US007471969B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Diab et al.

(10) **Patent No.: US 7,471,969 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent: *Dec. 30, 2008**

(54) **PULSE OXIMETER PROBE-OFF DETECTOR**

(75) Inventors: **Mohamed K. Diab**, Mission Viejo, CA (US); **Ammar Al Ali**, Tustin, CA (US)

(73) Assignee: **Masimo Corporation**, Irvine, CA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 1157 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **10/721,607**

(22) Filed: **Nov. 25, 2003**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2004/0158134 A1 Aug. 12, 2004

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 10/027,574, filed on Dec. 19, 2001, now Pat. No. 6,654,624, which is a continuation of application No. 09/531,820, filed on Mar. 21, 2000, now Pat. No. 6,360,114.

(60) Provisional application No. 60/126,148, filed on Mar. 25, 1999.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
A61B 5/1455 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **600/323**; 600/336

(58) **Field of Classification Search** 600/310, 600/322, 323, 330, 336, 481, 509, 544, 546, 600/547

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,295,475 A 10/1981 Torzala
4,331,161 A 5/1982 Patel
4,399,824 A 8/1983 Davidson
4,561,440 A 12/1985 Kubo et al.
4,603,700 A 8/1986 Nichols et al.
4,960,128 A 10/1990 Gordon et al.
4,964,408 A 10/1990 Hink et al.
5,041,187 A 8/1991 Hink et al.
5,069,213 A 12/1991 Polczynski

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE 197 28 902 A1 7/1997

(Continued)

Primary Examiner—Eric F Winakur
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Knobbe, Martens, Olson & Bear LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A processor provides signal quality based limits to a signal strength operating region of a pulse oximeter. These limits are superimposed on the typical gain dependent signal strength limits. If a sensor signal appears physiologically generated, the pulse oximeter is allowed to operate with minimal signal strength, maximizing low perfusion performance. If a sensor signal is potentially due to a signal induced by a dislodged sensor, signal strength requirements are raised. Thus, signal quality limitations enhance probe off detection without significantly impacting low perfusion performance. One signal quality measure used is pulse rate density, which defines the percentage of time physiologically acceptable pulses are occurring. If the detected signal contains a significant percentage of unacceptable pulses, the minimum required signal strength is raised proportionately. Another signal quality measure used in conjunction with pulse rate density is energy ratio, computed as the percentage of total energy contained in the pulse rate fundamental and associated harmonics.

