



US008085370B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Joubert et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 8,085,370 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Dec. 27, 2011**

(54) **SINGLE-POLARIZER REFLECTIVE
BISTABLE TWISTED NEMATIC (BTN)
LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY DEVICE**

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS
EP 1170624 1/2002
(Continued)

(75) Inventors: **Cécile Joubert**, Orsay (FR); **Terry Scheffer**, Hilo, HI (US)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

(73) Assignee: **Nemoptic**, Magny les Hameaux (FR)

Guo, J.X., et al.; "Retardation-Film-Compensated Reflective Bistable Twisted Nematic Liquid-Crystal Displays"; Applied Optics, OSA Optical Society of America, Washington, D.C.; vol. 42, No. 19; Jul. 1, 2003; pp. 3853-3863.

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 594 days.

(Continued)

(21) Appl. No.: **11/920,933**

Primary Examiner — Hemang Sanghavi

(22) PCT Filed: **May 10, 2006**

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm — Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman

(86) PCT No.: **PCT/EP2006/062203**

§ 371 (c)(1),
(2), (4) Date: **Mar. 12, 2009**

(87) PCT Pub. No.: **WO2006/122899**

PCT Pub. Date: **Nov. 23, 2006**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2009/0213312 A1 Aug. 27, 2009

(30) **Foreign Application Priority Data**

May 20, 2005 (EP) 05291087

(51) **Int. Cl.**
G02F 1/1335 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **349/118; 349/120; 349/123**

(58) **Field of Classification Search** None
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**


U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,765,640 B1 7/2004 Acosta et al.
(Continued)

(57) **ABSTRACT**

The application relates to a single-polarizer reflective bistable twisted nematic (BTN) liquid crystal display device comprising a liquid crystal layer of thickness d , birefringence Δn and retardation $\Delta n d$, said liquid crystal layer being situated between two substrates and between a polarizer and a reflector, said liquid crystal layer in the absence of an applied electric field having two stable uniformly twisted textures, the twists of the two stable textures differing by an angle being equal mainly to 180° , and at least one compensation layer positioned between said polarizer and said reflector, said compensation layer being composed of at least one birefringent film, said birefringent film having an optical anisotropy defined by three characteristic refractive indices n_x , n_y , n_z , along three corresponding axis x , y , z of index ellipsoid, x and y being situated inside the substrates plane, n_x and n_y being called in plane indices, with $n_x \geq n_y$, x being called slow axis, and z being perpendicular to the substrates plane with biaxiality parameter N_z equal to $(n_x - n_z)/(n_x - n_y)$, characterized in that N_z lies between $-\infty$ and $1/2$ so that under oblique viewing the retardation of the stable texture corresponding to the dark state is compensated to improve the contrast ratio and simultaneously the retardation of the stable texture corresponding to the bright state is modified to decrease the color shift.

25 Claims, 24 Drawing Sheets



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2001/0012080	A1	8/2001	Barberi et al.	
2003/0128314	A1	7/2003	Dozov et al.	
2004/0125294	A1 *	7/2004	Angele et al.	349/123
2006/0177607	A1 *	8/2006	Ohmori et al.	428/1.31

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

WO	WO 02-27393	4/2002
----	-------------	--------

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Lazarev, Pavel, et al.; "P-6 Submicron Thin Retardation Coating"; SID 01 Digest; vol. XXXII; 2001; pp. 571-573.
Wang, Bin et al.; "Design Optimized Twisted Nematic Liquid Crystal Display"; Journal of Applied Physics, American Institute of Physics; New York; vol. 90, No. 2; Jul. 15, 2001; pp. 552-555.

Cheng, H. et al.; "Optimization of Film Compensated Reflective Bistable Twisted Nematic Liquid Crystal Displays"; Molecular Crystals and Liquid Crystals Science and Technology, Section A, Molecular Crystals and Liquid Crystals, Gordon and Breach Publishers; vol. 369; 2001; pp. 83-94.

Xie, Z.L. et al.; "Optimization of Reflective Bistable Twisted Nematic Displays with Retardation Compensation"; Journal of Applied Physics, American Institute of Physics; Aug. 15, 2000; vol. 88, No. 4; pp. 1718-1721.

