 6,654,624, which is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 09/531,820, filed Mar. 21, 2000 entitled "Pulse Oximeter Probe-Off Detector," now U.S. Pat. No. 6,360,114, which claims a priority benefit under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) from U.S. Provisional No. 60/126,148, filed Mar. 25, 1999 entitled "Improved Pulse Oximeter Probe-Off Detector." The present application also incorporates the foregoing disclosures herein by reference.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Description of the Related Art

Oximetry is the measurement of the oxygen status of blood. Early detection of low blood oxygen is critical in the medical field, for example in critical care and surgical applications, because an insufficient supply of oxygen can result in brain damage and death in a matter of minutes. Pulse oximetry is a widely accepted noninvasive procedure for measuring the oxygen saturation level of arterial blood, an indicator of oxygen supply. A pulse oximetry system consists of a sensor attached to a patient, a monitor, and a cable connecting the sensor and monitor. Conventionally, a pulse oximetry sensor has both red and infrared (IR) light-emitting diode (LED) emitters and a photodiode detector. The sensor is typically attached to a patient's finger or toe, or a very young patient's foot. For a finger, the sensor is configured so that the emitters project light through the fingernail and into the blood vessels and capillaries underneath. The photodiode is positioned at the fingertip opposite the fingernail so as to detect the LED transmitted light as it emerges from the finger tissues.

The pulse oximetry monitor (pulse oximeter) determines oxygen saturation by computing the differential absorption by arterial blood of the two wavelengths emitted by the sensor. The pulse oximeter alternately activates the sensor LED emitters and reads the resulting current generated by the photodiode detector. This current is proportional to the intensity of the detected light. The pulse oximeter calculates a ratio of detected red and infrared intensities, and an arterial oxygen saturation value is empirically determined based on the ratio obtained. The pulse oximeter contains circuitry for controlling the sensor, processing the sensor signals and displaying the patient's oxygen saturation and pulse rate. A pulse oximeter is described in U.S. Pat. No. 5,632,272 assigned to the assignee of the present invention.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

To compute peripheral arterial oxygen saturation, denoted Sp_aO_2, pulse oximetry relies on the differential light absorption of oxygenated hemoglobin, HbO_2, and deoxygenated hemoglobin, Hb, to compute their respective concentrations in the arterial blood. This differential absorption is measured at the red and infrared wavelengths of the sensor. In addition, pulse oximetry relies on the pulsatile nature of arterial blood to differentiate hemoglobin absorption from absorption of

other constituents in the surrounding tissues. Light absorption between systole and diastole varies due to the blood volume change from the inflow and outflow of arterial blood at a peripheral tissue site. This tissue site might also comprise skin, muscle, bone, venous blood, fat, pigment, etc., each of which absorbs light. It is assumed that the background absorption due to these surrounding tissues is invariant and can be ignored. Accordingly, blood oxygen saturation measurements are based upon a ratio of the time-varying or AC portion of the detected red and infrared signals with respect to the time-invariant or DC portion. This AC/DC ratio normalizes the signals and accounts for variations in light pathlengths through the measured tissue.

FIG. 1 illustrates the typical operating characteristics of a pulse oximeter. During a calibration phase, the pulse oximeter input gain is adjusted higher to accommodate opaque skin and lower to accommodate translucent skin at the sensor site. Variations in blood perfusion at the sensor site result in variations in input signal strength. The graph 100 shows acceptable input sensitivity as a function of gain. The y-axis 110 represents the signal strength (SS), which is the ratio of the peak-to-peak AC signal to the DC signal, expressed as a percentage. The x-axis 120 represents the gain, which is shown with decreasing values along the x-axis. The graph 100 has an unshaded region 130 representing the acceptable operating range of the pulse oximeter and a shaded region 140 representing conditions outside that operating range, which, when detected, will result in a pulse oximeter "probe off" alarm. The operating region 130 has a floor 150 at relatively low gains, representing the highest sensitivity to patients with low perfusion. Because input noise increases with gain, the operating region also has a corner point 160 below which input sensitivity is noise limited and falls off with increasing gain, i.e. increasing opacity.