23 Claims, 7 Drawing Sheets



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,163,438 A 11/1992 Gordon et al.
5,190,038 A * 3/1993 Polson et al. 600/330
5,226,417 A 7/1993 Swedlow et al.
5,337,744 A 8/1994 Branigan
5,341,805 A 8/1994 Stavridi et al.
5,368,041 A 11/1994 Shambroom
D353,195 S 12/1994 Savage et al.
D353,196 S 12/1994 Savage et al.
5,370,114 A 12/1994 Wong et al.
5,377,676 A 1/1995 Vari et al.
D359,546 S 6/1995 Savage et al.
5,431,170 A 7/1995 Mathews
D361,840 S 8/1995 Savage et al.
D362,063 S 9/1995 Savage et al.
5,452,717 A 9/1995 Branigan et al.
D363,120 S 10/1995 Savage et al.
5,456,252 A 10/1995 Vari et al.
5,469,845 A 11/1995 DeLonzor et al.
5,482,036 A 1/1996 Diab et al.
5,490,505 A 2/1996 Diab et al.
5,494,043 A 2/1996 O'Sullivan et al.
5,503,148 A 4/1996 Pologe et al.
5,533,511 A 7/1996 Kaspari et al.
5,561,275 A 10/1996 Savage et al.
5,562,002 A 10/1996 Lalin
5,590,649 A 1/1997 Caro et al.
5,602,924 A 2/1997 Durand et al.
5,632,272 A 5/1997 Diab et al.
5,638,816 A 6/1997 Kiani-Azarbayjany et al.
5,638,818 A 6/1997 Diab et al.
5,645,440 A 7/1997 Tobler et al.
5,685,299 A 11/1997 Diab et al.
D393,830 S 4/1998 Tobler et al.
5,743,262 A 4/1998 Lepper, Jr. et al.
5,758,644 A 6/1998 Diab et al.
5,760,910 A 6/1998 Lepper, Jr. et al.
5,769,785 A 6/1998 Diab et al.
5,782,757 A 7/1998 Diab et al.
5,785,659 A 7/1998 Caro et al.
5,791,347 A 8/1998 Flaherty et al.
5,810,734 A 9/1998 Caro et al.
5,823,950 A 10/1998 Diab et al.
5,830,131 A 11/1998 Caro et al.
5,833,618 A 11/1998 Caro et al.
5,846,190 A 12/1998 Woehrle
5,860,919 A 1/1999 Kiani-Azarbayjany et al.
5,890,929 A 4/1999 Mills et al.
5,904,654 A 5/1999 Wohltmann et al.
5,919,134 A 7/1999 Diab
5,934,925 A 8/1999 Tobler et al.
5,940,182 A 8/1999 Lepper, Jr. et al.
5,995,855 A 11/1999 Kiani et al.
5,997,343 A 12/1999 Mills et al.
6,002,952 A 12/1999 Diab et al.
6,011,986 A 1/2000 Diab et al.
6,027,452 A 2/2000 Flaherty et al.
6,035,223 A 3/2000 Baker, Jr.
6,036,642 A 3/2000 Diab et al.
6,045,509 A 4/2000 Caro et al.
6,067,462 A 5/2000 Diab et al.
6,081,735 A 6/2000 Diab et al.
6,088,607 A 7/2000 Diab et al.
6,110,522 A 8/2000 Lepper, Jr. et al.
6,124,597 A 9/2000 Shehada et al.
6,144,868 A 11/2000 Parker
6,151,516 A 11/2000 Kiani-Azarbayjany et al.
6,152,754 A 11/2000 Gerhardt et al.
6,157,850 A 12/2000 Diab et al.
6,165,005 A 12/2000 Mills et al.
6,184,521 B1 2/2001 Coffin, IV et al.
6,206,830 B1 3/2001 Diab et al.
6,229,856 B1 5/2001 Diab et al.
6,232,609 B1 5/2001 Snyder et al.
6,236,872 B1 5/2001 Diab et al.
6,241,683 B1 6/2001 Macklem et al.
6,256,523 B1 7/2001 Diab et al.
6,263,222 B1 7/2001 Diab et al.
6,278,522 B1 8/2001 Lepper, Jr. et al.
6,280,213 B1 8/2001 Tobler et al.
6,285,896 B1 9/2001 Tobler et al.
6,321,100 B1 11/2001 Parker
6,334,065 B1 12/2001 Al-Ali et al.
6,343,224 B1 1/2002 Parker
6,349,228 B1 2/2002 Kiani et al.
6,360,114 B1 3/2002 Diab et al.
6,368,283 B1 4/2002 Xu et al.
6,371,921 B1 4/2002 Caro et al.
6,377,829 B1 4/2002 Al-Ali
6,388,240 B2 5/2002 Schulz et al.
6,397,091 B2 5/2002 Diab et al.
6,430,525 B1 8/2002 Weber et al.
6,463,311 B1 10/2002 Diab
6,470,199 B1 10/2002 Kopotic et al.
6,501,975 B2 12/2002 Diab et al.
6,505,059 B1 1/2003 Kollias et al.
6,515,273 B2 2/2003 Al-Ali
6,519,487 B1 2/2003 Parker
6,525,386 B1 2/2003 Mills et al.
6,526,300 B1 2/2003 Kiani et al.
6,541,756 B2 4/2003 Schulz et al.
6,542,764 B1 4/2003 Al-Ali et al.
6,580,086 B1 6/2003 Schulz et al.
6,584,336 B1 6/2003 Ali et al.
6,595,316 B2 7/2003 Cybulski et al.
6,597,932 B2 7/2003 Tian et al.
6,597,933 B2 7/2003 Kiani et al.
6,606,511 B1 8/2003 Ali et al.
6,632,181 B2 10/2003 Flaherty et al.
6,639,668 B1 10/2003 Trepagnier
6,640,116 B2 10/2003 Diab
6,643,530 B2 11/2003 Diab et al.
6,650,917 B2 11/2003 Diab et al.
6,654,624 B2 11/2003 Diab et al.
6,658,276 B2 12/2003 Kianl et al.
6,661,161 B1 12/2003 Lanzo et al.
6,671,531 B2 12/2003 Al-Ali et al.
6,678,543 B2 1/2004 Diab et al.
6,684,090 B2 1/2004 Ali et al.
6,684,091 B2 1/2004 Parker
6,697,656 B1 2/2004 Al-Ali
6,697,657 B1 2/2004 Shehada et al.
6,697,658 B2 2/2004 Al-Ali
RE38,476 E 3/2004 Diab et al.
6,699,194 B1 3/2004 Diab et al.
6,714,804 B2 3/2004 Al-Ali et al.
RE38,492 E 4/2004 Diab et al.
6,721,582 B2 4/2004 Trepagnier et al.
6,721,585 B1 4/2004 Parker
6,725,075 B2 4/2004 Al-Ali
6,728,560 B2 4/2004 Kollias et al.
6,735,459 B2 5/2004 Parker
6,745,060 B2 6/2004 Diab et al.
6,760,607 B2 7/2004 Al-Ali
6,770,028 B1 8/2004 Ali et al.
6,771,994 B2 8/2004 Kiani et al.
6,792,300 B1 9/2004 Diab et al.
6,813,511 B2 11/2004 Diab et al.
6,816,741 B2 11/2004 Diab
6,822,564 B2 11/2004 Al-Ali
6,826,419 B2 11/2004 Diab et al.
6,830,711 B2 12/2004 Mills et al.
6,850,787 B2 2/2005 Weber et al.
6,850,788 B2 2/2005 Al-Ali
6,852,083 B2 2/2005 Caro et al.