Zhou, F. et al.; "P-109: Optimization of Film Compensated Reflective Bistable TN Displays"; 2005 SID International Symposium; Boston, MA., May 24-27, 2005 SID International Symposium, San Jose, CA, May 24, 2005; pp. 714-717.

* cited by examiner


FIG. 1




FIG. 2aFIG. 2bFIG. 3

FIG. 4a




FIG. 4b





FIG. 5



FIG. 6aFIG. 6b


FIG. 7

FIG. 8a




FIG. 8b





FIG. 9

FIG. 10a




FIG. 10b






FIG. 11FIG. 12



FIG. 13aFIG. 13b


FIG. 14

FIG. 15a




FIG. 15b






FIG. 16FIG. 17



FIG. 18FIG. 19



FIG. 20aFIG. 20b



FIG. 21aFIG. 21b

FIG. 22a




FIG. 22b






FIG. 23aFIG. 23b



FIG. 24aFIG. 24b

FIG. 25a




FIG. 25b




FIG. 26a




FIG. 26b








FIG. 27aFIG. 27b

FIG. 28aFIG. 28b

SINGLE-POLARIZER REFLECTIVE BISTABLE TWISTED NEMATIC (BTN) LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY DEVICE

The present patent application is a non-provisional application of International Application No. PCT/EP2006/062203, filed May 10, 2006.

FIELD AND OBJECT OF THE INVENTION

The present invention concerns the field of liquid crystal displays (LCDs) and more specifically a method for improving the optical performance of single-polarizer reflective bistable twisted nematic (π BTN) liquid crystal displays when viewed at oblique angles.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Single-Polarizer Reflective LCDs

Conventional LCDs used in liquid crystal television sets and desktop computer monitors are transmissive displays with polarizers on both sides of the LCD cell. A backlight provides the source of illumination. Such two-polarizer transmissive LCDs can be transformed into two-polarizer reflective LCDs simply by placing a reflector behind the rear polarizer. Ambient light from the front provides the illumination source. However, there are several problems associated with these two-polarizer reflective LCDs. One problem is the appearance of double-imaging when the display is observed obliquely. This is caused by parallax introduced by the thickness of the rear glass substrate causing the reflected image of the pixels to be displaced from the image formed by the pixels themselves. Double imaging becomes a serious problem for higher resolution reflective displays where the pixel dimensions are comparable to the thickness of the rear substrate plate. Parallax can be avoided if the reflector is placed inside the display cell, but then the rear polarizer would also have to be placed inside the cell on top of the reflector and this is not a practical process. But in a single-polarizer LCD no second, internal polarizer is present and the reflector can be easily placed inside the cell by making rear electrodes out of a reflective metal such as aluminum. Double imaging can thus be completely avoided in single-polarizer reflective LCDs.

Improved brightness is another advantage of single-polarizer reflective LCDs. Practical polarizing films absorb a certain amount of light even when the input light is polarized parallel to the polarizer's transmission axis. Since in a single polarizer reflective display the light passes through a polarizer two times instead of passing through a polarizer four times in a two-polarizer reflective display, the single-polarizer display will necessarily appear brighter. This improved brightness can be an enormous advantage when the display is viewed under conditions of low ambient light.

Finally, a conventional two-polarizer reflective design cannot be used if the rear substrate plate is opaque, such as a silicon chip. In this case the only option is to place the reflector

Silicon (LCoS) displays used in some rear projection television sets and near-eye headset applications.

Viewing Angle Problem of LCDs

Nematic liquid crystal (LC) molecules form a uniaxial birefringent medium with the optic axis parallel to the director of the liquid crystal. Nearly all known nematic liquid crystals have positive optical anisotropy where the extraordinary refractive index is larger than the ordinary refractive index. The optical properties of LCDs are generally optimized for light passing perpendicularly to the cell substrates. For a light incident at an oblique angle θ , the birefringence of the liquid crystal will modify the state of light polarization in a different way than for light at normal incidence. This could cause, for example, an increased amount of light leakage in the dark state, degrading the contrast ratio at the oblique viewing angle and making the images look washed out. The color of the bright state of the display can also change depending of the oblique viewing angle, giving familiar images an unnatural appearance. The variation of the optical performance of LCDs with viewing angle is an intrinsic problem of LCDs because of the birefringent nature of liquid crystals.