A pulse oximeter with the operating characteristics shown in FIG. 1 may fail to detect a probe off condition. This problem occurs when the sensor becomes partially or completely dislodged from the patient, but continues to detect an AC signal within the operating region of the pulse oximeter. Probe off errors are serious because the pulse oximeter may display a normal saturation when, in fact, the probe is not properly attached to the patient, potentially leading to missed desaturation events.

Failure to detect a probe off condition is the result of the sensor detector receiving light directly from the emitters without transmission through the patient's tissue. The pulse oximeter is particularly vulnerable to probe off errors when operating at its highest sensitivity, where even small induced variations in light directly detected from the emitters have sufficient signal strength to be processed as a physiological signal. In a probe off condition, a detector AC signal can be induced by slight changes in the direct light path between the emitters and detector. For example, small amounts of patient motion, such as chest movement from breathing, can induce a probe off AC signal. As another example, "creep" in the sensor configuration, such as a folded sensor gradually returning to its original unfolded shape after becoming dislodged can also induce a probe off AC signal. Further restricting the operating region 130 shown in FIG. 1 can reduce probe off errors. Such restrictions, however, would also severely limit the ability of the pulse oximeter to make saturation measurements on patients with poor perfusion.

The present invention is a monitor-based improvement to detecting the probe off condition described above. Of-course, other methods of detecting the probe-off condition could be combined with the present improvement. In particular, an intelligent, rule-based processor uses signal quality measure-

3

ments to limit the operating region of the pulse oximeter without significant negative impact on low perfusion performance. These signal-quality operating limits are superimposed on those of FIG. 1 to improve probe off detection. In this manner, the pulse oximeter can reject AC signals that have sufficient signal strength to fall within the operating region 130 of FIG. 1, but that are unlikely to be a plethysmograph signal. One signal quality measurement that is used is pulse rate density, which is the percentage of time detected pulses satisfy a physiologically acceptable model. Another signal quality measurement is energy ratio, which is the percentage of signal energy that occurs at the pulse rate and its harmonics. The operating region of the pulse oximeter is then defined in terms of signal strength versus gain, signal strength versus PR density and energy ratio versus predefined energy ratio limits.

In one embodiment of the present invention, a probe-off detector has a signal input, a signal quality input and a probe-off output. The signal quality input is dependent on a comparison between a sensor output and a physiological signal model. The probe-off output provides an indication that the sensor may not be properly attached to a tissue site. The detector includes a signal strength calculator, a stored relationship between signal strength and signal quality, and probe-off logic. The signal strength calculator has an input in communications with the sensor signal and provides a signal strength output that is dependent on the time-varying component of the sensor signal. The stored relationship defines an acceptable operating region for the sensor. The probe-off logic includes the signal strength and the signal quality as inputs and provides the probe-off output based on a comparison of the signal strength and the signal quality with the stored relationship.

In one embodiment, the probe-off logic includes a comparator, and in another embodiment, the probe-off logic includes an energy ratio check. In yet another embodiment, the probe-off logic includes a time check that indicates that no acceptable pulses have occurred for a sufficient time period.

In another embodiment of the present invention, a pulse oximetry sensor signal is processed to determine if it is properly attached to a tissue site. The method includes determining a signal strength limit that is dependent on signal quality, calculating a signal strength value from the sensor signal, calculating a signal quality value of the sensor signal and indicating a probe-off condition when the signal strength value is below the signal strength limit for the signal quality value.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a graph illustrating minimum signal strength operating limits for a pulse oximeter;

FIGS. 2A and 2B are graphs illustrating additional minimum signal strength operating limits for a pulse oximeter, based on signal quality according to the present invention;

FIG. 2A is a graph of signal quality operating limits for a pulse oximeter in normal input sensitivity mode;

FIG. 2B is a graph of signal quality operating limits for a pulse oximeter in high input sensitivity mode;

FIG. 3 is a top-level block diagram of a rule-based intelligent processor that provides the signal quality operating limits illustrated in FIGS. 2A-2B;

FIG. 4 is a detailed block diagram of the signal strength calculator portion of FIG. 3;