PULSE OXIMETER PROBE-OFF DETECTOR

REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

The present application is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 10/027,574, filed Dec. 19, 2001, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,654,624, which is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 09/531,820, filed Mar. 21, 2000, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,360,114, which claims a priority benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) from U.S. Provisional No. 60/126,148, filed Mar. 25, 1999. The present application incorporates each of the foregoing disclosures herein by reference.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Description of the Related Art

Oximetry is the measurement of the oxygen status of blood. Early detection of low blood oxygen is critical in the medical field, for example in critical care and surgical applications, because an insufficient supply of oxygen can result in brain damage and death in a matter of minutes. Pulse oximetry is a widely accepted noninvasive procedure for measuring the oxygen saturation level of arterial blood, an indicator of oxygen supply. A pulse oximetry system consists of a sensor attached to a patient, a monitor, and a cable connecting the sensor and monitor. Conventionally, a pulse oximetry sensor has both red and infrared (IR) light-emitting diode (LED) emitters and a photodiode detector. The sensor is typically attached to a patient's finger or toe, or a very young patient's foot. For a finger, the sensor is configured so that the emitters project light through the fingernail and into the blood vessels and capillaries underneath. The photodiode is positioned at the fingertip opposite the fingernail so as to detect the LED transmitted light as it emerges from the finger tissues.

The pulse oximetry monitor (pulse oximeter) determines oxygen saturation by computing the differential absorption by arterial blood of the two wavelengths emitted by the sensor. The pulse oximeter alternately activates the sensor LED emitters and reads the resulting current generated by the photodiode detector. This current is proportional to the intensity of the detected light. The pulse oximeter calculates a ratio of detected red and infrared intensities, and an arterial oxygen saturation value is empirically determined based on the ratio obtained. The pulse oximeter contains circuitry for controlling the sensor, processing the sensor signals and displaying the patient's oxygen saturation and pulse rate. A pulse oximeter is described in U.S. Pat. No. 5,632,272 assigned to the assignee of the present invention.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

To compute peripheral arterial oxygen saturation, denoted SP_aO_2, pulse oximetry relies on the differential light absorption of oxygenated hemoglobin, HbO_2, and deoxygenated hemoglobin, Hb, to compute their respective concentrations in the arterial blood. This differential absorption is measured at the red and infrared wavelengths of the sensor. In addition, pulse oximetry relies on the pulsatile nature of arterial blood to differentiate hemoglobin absorption from absorption of other constituents in the surrounding tissues. Light absorption between systole and diastole varies due to the blood volume change from the inflow and outflow of arterial blood at a peripheral tissue site. This tissue site might also comprise skin, muscle, bone, venous blood, fat, pigment, etc., each of which absorbs light. It is assumed that the background absorption due to these surrounding tissues is invariant and can be ignored. Accordingly, blood oxygen saturation measurements are based upon a ratio of the time-varying or AC portion of the detected red and infrared signals with respect to the time-invariant or DC portion. This AC/DC ratio normalizes the signals and accounts for variations in light pathlengths through the measured tissue.

FIG. **1** illustrates the typical operating characteristics of a pulse oximeter. During a calibration phase, the pulse oximeter input gain is adjusted higher to accommodate opaque skin and lower to accommodate translucent skin at the sensor site. Variations in blood perfusion at the sensor site result in variations in input signal strength. The graph **100** shows acceptable input sensitivity as a function of gain. The y-axis **110** represents the signal strength (SS), which is the ratio of the peak-to-peak AC signal to the DC signal, expressed as a percentage. The x-axis **120** represents the gain, which is shown with decreasing values along the x-axis. The graph **100** has an unshaded region **130** representing the acceptable operating range of the pulse oximeter and a shaded region **140** representing conditions outside that operating range, which, when detected, will result in a pulse oximeter "probe off" alarm. The operating region **130** has a floor **150** at relatively low gains, representing the highest sensitivity to patients with low perfusion. Because input noise increases with gain, the operating region also has a corner point **160** below which input sensitivity is noise limited and falls off with increasing gain, i.e. increasing opacity.

A pulse oximeter with the operating characteristics shown in FIG. **1** may fail to detect a probe off condition. This problem occurs when the sensor becomes partially or completely dislodged from the patient, but continues to detect an AC signal within the operating region of the pulse oximeter. Probe off errors are serious because the pulse oximeter may display a normal saturation when, in fact, the probe is not properly attached to the patient, potentially leading to missed desaturation events.

Failure to detect a probe off condition is the result of the sensor detector receiving light directly from the emitters without transmission through the patient's tissue. The pulse oximeter is particularly vulnerable to probe off errors when operating at its highest sensitivity, where even small induced variations in light directly detected from the emitters have sufficient signal strength to be processed as a physiological signal. In a probe off condition, a detector AC signal can be induced by slight changes in the direct light path between the emitters and detector. For example, small amounts of patient motion, such as chest movement from breathing, can induce a probe off AC signal. As another example, "creep" in the sensor configuration, such as a folded sensor gradually returning to its original unfolded shape after becoming dislodged can also induce a probe off AC signal. Further restricting the operating region **130** shown in FIG. **1** can reduce probe off errors. Such restrictions, however, would also severely limit the ability of the pulse oximeter to make saturation measurements on patients with poor perfusion.