Birefringent Layers

Much work has been done to improve the optical performance of LCDs at oblique viewing angles. This work has primarily focused on using external birefringent layers, known as compensation films, to cancel the retardation of the LCD in the dark state when viewed at oblique angles. A birefringent medium is a medium having anisotropy in refractive index. In the most general case the medium is biaxial and is fully described by the index ellipsoid (FIG. 1). Three different refractive indices (n_x, n_y, n_z) along the three axes (x, y, z) of the ellipsoid define the optical properties of the medium. By convention we choose z axis perpendicular to the glass substrate plane, and x and y axes inside the substrate plane (in planes axes).

When the biaxial media are fabricated, for example, by stretching plastic films, the z axis is perpendicular to the sheet and the x and y axes are in the plane of the sheet. If the two in-plane indices are equal, $n_x = n_y$, then the medium is uniaxial and the z axis is the optic axis which is perpendicular to the film plane. In this case the uniaxial film is called a C plate. If either one of the in-plane indices (n_x or n_y) and the out-of-plane index n_z are equal then the film is also uniaxial and the optic axis is parallel to the film plane. In this case the uniaxial film is called an A plate. Such C plate and A plates are illustrated in FIGS. 2a and 2b wherein uniaxial film plates are referenced 1.

A common way to characterize a biaxial medium is through the N_z parameter defined as $N_z = (n_x - n_z) / (n_x - n_y)$ with $n_x > n_y$. Classically, the axis corresponding to the larger in-plane index (for us n_x) is called slow axis and the axis corresponding to smaller in-plane index (for us n_y) is called fast axis. The choice $n_x > n_y$ is an arbitrary choice just to distinguish between the larger and the smaller in-plane index.

Table 1 summarizes the different kinds of birefringent media and their associated N_z values.

TABLE 1

relationship between N_z and type of birefringent medium						
N_z	$-\infty$	0	1	$+\infty$		
	$n_z > n_x = n_y$	$n_x = n_z > n_y$	$n_x > n_y = n_z$	$n_x > n_y > n_z$	$n_x = n_y > n_z$	
	C+	A-	A+	Biaxial		C-

tor inside the cell. Single-polarizer displays have therefore found broad applications in high resolution Liquid Crystal on

The optical retardation R for a uniaxial medium where, for example $n_x = n_y = n_o$, is defined as $R = (n_z - n_o) \cdot d$, where d is the

thickness of the uniaxial film and n_o is the ordinary index of refraction. Optical retardation is generally given in units of nanometers, or nm. A biaxial film is characterized by two retardation values, for example $(n_x - n_y) \cdot d$ and $(n_x - n_z) \cdot d$.

Uniaxial A plates and C plates can also be composed of uniformly aligned liquid crystal molecules. Most nematic liquid crystals are uniaxially positive and thus can form A+ or C+ plates depending upon whether the optic axis is oriented parallel or perpendicular to the substrate plane. Discotic liquid crystals are uniaxially negative and thus can form A- or C- plates depending upon whether the optic axis is oriented parallel or perpendicular to the substrate plane. These birefringent oriented liquid crystal layers could either be contained between two substrate plates or they could consist of polymerized liquid crystals forming a free-standing, oriented film of their own. Still other retardation films are known which can be generated by exposing certain types of photopolymers to polarized light which could either be located on the inner surfaces of the substrate plates containing the active liquid crystal material or on the outer surfaces of the substrate plates. Still other retardation films are known which can be deposited on the substrate surfaces through a coating process (Lazarev et al. 1991 SID Digest of Technical Papers p 571-3).

Polarizing films currently available in the market are made of an oriented anisotropically absorbing sheet laminated between two plastic carrier substrates.