FIG. 5 is a detailed block diagram of the probe off logic portion of FIG. 3; and

4

FIG. 6 is a detailed block diagram of the signal strength dependent checks portion of FIG. 5.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

FIGS. 2A and 2B illustrate how the operating range of a pulse oximeter is modified based on pulse rate density according to one embodiment of the present invention. Calculation of PR density is disclosed in U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 60/114,127 filed Dec. 30, 1998, and in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/471,510, filed Dec. 23, 1999, entitled "Plethysmograph Pulse Recognition Processor," which are assigned to the assignee of the current application and incorporated by reference herein. The processor described therein has a candidate pulse portion that determines a plurality of potential pulses within the input IR waveform. A physiological model portion of the processor then determines the physiologically acceptable ones of these potential pulses. The processor provides statistics regarding the acceptable pulses. One statistic is pulse density, which is the ratio of the period of acceptable pulses to the duration of a block or "snapshot" of the IR input waveform.

FIG. 2A shows a graph 200 of signal strength on the y-axis 210 versus PR density on the x-axis 220 for normal sensitivity. The operating region 260 is shown unshaded, and the probe off region 270 is shown shaded. A signal strength floor 230 of 0.02, below which a probe off condition exists for all values of PR density, determines one portion of the operating region 260. That is, no matter how many of the detected plethysmograph pulses are deemed physiologically acceptable, if the signal strength is less than 0.02, then the pulse oximeter indicates a probe off condition. A signal strength ceiling 250 of 0.25, above which the pulse oximeter is in a valid operating region for all values of PR density, determines another portion of the operating region 260. That is, signal quality is ignored if signal strength is above 0.25. Between the signal strength ceiling 250 and floor 230, acceptable signal strength is dependent on PR density. The slope of the boundary 240 defining this relationship is:

$$slope = -(0.25-0.02)/(0.5-0.2) = -0.23/0.3 = -0.7667 \tag{1}$$

Thus, this boundary can be defined by the following equivalent equations:

$$SS = -0.7667 \cdot PR\ density + 0.4033 \tag{2}$$

$$PR\ density = -1.3043 \cdot SS + 0.5261 \tag{3}$$

FIG. 2B shows a graph 200 of signal strength on the y-axis 210 versus PR density on the x-axis 220 for high sensitivity. This graph is equivalent to that of FIG. 2A except that the signal strength ceiling 250 is set at 0.05. Thus, signal quality indicated by PR density is ignored as long as the signal strength is above 0.05.

Another signal quality measure, energy ratio, is also imposed on the operating region as an absolute limit. Energy ratio is the percentage of IR signal energy occurring at the pulse rate and associated harmonics compared to total IR energy. The energy ratio is computed by transforming each block of the IR signal into the frequency domain as is well known in the art. The energy ratio is computed by identifying each peak in the resulting spectrum. In one embodiment, the peaks occurring at the pulse rate and its harmonics are identified and summed. This value is divided by the sum of the magnitudes of all peaks and output as the energy ratio. Note that energy ratio computed in this manner is not a true energy calculation because the calculations are based on the peak

magnitudes and not the squared magnitudes of the IR signal. In this embodiment, the minimum energy ratio must be 0.6 if the pulse rate is greater than or equal to 30 and 0.5 otherwise. That is, 60% (or 50% for low pulse rates) of the signal must be at the pulse rate frequency or its harmonics or the pulse oximeter will indicate a probe off condition. A method for calculating the pulse rate used in this calculation is disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 6,002,952, filed Apr. 14, 1997, entitled "Improved Signal Processing Apparatus and Method," which is assigned to the assignee of the current application and incorporated by reference herein.

FIG. 3 is a block diagram illustrating one embodiment of the improved probe-off detector 300 according to the present invention. The detector has a signal strength calculator 310, a limit selector 330 and probe-off logic 350. The signal strength calculator 310 has an IR signal 312 input. This signal is the detected sensor signal after demultiplexing, amplification, filtering and digitization. In a particular embodiment, the IR signal is input to the signal strength calculator 310 at a 62.5 Hz sample rate and in overlapping "snapshots" or blocks of 390 samples, each offset from the previous block by 25 samples. The signal strength calculator 310 creates a signal strength vector output 314 consisting of a set of signal strength scalars for each of these input blocks, as described with respect to FIG. 4 below.