The present invention is a monitor-based improvement to detecting the probe off condition described above. Of-course, other methods of detecting the probe-off condition could be combined with the present improvement. In particular, an intelligent, rule-based processor uses signal quality measurements to limit the operating region of the pulse oximeter without significant negative impact on low perfusion performance. These signal-quality operating limits are superimposed on those of FIG. **1** to improve probe off detection. In this manner, the pulse oximeter can reject AC signals that have sufficient signal strength to fall within the operating region **130** of FIG. **1**, but that are unlikely to be a plethysmo-

graph signal. One signal quality measurement that is used is pulse rate density, which is the percentage of time detected pulses satisfy a physiologically acceptable model. Another signal quality measurement is energy ratio, which is the percentage of signal energy that occurs at the pulse rate and its harmonics. The operating region of the pulse oximeter is then defined in terms of signal strength versus gain, signal strength versus PR density and energy ratio versus predefined energy ratio limits.

In one embodiment of the present invention, a probe-off detector has a signal input, a signal quality input and a probe-off output. The signal quality input is dependent on a comparison between a sensor output and a physiological signal model. The probe-off output provides an indication that the sensor may not be properly attached to a tissue site. The detector includes a signal strength calculator, a stored relationship between signal strength and signal quality, and probe-off logic. The signal strength calculator has an input in communications with the sensor signal and provides a signal strength output that is dependent on the time-varying component of the sensor signal. The stored relationship defines an acceptable operating region for the sensor. The probe-off logic includes the signal strength and the signal quality as inputs and provides the probe-off output based on a comparison of the signal strength and the signal quality with the stored relationship.

In one embodiment, the probe-off logic includes a comparator, and in another embodiment, the probe-off logic includes an energy ratio check. In yet another embodiment, the probe-off logic includes a time check that indicates that no acceptable pulses have occurred for a sufficient time period.

In another embodiment of the present invention, a pulse oximetry sensor signal is processed to determine if it is properly attached to a tissue site. The method includes determining a signal strength limit that is dependent on signal quality, calculating a signal strength value from the sensor signal, calculating a signal quality value of the sensor signal and indicating a probe-off condition when the signal strength value is below the signal strength limit for the signal quality value.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. **1** is a graph illustrating minimum signal strength operating limits for a pulse oximeter;

FIGS. **2**A and **2**B are graphs illustrating additional minimum signal strength operating limits for a pulse oximeter, based on signal quality according to the present invention;

FIG. **2**A is a graph of signal quality operating limits for a pulse oximeter in normal input sensitivity mode;

FIG. **2**B is a graph of signal quality operating limits for a pulse oximeter in high input sensitivity mode;

FIG. **3** is a top-level block diagram of a rule-based intelligent processor that provides the signal quality operating limits illustrated in FIGS. **2**A-**2**B;

FIG. **4** is a detailed block diagram of the signal strength calculator portion of FIG. **3**;

FIG. **5** is a detailed block diagram of the probe off logic portion of FIG. **3**; and

FIG. **6** is a detailed block diagram of the signal strength dependent checks portion of FIG. **5**.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

FIGS. **2**A and **2**B illustrate how the operating range of a pulse oximeter is modified based on pulse rate density according to one embodiment of the present invention. Calculation of PR density is disclosed in U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 60/114,127 filed Dec. 30, 1998, and in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/471,510, filed Dec. 23, 1999, entitled "Plethysmograph Pulse Recognition Processor," which are assigned to the assignee of the current application and incorporated by reference herein. The processor described therein has a candidate pulse portion that determines a plurality of potential pulses within the input IR waveform. A physiological model portion of the processor then determines the physiologically acceptable ones of these potential pulses. The processor provides statistics regarding the acceptable pulses. One statistic is pulse density, which is the ratio of the period of acceptable pulses to the duration of a block or "snapshot" of the IR input waveform.

FIG. **2**A shows a graph **200** of signal strength on the y-axis **210** versus PR density on the x-axis **220** for normal sensitivity. The operating region **260** is shown unshaded, and the probe off region **270** is shown shaded. A signal strength floor **230** of 0.02, below which a probe off condition exists for all values of PR density, determines one portion of the operating region **260**. That is, no matter how many of the detected plethysmograph pulses are deemed physiologically acceptable, if the signal strength is less than 0.02, then the pulse oximeter indicates a probe off condition. A signal strength ceiling **250** of 0.25, above which the pulse oximeter is in a valid operating region for all values of PR density, determines another portion of the operating region **260**. That is, signal quality is ignored if signal strength is above 0.25. Between the signal strength ceiling **250** and floor **230**, acceptable signal strength is dependent on PR density. The slope of the boundary **240** defining this relationship is:

$$\text{slope}=-(0.25-0.02)/(0.5-0.2)=-0.23/0.3=-0.7667 \quad (1)$$

Thus, this boundary can be defined by the following equivalent equations:

$$SS=-0.7667\cdot PR\ \text{density}+0.4033 \quad (2)$$

$$PR\ \text{density}=-1.3043\cdot SS+0.5261 \quad (3)$$

FIG. **2**B shows a graph **200** of signal strength on the y-axis **210** versus PR density on the x-axis **220** for high sensitivity. This graph is equivalent to that of FIG. **2**A except that the signal strength ceiling **250** is set at 0.05. Thus, signal quality indicated by PR density is ignored as long as the signal strength is above 0.05.