Nearly all commercially available polarizers use Tri Acetyl Cellulose (TAC) as a carrier substrate which is known to be a film which is uniaxial or very slightly biaxial having a negative optical anisotropy (Han, Journal of the SID, 3/1, 1995 p 15). FIG. 3 shows two TAC substrates 10 and 12 provided on either side of an absorbing layer 14. The optic axis of a TAC film is perpendicular to the plane of the layer, so it is a negative C plate, with typical retardation $(n_z - n_o) \cdot d$ of -40 nm to -55 nm. This type of polarizer is coated with a pressure sensitive adhesive (PSA) and is intended to be laminated on the outside of the substrate plates containing the active liquid crystal material.

Other polarizing films are known which can be coated on inside of the substrate plates containing the liquid crystal material (Ohyaama et al. 2004 SID Digest of Technical Papers p 1106-1109). In this case no TAC layer is present. If the polarizer is coated on the inside of the substrate plate it can be located either underneath the electrode or on top of the electrode.

Monostable TN Displays

The most commonly used liquid crystal display mode is the 90° Twisted Nematic (TN) mode. In the absence of an applied electric field the liquid crystal molecules are parallel to the substrate plane and adopt a 90° twisted structure which rotates the plane of polarized light by 90°. When an electric field is applied, the molecules tilt out of the substrate plane according to the field strength, and the layer untwists to a state which does not rotate the plane of polarized light. When such a layer is placed between two crossed polarizers, for example, the display transmission will vary according to the applied voltage. When the field is removed the liquid crystal layer returns to its original 90° twisted texture.

The TN display is an example of a monostable display, which means that if the drive signals are turned off, no image will be displayed and the screen will appear blank because the liquid crystal returns to its single, monostable texture. Monostable displays must be continuously updated in order to see the image, even if the image itself does not change. This is a disadvantage for displays designed for portable equip-

ment since continuously updating the display requires a significant amount of power which puts undue strain on the battery lifetime.

The supertwisted nematic (STN) display is another type of a monostable TN liquid crystal display. It has a twist angle of approximately 240° in the absence of an electric field. Like the 90° TN display, the strength of an applied electric field controls the angle that the liquid crystal molecules tilt out of the substrate plane.

Compensating Monostable TN Displays to Improve Range of Oblique Viewing Angles

In the publication Han, Journal of the SID, Mar. 1, 1995 p 15 it was noted that the negative optical anisotropy present in the TAC layers of commercial polarizing films actually improved the viewing angle characteristics of the TN display. To further improve the viewing angle characteristics of the TN display Mori proposed to compensate the black, driven state of the display with compensation layers made of polymerized negative birefringent discotic liquid crystal molecules having a nonuniform, splayed optic axis orientation throughout the layer that mirrors the liquid crystal director orientation near the boundaries of the driven TN cell (Mori IDW '96 p 189 or Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 36 1997 p 143).

STN displays are generally compensated with uniaxially stretched polymer films. The primary purpose of these birefringent films is to remove the intrinsic STN coloration and make it possible for the pixels to switch from black to white—a prerequisite if a full color display is to be realized using color mosaic filters. The compensation films also improve the range of viewing angles, but the range is never as wide as with the compensated TN displays.

Other Monostable Displays and Optical Compensation

Other LCD modes have recently been developed like OCB (Optically Compensated Birefringence) or VA (Vertically Aligned). For example OCB display can be compensated with biaxial film with $N_z > 1$ and specific retardations adapted to the OCB LC mode (C. L. Kuo et al., SID 94 p 927-930, see p 928 table 3). To calculate N_z in this publication, it is important to consider the greater index in the substrate plane in the N_z formula:

$$N_z = \frac{n(\text{greater in-plane index}) - n_z}{n(\text{greater in-plane index}) - n(\text{smaller in-plane index})}$$

In this invention the convention is n_x is the greater in plane index and n_y is the smaller in plane index, $n_x > n_y$ (arbitrary choice). In the cited publication, the greater, in plane index is called n_y . Thus in the publication, to calculate N_z by applying our formula, it is necessary to change n_x by n_y and n_y by n_x . It can be seen that in the publication, the n_z value is always smaller than n_x and n_y , meaning the $N_z > 1$ case. Same case for the publication by Y. Yamaguchi et al (SID 93 p 277-280, table 1 and table 2). In this publication, n_x is chosen greater than n_y .