The limit selector 330 has pulse rate 332 and sensitivity mode 334 inputs. When the sensitivity mode input 334 has a value of 1, it indicates that the pulse oximeter is in a normal sensitivity mode, corresponding to FIG. 2A. A value of 0 indicates the pulse oximeter is in a high sensitivity mode, corresponding to FIG. 2B. The pulse oximeter operator selects the sensitivity mode. The limit selector 330 also has energy ratio limit 336 and signal strength limit 338 outputs, which are input to the probe off logic 350 as absolute minimums of energy ratio and signal strength below which a probe off condition may be indicated. The relationship between the pulse rate 332 and sensitivity mode 334 inputs and the energy ratio limit 336 and signal strength limit 338 outputs is specified below:

INPUT STATE	SELECTED LIMIT
pulse rate $\geq$ 30	minimum energy ratio = 0.6
pulse rate < 30	minimum energy ratio = 0.5
sensitivity mode = 0	minimum signal strength = 0.05
sensitivity mode = 1	minimum signal strength = 0.25

The probe off logic 350 has as inputs energy ratio 352, PR density 354 and signal strength vector 314. These inputs are compared to the energy ratio limit 336 and signal strength limit 338 outputs from the limit selector 330 to determine the operating region of the pulse oximeter. The probe off logic 350 also has a time fuse input 356. The time fuse 356 is a counter that indicates the number of IR waveform blocks containing no acceptable pulses. Acceptable pulses are determined as described for the calculation of PR density 354, above. The time fuse 356 input is –1 if there have been no acceptable pulses in a block since startup. The time fuse 356 is reset to 0 each time no acceptable pulses are detected for an input block. For each block where there are no acceptable pulses, the time fuse 356 is incremented by one. The time fuse enables the energy ratio limit and that portion of the signal strength limits above the floor 230 (FIGS. 2A-2B). This reduces the probability of probe off alarms for transient events. In a particular embodiment, the time fuse 356 is compared to the constants –1 and 5. That is, the energy ratio and

signal strength limits are enabled if there have been no acceptable pulses since startup or for more than the previous 5 IR signal blocks.

The probe off logic 350 has a Boolean probe off output 358 that is set to 1 when the probe off logic 350 detects the pulse oximeter is operating outside permissible limits. Otherwise, the probe off output 358 is 0. The probe off output 358 can be used by the pulse oximeter to trigger a probe off alarm and error message to alert medical personnel to inspect and reattach the sensor or take other appropriate action. The probe off logic 350 is described in more detail below with respect to FIG. 5.

FIG. 4 shows further details of the signal strength calculator 310 (FIG. 3). Each 390 sample block of the IR signal 312 is initially filtered 410 to remove any trends in the IR signal 312 that could cause an error in the signal strength calculations. In a particular embodiment, the filter 410 is a bandpass FIR filter with cutoff frequencies of 50 Hz and 550 Hz and a 151 tap Kaiser window having a shape parameter of 3.906. As a result, 150 samples are lost from each 390 sample input block. Thus, the filtered IR output 412 consists of 240 sample blocks.

Each 240 sample block of the filtered IR output 412 is converted 430 into multiple overlapping sub-blocks. In a particular embodiment, the sub-blocks each consist of 100 samples, and each sub-block is offset by 10 samples from the previous sub-block. Thus, the sub-block converter 430 creates 15 sub-block outputs 432 for each 240 sample filtered IR block 412. For each sub-block, a max-min calculation 460 is performed. That is, the minimum sample magnitude in a particular sub-block is subtracted from the maximum sample magnitude in that sub-block. Each max-min output 462 is a single scalar representing the signal strength of a particular sub-block. A scalar-to-vector conversion 490 combines the max-min outputs 462 into a vector output 314 containing multiple signal strength values representing the signal strength of a particular block of the IR signal 312.