Another signal quality measure, energy ratio, is also imposed on the operating region as an absolute limit. Energy ratio is the percentage of IR signal energy occurring at the pulse rate and associated harmonics compared to total IR energy. The energy ratio is computed by transforming each block of the IR signal into the frequency domain as is well known in the art. The energy ratio is computed by identifying each peak in the resulting spectrum. In one embodiment, the peaks occurring at the pulse rate and its harmonics are identified and summed. This value is divided by the sum of the magnitudes of all peaks and output as the energy ratio. Note that energy ratio computed in this manner is not a true energy calculation because the calculations are based on the peak magnitudes and not the squared magnitudes of the IR signal. In this embodiment, the minimum energy ratio must be 0.6 if the pulse rate is greater than or equal to 30 and 0.5 otherwise. That is, 60% (or 50% for low pulse rates) of the signal must be at the pulse rate frequency or its harmonics or the pulse oximeter will indicate a probe off condition. A method for calculating the pulse rate used in this calculation is disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 6,002,952, filed Apr. 14, 1997, entitled

"Improved Signal Processing Apparatus and Method," which is assigned to the assignee of the current application and incorporated by reference herein.

FIG. **3** is a block diagram illustrating one embodiment of the improved probe-off detector **300** according to the present invention. The detector has a signal strength calculator **310**, a limit selector **330** and probe-off logic **350**. The signal strength calculator **310** has an IR signal **312** input. This signal is the detected sensor signal after demultiplexing, amplification, filtering and digitization. In a particular embodiment, the IR signal is input to the signal strength calculator **310** at a 62.5 Hz sample rate and in overlapping "snapshots" or blocks of 390 samples, each offset from the previous block by 25 samples. The signal strength calculator **310** creates a signal strength vector output **314** consisting of a set of signal strength scalars for each of these input blocks, as described with respect to FIG. **4** below.

The limit selector **330** has pulse rate **332** and sensitivity mode **334** inputs. When the sensitivity mode input **334** has a value of 1, it indicates that the pulse oximeter is in a normal sensitivity mode, corresponding to FIG. **2**A. A value of 0 indicates the pulse oximeter is in a high sensitivity mode, corresponding to FIG. **2**B. The pulse oximeter operator selects the sensitivity mode. The limit selector **330** also has energy ratio limit **336** and signal strength limit **338** outputs, which are input to the probe off logic **350** as absolute minimums of energy ratio and signal strength below which a probe off condition may be indicated. The relationship between the pulse rate **332** and sensitivity mode **334** inputs and the energy ratio limit **336** and signal strength limit **338** outputs is specified below:

INPUT STATE	SELECTED LIMIT
pulse rate ≧ 30	minimum energy ratio = 0.6
pulse rate < 30	minimum energy ratio = 0.5
sensitivity mode = 0	minimum signal strength = 0.05
sensitivity mode = 1	minimum signal strength = 0.25

The probe off logic **350** has as inputs energy ratio **352**, PR density **354** and signal strength vector **314**. These inputs are compared to the energy ratio limit **336** and signal strength limit **338** outputs from the limit selector **330** to determine the operating region of the pulse oximeter. The probe off logic **350** also has a time fuse input **356**. The time fuse **356** is a counter that indicates the number of IR waveform blocks containing no acceptable pulses. Acceptable pulses are determined as described for the calculation of PR density **354**, above. The time fuse **356** input is −1 if there have been no acceptable pulses in a block since startup. The time fuse **356** is reset to 0 each time no acceptable pulses are detected for an input block. For each block where there are no acceptable pulses, the time fuse **356** is incremented by one. The time fuse enables the energy ratio limit and that portion of the signal strength limits above the floor **230** (FIGS. **2**A-**2**B). This reduces the probability of probe off alarms for transient events. In a particular embodiment, the time fuse **356** is compared to the constants −1 and 5. That is, the energy ratio and signal strength limits are enabled if there have been no acceptable pulses since startup or for more than the previous 5 IR signal blocks.

The probe off logic **350** has a Boolean probe off output **358** that is set to 1 when the probe off logic **350** detects the pulse oximeter is operating outside permissible limits. Otherwise, the probe off output **358** is 0. The probe off output **358** can be used by the pulse oximeter to trigger a probe off alarm and error message to alert medical personnel to inspect and reattach the sensor or take other appropriate action. The probe off logic **350** is described in more detail below with respect to FIG. **5**.

FIG. **4** shows further details of the signal strength calculator **310** (FIG. **3**). Each 390 sample block of the IR signal **312** is initially filtered **410** to remove any trends in the IR signal **312** that could cause an error in the signal strength calculations. In a particular embodiment, the filter **410** is a bandpass FIR filter with cutoff frequencies of 50 Hz and 550 Hz and a 151 tap Kaiser window having a shape parameter of 3.906. As a result, 150 samples are lost from each 390 sample input block. Thus, the filtered IR output **412** consists of 240 sample blocks.

Each 240 sample block of the filtered IR output **412** is converted **430** into multiple overlapping sub-blocks. In a particular embodiment, the sub-blocks each consist of 100 samples, and each sub-block is offset by 10 samples from the previous sub-block. Thus, the sub-block converter **430** creates 15 sub-block outputs **432** for each 240 sample filtered IR block **412**. For each sub-block, a max-min calculation **460** is performed. That is, the minimum sample magnitude in a particular sub-block is subtracted from the maximum sample magnitude in that sub-block. Each max-min output **462** is a single scalar representing the signal strength of a particular sub-block. A scalar-to-vector conversion **490** combines the max-min outputs **462** into a vector output **314** containing multiple signal strength values representing the signal strength of a particular block of the IR signal **312**.