It is well known that each LC mode can be optically compensated by dedicated compensation film. Specific parameters of birefringent films have to be optimised for compensation of each LC mode: number and type of birefringent film (uniaxial C or A plate positive or negative, biaxial), retardation values in nm (one retardation value for uniaxial, two retardation values for biaxial), angular orientation of optical axis for uniaxial plates or index ellipsoid axis for biaxial plates. A lot of literature has been published concerning the optical compensation of LC modes, and the CIB class 1/13363 is exclusively dedicated to this topic.

Bistable TN Displays

Another class of nematic LCDs are those that exhibit bistable, multistable or metastable behavior. In this class, the liquid crystal layer can assume at least two distinct textures

that are either stable or metastable in the absence of an applied electric field. To switch between the two textures, suitable electronic signals are applied to electrodes that are positioned on each side of the liquid crystal layer. Once a particular texture is created, it persists in the absence of an applied electric field thanks to its bistability. The ability of bistable LCDs to store images in the absence of an applied electric field means that the display does not need to be continuously updated at a high rate if the displayed information does not change. This dramatically reduces the power consumption of the display and makes bistable displays attractive for portable devices where battery lifetime is of paramount importance.

In bistable TN displays the two textures consist of uniformly twisted, substantially planar nematic structures, each having a different twist angle. The basic cell structure of a single-polarizer, reflective bistable twisted nematic (BTN) LCD is shown in FIG. 4a. The cell comprises a layer 38 of chiral nematic liquid crystal, having a uniform twist, between two substrate plates—a rear substrate 30 and a front substrate 22 which faces the viewer 20. Front and rear electrodes 26, 34 positioned on the substrates 22, 30 allow electrical command signals to be applied to the chiral nematic liquid crystal 38 situated between them. A reflector 32 is positioned between the liquid crystal layer 38 and the rear substrate 30. The reflector 32 can be positioned between the transparent electrode 34 and the rear substrate 30, but electrically isolated from the electrode 34. The reflector 32 could, for example, be a multilayer dielectric mirror. The reflector 32 could also be made out of a conducting, reflective material such as aluminum. The electrode 34 and the reflector 32 can be merged in this case. Either of the above designs would not have double-imaging since the reflector would be inside the cell. Alternatively, if the rear electrode 34 and rear substrate 30 are both made of transparent materials, then the reflector 32 could be placed external to the LC cell (FIG. 4b). Alignment layers 28, 36 deposited on the electrodes 26, 34 orient the liquid crystal molecules 38 at the two boundary surfaces to give the desired twist angles for the two bistable textures. A single polarizer 24 situated on the front substrate 22 makes it possible to obtain a bright optical state and a dark optical state, each state being associated with one or the other of the two bistable textures. The polarizer 24 is generally situated external to the LC cell, as shown in FIGS. 4a and 4b, but it could also be situated inside the LC cell. If the display were designed for color, then color filters (not shown) would also be present on the inside of one of the substrates 22, 30. On FIGS. 4a and 4b, the layer thickness of the chiral nematic liquid crystal or cell gap is referenced “d”.

The optical parameters of a single-polarizer, reflective BTN liquid crystal display are (FIG. 5):

the liquid crystal layer retardation $\Delta n \cdot d$ defined as the product of the liquid crystal birefringence Δn and the cell gap d,

the angle ϕ_F that the liquid crystal director 42 on the inner surface of the front substrate makes with the x'-axis. By convention we set the director parallel to the x'-axis, which makes $\phi_F=0$.

the angle ϕ_R that the liquid crystal director 40 on the inner surface of the rear substrate makes with the x'-axis. Angles are defined as positive when the director is rotated away from the x' axis in a counterclockwise sense.

the polarizer angle P that the absorption axis of the front polarizer 24 makes with the x' axis. Angles are defined as positive when the absorption axis is rotated away from the x' axis in a counterclockwise sense.

Two types of Bistable Twisted Nematic (BTN) displays have been developed that each have two uniformly twisted textures in the absence of an applied field. These two types are known as π BTN displays and 2π BTN displays. They will be described in further detail below.