FIG. 5 provides further detail of the probe off logic 350 (FIG. 3). The probe off logic 350 has three functional checks that each provide a Boolean output. An energy ratio check 510 compares the energy ratio 352 against the energy ratio limit 336 provided by the limit selector 330 (FIG. 3), specified in the table above. The energy ratio check 510 sets the "poor energy ratio" output 512 if the energy ratio 352 is below the energy ratio limit 336.

A time fuse check 520 determines if the time fuse 356 indicates no acceptable pulses have occurred in the IR signal 312 (FIG. 3) for a sufficiently long time period. If so, a timeout output 522 is set. In a particular embodiment, the time fuse check 520 consists of comparators that determine if the time fuse 356 is –1 or greater than 5, indicating no acceptable pulses since startup or for a longer period than the past 5 blocks of IR signal 312.

The signal strength dependent checks 530 determine if the pulse oximeter is within the operating limits described above with respect to FIGS. 2A and 2B. If the signal strength, as determined by the signal strength vector 314, is below the floor 230 (FIGS. 2A-B), then the signal strength failure output 534 is set. If the signal strength is above the floor 230 (FIGS. 2A-B) but otherwise outside the operating region, i.e. within the shaded region 270 (FIGS. 2A-B) above the floor 230 (FIGS. 2A-2B), then the "poor signal strength" output 532 is set.

A logical AND function 540 sets a "poor signal quality" output 542 if the poor energy ratio 512, poor signal strength 532 and timeout 522 outputs are set. A logical OR function

550 sets the probe off output **358** if the poor signal quality **542** or the signal strength failure **534** outputs are set.

FIG. **6** shows a particular embodiment of the signal strength dependent checks **530** (FIG. **5**). The signal strength vector **314** is converted **610** into the 15 individual signal strength scalars **612**. Relative checks **620** and absolute checks **630** are performed on each of the 15 scalars **612**. Each relative check **620** determines if signal strength is within the signal strength limit **338** relative to PR density **354**. That is, each relative check output **622** is set according to the following, see Eq. 3 above:

INPUT STATE	RESULT
SS ≧ SS limit	output = 0
PR density > −1.3043 · SS + 0.5261	output = 0
(SS < SS limit) AND	output = 1
PR density < −1.3043 · SS + 0.5261	

Each absolute check **630** determines if the signal strength is above the absolute minimum floor **230** (FIGS. **2A-2B**). That is, each absolute check output **632** is set according to the following:

INPUT STATE	RESULT
SS ≧ 0.02	output = 0
SS < 0.02	output = 1

The 15 relative check outputs **622** are processed by a sum and compare **660**, which performs an arithmetic sum of these outputs **622**. If the sum is equal or greater than 5, the poor signal strength output **532** is set. That is, poor signal strength is indicated if at least ⅓ of the scalars in the signal strength vector **314** fail their relative checks **620**. Likewise, the 15 absolute check outputs **632** are processed by a sum and compare **670**, which performs an arithmetic sum of these outputs **632**. If the sum is equal or greater than 5, the signal strength failure output **534** is set. That is, a signal strength failure is indicated if at least ⅓ of the scalars in the signal strength vector **314** fail the absolute checks **630**.

This improvement to detecting pulse oximetry probe off conditions has been disclosed in detail in connection with various embodiments of the present invention. These embodiments are disclosed by way of examples only and are not to limit the scope of the present invention, which is defined by the claims that follow. One of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate many variations and modifications within the scope of this invention.

What is claimed is:

1. In a patient monitor configured to obtain signals responsive to physiological parameters of a monitored patient, a detector for determining when a physiological sensor may not be properly attached to said monitored patient with respect to a measurement site, the detector comprising:

a limit selector configured to output a signal strength limit in response to a sensitivity mode wherein the signal strength limit is selectable by a user from two or more predetermined sensitivity modes; and

logic configured to indicate that a sensor not properly attached condition exists based on a comparison of a signal strength of one or more signals indicative of one or more physiological parameters and the signal strength limit;

wherein the logic is further configured to indicate that a sensor not properly attached condition exists when a pulse rate density indicates that one or more of said signals are valid, and when the signal strength is below the signal strength limit.

2. The detector of claim **1**, wherein the signal strength is based upon a ratio of a peak-to-peak AC signal to a DC signal.