FIG. **5** provides further detail of the probe off logic **350** (FIG. **3**). The probe off logic **350** has three functional checks that each provide a Boolean output. An energy ratio check **510** compares the energy ratio **352** against the energy ratio limit **336** provided by the limit selector **330** (FIG. **3**), specified in the table above. The energy ratio check **510** sets the "poor energy ratio" output **512** if the energy ratio **352** is below the energy ratio limit **336**.

A time fuse check **520** determines if the time fuse **356** indicates no acceptable pulses have occurred in the IR signal **312** (FIG. **3**) for a sufficiently long time period. If so, a timeout output **522** is set. In a particular embodiment, the time fuse check **520** consists of comparators that determine if the time fuse **356** is −1 or greater than 5, indicating no acceptable pulses since startup or for a longer period than the past 5 blocks of IR signal **312**.

The signal strength dependent checks **530** determine if the pulse oximeter is within the operating limits described above with respect to FIGS. **2**A and **2**B. If the signal strength, as determined by the signal strength vector **314**, is below the floor **230** (FIGS. **2**A-B), then the signal strength failure output **534** is set. If the signal strength is above the floor **230** (FIGS. **2**A-B) but otherwise outside the operating region, i.e. within the shaded region **270** (FIGS. **2**A-B) above the floor **230** (FIGS. **2**A-**2**B), then the "poor signal strength" output **532** is set.

A logical AND function **540** sets a "poor signal quality" output **542** if the poor energy ratio **512**, poor signal strength **532** and timeout **522** outputs are set. A logical OR function **550** sets the probe off output **358** if the poor signal quality **542** or the signal strength failure **534** outputs are set.

FIG. **6** shows a particular embodiment of the signal strength dependent checks **530** (FIG. **5**). The signal strength vector **314** is converted **610** into the 15 individual signal strength scalars **612**. Relative checks **620** and absolute checks **630** are performed on each of the 15 scalars **612**. Each relative check **620** determines if signal strength is within the signal

strength limit **338** relative to PR density **354**. That is, each relative check output **622** is set according to the following, see Eq. 3 above:

INPUT STATE	RESULT
SS ≧ SS limit	output = 0
PR density > −1.3043 · SS + 0.5261	output = 0
(SS < SS limit) AND PR density < −1.3043 · SS + 0.5261	output = 1

Each absolute check **630** determines if the signal strength is above the absolute minimum floor **230** (FIGS. **2**A-**2**B). That is, each absolute check output **632** is set according to the following:

INPUT STATE	RESULT
SS ≧ 0.02	output = 0
SS < 0.02	output = 1

The 15 relative check outputs **622** are processed by a sum and compare **660**, which performs an arithmetic sum of these outputs **622**. If the sum is equal or greater than 5, the poor signal strength output **532** is set. That is, poor signal strength is indicated if at least ⅓ of the scalars in the signal strength vector **314** fail their relative checks **620**. Likewise, the 15 absolute check outputs **632** are processed by a sum and compare **670**, which performs an arithmetic sum of these outputs **632**. If the sum is equal or greater than 5, the signal strength failure output **534** is set. That is, a signal strength failure is indicated if at least ⅓ of the scalars in the signal strength vector **314** fail the absolute checks **630**.

This improvement to detecting pulse oximetry probe off conditions has been disclosed in detail in connection with various embodiments of the present invention. These embodiments are disclosed by way of examples only and are not to limit the scope of the present invention, which is defined by the claims that follow. One of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate many variations and modifications within the scope of this invention.

What is claimed is:

1. A probe-off detector providing an indication that a physiological sensor may not be properly positioned proximate a tissue site, said probe-off detector comprising:

probe-off logic configured to receive a signal quality input indicative of an operating region of a sensor; and

a signal strength calculator configured to receive a sensor signal from the sensor and configured to generate a signal strength output dependent on a time-varying component of the sensor signal, wherein the probe-off logic is further configured to provide a probe-off output based on a comparison of the signal strength output and the signal quality input with a stored relationship defining an acceptable operating region of the sensor relative to the signal quality input.

2. The probe-off detector of claim **1**, wherein the probe-off logic comprises a comparator.

3. The probe-off detector of claim **1**, wherein the probe-off logic comprises an energy ratio check.

4. The probe-off detector of claim **1**, wherein the probe-off logic comprises a time check indicating that no acceptable pulses have occurred for a sufficient time period.

5. A method of detecting that a pulse oximetry sensor may not be properly attached to a tissue site by processing a sensor signal, the method comprising:

determining a signal strength limit dependent on a processor input;

calculating a signal strength value from a sensor signal of a pulse oximetry sensor;

calculating a signal quality value of the sensor signal; and

indicating a probe-off condition when the signal strength value is below the signal strength limit corresponding with the signal quality value.

6. The method of claim **5**, wherein the processor input comprises a sensitivity mode.

7. A detector for determining when a physiological sensor may not be properly positioned with respect to a measurement site, the detector comprising a signal strength calculator which processes an input signal expected to be representative of at least one parameter measured by a physiological sensor, to produce an output representative of a strength of the input signal; and logic which indicates that the input signal may not represent the parameter when a predetermined portion of the output is below a signal strength limit for a corresponding signal quality value.