3. The detector of claim **2**, wherein the signal strength limit is about 0.05.

4. The detector of claim **2**, wherein the signal strength limit is about 0.25.

5. The detector of claim **1**, wherein the logic is further configured to indicate that a sensor not properly attached condition exists when a timeout value indicates that one or more of said signals are valid, and when the signal strength is below the signal strength limit.

6. The detector of claim **1**, wherein the logic is further configured to indicate that a sensor not properly attached condition exists when a comparison of an energy ratio to an energy ratio limit indicates that one or more of said signals are valid, and when the signal strength is below the signal strength limit.

7. The detector of claim **1**, wherein said comparison comprises a determination that said signal strength is below said signal strength limit.

8. The detector of claim **1**, wherein said signal strength is determined based on a pulse rate of a monitored patient.

9. The method of claim **1**, wherein the sensitivity mode is determined while the physiological sensor is coupled to the patient.

10. In a patient monitor configured to obtain signals responsive to physiological parameters of a monitored patient, a method for determining when a physiological sensor may not be properly attached to said monitored patient with respect to a measurement site, the method comprising:

receiving from a user one or more setting signals indicative of one or more selectable settings;

determining a signal strength limit based upon the one or more setting signals wherein the signal strength limit is selected from two or more predetermined signal strength limit values;

determining a signal strength based upon one or more sensor signals wherein said one or more sensor signals are indicative of one or more physiological parameters; and

determining whether a sensor not properly attached condition exists when a pulse rate density indicates that one or more of said signals are valid, and when the signal strength is below the signal strength limit.

11. The method of claim **10**, wherein the determining a signal strength is based upon a ratio of a peak-to-peak AC signal to a DC signal.

12. The method of claim **11**, wherein the signal strength limit is about 0.05.

13. The method of claim **11**, wherein the signal strength limit is about 0.25.

14. The method of claim **10**, wherein the determining whether a sensor not properly attached condition exists is further based upon a timeout value, indicative of whether one or more of said sensor signals are valid.

15. The method of claim **10**, wherein the determining whether a sensor not properly attached condition exists is further based upon an energy ratio, indicative of whether one or more of said sensor signals are valid.

16. The method of claim **10**, wherein said signal strength is determined based on a pulse rate of a monitored patient.

17. The method of claim **10**, wherein the one or more setting signals is determined while the physiological sensor is coupled to the patient.

* * * * *

专利名称(译)	脉搏血氧仪探测器		
公开(公告)号	US8532728	公开(公告)日	2013-09-10
申请号	US12/345537	申请日	2008-12-29
[标]申请(专利权)人(译)	戴铂MOHAMEDķ AL ALI AMMAR		
申请(专利权)人(译)	DIAB，穆罕默德·K. AL-ALI，AMMAR		
当前申请(专利权)人(译)	Masimo公司		
[标]发明人	DIAB MOHAMED K AL ALI AMMAR		
发明人	DIAB, MOHAMED K. AL-ALI, AMMAR		
IPC分类号	A61B5/1455 G01N21/35 A61B5/00 A61B5/145		
CPC分类号	A61B5/14551 A61B5/7221 A61B5/6843 A61B2560/0276		
优先权	10/027574 2003-11-25 US 09/531820 2002-03-19 US 60/126148 1999-03-25 US		
其他公开文献	US20090112073A1		
外部链接	Espacenet USPTO		

摘要(译)

处理器为脉冲血氧计的信号强度操作区域提供基于信号质量的限制。这些限制叠加在典型的增益相关信号强度限制上。如果生理学上产生传感器信号，则允许脉搏血氧仪以最小信号强度操作，从而最大化低灌注性能。如果传感器信号可能是由于移位的传感器引起的信号，则会提高信号强度要求。因此，信号质量限制增强了探针关闭检测而不显着影响低灌注性能。使用的一种信号质量测量是脉冲速率密度，其定义了生理学上可接受的脉冲发生的时间百分比。如果检测到的信号包含相当大百分比的不可接受的脉冲，则所需的最小信号强度成比例地增加。与脉率密度结合使用的另一种信号质量测量是能量比，计算为脉冲率基波和相关谐波中包含的总能量的百分比。