8. The detector of claim **7**, wherein the signal strength limit comprises a floor value below which a probe-off condition exists for all values of the output.

9. A method of determining whether a pulse oximetry sensor is properly connected to a patient, said method comprising the steps of:

receiving a signal strength vector related to a pulse oximetry sensor;

receiving at least one of a signal strength limit and a pulse rate density;

providing an indication of poor signal strength based on said signal strength vector and said at least one of a signal strength limit and a pulse rate density; and

determining whether the pulse oximetry sensor is properly connected to a patient based at least in part on the indication.

10. The method of claim **9**, wherein said at least one of said signal strength limit and said pulse rate density includes said signal strength limit, and wherein said providing the indication further comprises determining a relationship between a signal strength scalar and said signal strength limit, wherein said signal strength scalar is based upon said signal strength vector.

11. The method of claim **10**, wherein said determining a relationship between a signal strength scalar and said signal strength limit comprises determining whether said signal strength scalar is greater than or equal to said signal strength limit.

12. The method of claim **9**, wherein said at least one of said signal strength limit and said pulse rate density includes said pulse rate density, and wherein said providing the indication further comprises determining a relationship between a signal strength scalar and said pulse rate density, wherein said signal strength scalar is based upon said signal strength vector.

13. The method of claim **12**, wherein said determining a relationship between a signal strength scalar and said pulse rate density comprises determining whether said pulse rate density is greater than a function of said signal strength scalar.

14. The method of claim **13**, wherein said function is of the form y=mx+b and wherein b comprises said signal strength scalar.

15. The method of claim **14**, wherein m is approximately −1.30.

16. The method of claim 14, wherein b is approximately 0.53.

17. The method of claim 9, further comprising providing an indication of signal strength failure based on said signal strength vector and said at least one of a signal strength limit and a pulse rate density; and wherein said determining further comprises determining whether the pulse oximetry sensor is properly connected to the patient based on at least one of the indication of poor signal strength and the indication of signal strength failure.

18. The method of claim 17, wherein said providing the indication of signal strength failure further comprises determining a relationship between a signal strength scalar and a floor value, wherein said signal strength scalar is based upon said signal strength vector.

19. The method of claim 18, wherein said determining a relationship comprises determining whether said signal strength scalar is greater than or equal to said floor value.

20. The method of claim 19, wherein said floor value is approximately 0.02.

21. A method of determining a sensor off condition for physiological monitoring system, comprising:

receiving first and second intensity signals from a light-sensitive detector in the sensor which detects light of at least first and second wavelengths attenuated by body tissue carrying pulsing blood;

determining a plurality of signal characteristics of the first and second intensity signals originating from the sensor; and

analyzing the plurality of signal characteristics to determine the sensor off condition based upon a stored relationship between signal strength of said intensity signals and at least one of said signal characteristics.

22. The method of claim 21, wherein said analyzing comprises a rules based evaluation of the plurality of signal characteristics.

23. The method of claim 22, wherein said plurality of signal characteristics comprises at least two of energy ratio, signal strength, pulse rate density, and a counter of the number of portions of the first or second intensity signal that contain no acceptable pulse data.

* * * * *

6,861,639	B2	3/2005	Al-Ali
6,898,452	B2	5/2005	Al-Ali et al.
6,920,345	B2	7/2005	Al-Ali et al.
6,931,268	B1	8/2005	Kiani-Azarbayjany et al.
6,934,570	B2	8/2005	Kiani et al.
6,939,305	B2	9/2005	Flaherty et al.
6,943,348	B1	9/2005	Coffin, IV
6,950,687	B2	9/2005	Al-Ali
6,961,598	B2	11/2005	Diab
6,970,792	B1	11/2005	Diab
6,979,812	B2	12/2005	Al-Ali
6,985,764	B2	1/2006	Mason et al.
6,993,371	B2	1/2006	Kiani et al.
6,996,427	B2	2/2006	Ali et al.
6,999,904	B2	2/2006	Weber et al.
7,003,338	B2	2/2006	Weber et al.
7,003,339	B2	2/2006	Diab et al.
7,015,451	B2	3/2006	Dalke et al.
7,024,233	B2	4/2006	Ali et al.
7,027,849	B2	4/2006	Al-Ali
7,030,749	B2	4/2006	Al-Ali
7,039,449	B2	5/2006	Al-Ali
7,041,060	B2	5/2006	Flaherty et al.
7,044,918	B2	5/2006	Diab
7,067,893	B2	6/2006	Mills et al.
7,096,052	B2	8/2006	Mason et al.
7,096,054	B2	8/2006	Abdul-Hafiz et al.
7,132,641	B2	11/2006	Schulz et al.
7,142,901	B2	11/2006	Kiani et al.
7,149,561	B2	12/2006	Diab
7,186,966	B2	3/2007	Al-Ali
7,190,261	B2	3/2007	Al-Ali
7,215,984	B2	5/2007	Diab
7,215,986	B2	5/2007	Diab
7,221,971	B2	5/2007	Diab
7,225,006	B2	5/2007	Al-Ali et al.
7,225,007	B2	5/2007	Al-Ali
RE39,672	E	6/2007	Shehada et al.
7,239,905	B2	7/2007	Kiani-Azarbayjany et al.
7,245,953	B1	7/2007	Parker
7,254,431	B2	8/2007	Al-Ali
7,254,433	B2	8/2007	Diab et al.
7,254,434	B2	8/2007	Schulz et al.
7,272,425	B2	9/2007	Al-Ali
7,274,955	B2	9/2007	Kiani et al.
D554,263	S	10/2007	Al-Ali
7,280,858	B2	10/2007	Al-Ali et al.
7,289,835	B2	10/2007	Mansfield et al.
7,292,883	B2	11/2007	De Felice et al.
7,295,866	B2	11/2007	Al-Ali
7,328,053	B1	2/2008	Diab et al.
7,332,784	B2	2/2008	Mills et al.
7,340,287	B2	3/2008	Mason et al.
7,341,559	B2	3/2008	Schulz et al.
7,343,186	B2	3/2008	Lamego et al.
D566,282	S	4/2008	Al-Ali et al.
7,355,512	B1	4/2008	Al-Ali
7,371,981	B2	5/2008	Abdul-Hafiz
7,373,193	B2	5/2008	Al-Ali et al.
7,373,194	B2	5/2008	Weber et al.
7,376,453	B1	5/2008	Diab et al.
7,377,794	B2	5/2008	Al Ali et al.
7,377,899	B2	5/2008	Weber et al.
7,383,070	B2	6/2008	Diab et al.
2003/0139656	A1	7/2003	Kiani et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP	0 182 197	A2	11/1985
EP	0 315 040	A1	10/1988
GB	2 061 496	A	10/1980

* cited by examiner


100
130
SIGNAL STRENGTH
110
0.2
0.02
140
160
150
120
GAIN
Max Gain
Opaque
8
Translucent
Min Gain

FIG. 1


SIGNAL STRENGTH
PR DENSITY
0.25
0.05
0.02
0%
20%
50%
100%
200
210
220
230
240
250
260
270

FIG. 2A


SIGNAL STRENGTH
0.25
0.05
0.02
PR DENSITY
0%
20%
50%
100%
200
210
220
230
240
250
260
270

FIG. 2B


300
PROBE OFF LOGIC
350
prob off
358
338
336
energy ratio limit
signal strength limit
LIMIT SELECTOR
330
314
signal strength vector
SIGNAL STRENGTH CALCULATOR
310
354
352
356
312
332
334
energy ratio
PR density
time fuse
IR signal
pulse rate
sensitivity mode

FIG. 3

FIG. 4


310
IR signal
312
BANDPASS FILTER
410
412
SUB-BLOCK CONVERTER
430
MAX/MIN CALCULATION #1
460
MAX-MIN CALCULATION #15
460
462
462
SCALARS TO VECTOR
490
signal strength vector
314
432

FIG. 5


350
ENERGY RATIO CHECK
510
TIME FUSE CHECKS
520
SIGNAL STRENGTH DEPENDENT CHECKS
530
AND
540
OR
550
poor energy ratio
512
time fuse timeout
522
poor signal strength
532
poor signal quality
542
probe off
358
signal strength failure
534
energy ratio
352
energy ratio limit
336
time fuse
356
signal strength vector
314
PR density
354
signal strength limit
338

FIG. 6


poor signal strength
532
signal strength failure
534
660
SUM AND COMPARE
670
SUM AND COMPARE
530
622
622
632
632
620
RELATIVE CHECKS #1
ABSOLUTE CHECKS #1
630
620
RELATIVE CHECKS #15
ABSOLUTE CHECKS #15
630
338
612
612
610
VECTOR TO SCALAR
signal strength limit
PR density
354
signal strength vector
314

专利名称(译)	脉搏血氧仪探测器		
公开(公告)号	US7471969	公开(公告)日	2008-12-30
申请号	US10/721607	申请日	2003-11-25
[标]申请(专利权)人(译)	戴铂MOHAMEDķ ALI AL AMMAR		
申请(专利权)人(译)	戴铂MOHAMED K. ALI AL AMMAR		
当前申请(专利权)人(译)	Masimo公司		
[标]发明人	DIAB MOHAMED K ALI AMMAR AL		
发明人	DIAB, MOHAMED K. ALI, AMMAR AL		
IPC分类号	A61B5/1455 G01N21/35 A61B5/00 A61B5/145		
CPC分类号	A61B5/14551 A61B5/6843 A61B5/7221 A61B2560/0276		
优先权	60/126148 1999-03-25 US		
其他公开文献	US20040158134A1		
外部链接	Espacenet USPTO		

摘要(译)

处理器为脉冲血氧计的信号强度操作区域提供基于信号质量的限制。这些限制叠加在典型的增益相关信号强度限制上。如果生理学上产生传感器信号，则允许脉搏血氧仪以最小信号强度操作，从而最大化低灌注性能。如果传感器信号可能是由于移位的传感器引起的信号，则会提高信号强度要求。因此，信号质量限制增强了探针关闭检测而不显着影响低灌注性能。使用的一种信号质量测量是脉冲速率密度，其定义了生理学上可接受的脉冲发生的时间百分比。如果检测到的信号包含相当大百分比的不可接受的脉冲，则所需的最小信号强度成比例地增加。与脉率密度结合使用的另一种信号质量测量是能量比，计算为脉冲率基波和相关谐波中包含的总能量的百分比。