π BTN Display Called BiNem Display

The π BTN display, also called the BiNem display, has been proposed by Dozov (U.S. Pat. No. 6,327,017). The two stable states of the BiNem display are uniformly twisted nematic textures which, for the case of strong azimuthal anchoring, differ in total twist angle by 180° , hence the name π BTN. Because finite anchoring energy, elasticity or slippage, the two stable states can differ in total twist angle by an angle slightly smaller than 180° , typically between 150° and 180° . Because the two textures are topologically inequivalent, a breaking of the anchoring on one of the surface alignment layers is necessary to switch from one texture to the other (see FIGS. 6a and 6b). This breaking of the anchoring in the BiNem display is obtained by applying an electric field perpendicular to the substrates. Kwok (U.S. Pat. No. 6,784,955) describes a π BTN embodiment where the two textures are switched by an electric field having two components, one parallel and one perpendicular to the substrates.

On FIG. 6a anchoring breaking of the LC molecules is illustrated on the side of a weak anchoring orientation layer 36, the other orientation layer 28 having strong anchoring properties. On the same FIG. 6a, the front transparent Indium-Tin Oxide (ITO) electrode is referenced as 26 and the rear electrode 34 is a reflective metallic material such as aluminum which also serves as the reflector. Another option is to have a transparent electrode 34 and a reflector 32 isolated electrically but both situated between the liquid crystal layer 38 and the rear substrate 30, as shown on FIG. 4a. Alternatively, if the rear electrode 34 and rear substrate 30 are both made of transparent materials, as shown on FIG. 4b, then the reflector 32 could be placed external to the LC cell (FIG. 6b).

The low twisted texture (twist ϕ_U) is called the U texture and the high twisted texture (twist $\phi_T=\phi_U\pm\pi$) is called the T texture. In order to equalize the energy of the two textures, the d/p ratio is given by $d/p \approx 0.25 + \phi_U/2\pi$, where d is the liquid crystal layer thickness or cell gap and p is the intrinsic pitch of the chiral nematic liquid crystal.

Many optimized optical modes for single-polarizer, reflective π BTN displays having both high brightness and high contrast ratio for normal incidence viewing have been proposed by Kwok (US 2003/0076455 A1) and Osterman et al. (Eurodisplay 2002, p. 479-482). These modes have certain layer twist angles, polarizer angles and liquid crystal layer retardations which have been optimized for perpendicular viewing.

Intrinsic Viewing Angle Performance

One of Osterman's single-polarizer, reflective π BTN modes (called mode no. 1-1) is depicted by the optical stack shown in FIG. 7. The optical stack comprises a reflector 32, a liquid crystal layer 38 and a polarizer 24. The viewer 20 is positioned on the opposite side of the reflector with respect to the liquid crystal layer. The polarizer 24 can be either laminated onto the front LCD glass substrate or coated on the inside of the LC cell. The layer twist angles for the U and T textures are -5.7° and 174.3° . The 1-1 mode has a retardation $\Delta n \cdot d$ of 137.8 nm. There are two possible polarizer angles for mode 1-1: the one presented by Osterman and another one obtained by rotating the polarizer through an angle of 90° . These two polarizer configurations give the same optical performance when the display is viewed perpendicularly, but can give quite different optical performance when the display is viewed obliquely. Since the present invention deals with

improving optical performance at oblique viewing, it is imperative to consider both polarizer orientations. Using the sign convention of FIG. 5 the two polarizer angles for Osterman's 1-1 mode are $P=-41.3^\circ$ and $P=+48.7^\circ$.

The contrast ratio and color shift characteristics for oblique viewing of the display are conveniently represented on conoscopic figures which indicate the contrast ratio and color shift in the form of isolines over the viewing hemisphere. The enclosed figures are simulated using a state-of-the-art simulation software called LCD Master available from Shintech, Japan. For simplicity, the birefringence of the liquid crystal and the compensators is assumed to have no wavelength dispersion and an ideal polarizer and ideal reflector are assumed as well. In the conoscopic figures presented in the present patent the polar viewing angle of incidence is indicated by moving radially in the figure starting at the center for viewing the display straight-on and extending to the periphery for viewing the display at the near grazing polar angle of incidence of 80° . The azimuthal viewing direction is indicated by moving tangentially along the circular lines from 0° to 360° . These diagrams are routinely generated by state-of-the-art LCD simulation software and LCD optical characterization instruments. For contrast ratio, isolines of equal luminous contrast are plotted assuming an equal energy light source, which is an ideal white source having a constant intensity over the visible spectrum. The luminous contrast is defined as the luminous reflectance of the bright state divided by the luminous reflectance of the dark state. The luminous reflectance is the value of the reflectance which has been integrated over the spectral sensitivity curve of the human eye, otherwise known as the photopic reflectance. For color shift, isolines of equal chromaticity difference ΔC are plotted where the reference color is the normal incidence color. One unit of ΔC can be considered to be a just noticeable color difference ΔC is defined by:

$$\Delta C = \sqrt{(u_2^* - u_1^*)^2 + (v_2^* - v_1^*)^2},$$

where the subscript 1 refers to the u^* and v^* color coordinates at normal incidence and the subscript 2 refers to those coordinates at other oblique angles of incidence. u^* and v^* are defined by:

$$u^* = 13L^*(u' - u'_n)$$

$$v^* = 13L^*(v' - v'_n)$$

$$L^* = 116 \left[\frac{Y}{Y_n} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}} - 16$$

where the subscript n refers to the value corresponding to nominally white light. As usual

$$u' = \frac{4X}{X + 15Y + 3Z}$$

and

$$v' = \frac{9Y}{X + 15Y + 3Z}$$

where X, Y and Z are the tristimulus values.

FIG. 8 shows conoscopic contrast ratio (8a) and conoscopic color shift (8b) diagrams for the example of the single polarizer, reflective configuration illustrated in FIG. 7 where $P=-41.3^\circ$. On FIG. 8a iso-contrast ratio contours from center outward are: 70, 60, 50, 40, 30, 20 and 10. It is seen from FIG.

8a that a contrast ratio greater than 10:1 over the full 0° - 360° azimuthal range is achieved for polar angles θ extending out to a maximum value of 49° . We call this angle $\theta_{\max}$. For polar angles larger than $\theta_{\max}$ there are some azimuthal viewing angles where the contrast ratio is less than 10:1. On FIG. 8b the iso-color difference contours ΔC from center outward are: 2, 4, 6 and 8. In this diagram the maximum color shift, which we call $\Delta C_{\max}$, is 6.7. Of course the complete conoscopic figures give many more details, but much of the important information can be summarized by the two numbers $\theta_{\max}$ and $\Delta C_{\max}$. This notation will be used throughout the rest of the description of this invention.

This restricted range of viewing angles to achieve a 10:1 contrast ratio for the configuration illustrated in FIG. 7 is adequate, but leaves room for improvement. It would also be desirable to reduce the amount of color shift since a $\Delta C_{\max}$ of 6.7 would be quite noticeable.

As mentioned previously, rotating the polarizer by 90° results in the same display performance for perpendicular viewing but different performance when viewed obliquely. Table 2 compares $\theta_{\max}$ and $\Delta C_{\max}$ for these two polarizer configurations where, for this case, the performance is nearly identical.

TABLE 2

comparison of the oblique optical performance of mode 1-1 of the single-polarizer reflective π BTN display for the two different polarizer configurations.

Config. No.	P angle	$\theta_{\max}$	$\Delta C_{\max}$
#1	-41.3	49°	6.7
#2	$+48.7$	48°	7.0

Influence of TAC Substrates

As explained earlier, commercially available polarizers have birefringent TAC carrier substrates on both sides (FIG. 3) However, only the TAC substrate 12 between the LC layer and the polarizer film 14 will influence the optical performance and needs to be considered.

FIG. 9 shows an optical stack, comprising a polarizer 24 with $P=-41.3^\circ$, a TAC substrate 25 with a typical TAC retardation value of $(n_z - n_o) \cdot d = -55$ nm, a π BTN liquid crystal 38 with a retardation of $\Delta n \cdot d = 137.8$ nm, a U twist $= -5.7^\circ$ and a T twist $= 174.3^\circ$ and a reflector 32. Table 3 presents $\theta_{\max}$ and $\Delta C_{\max}$ for the stack of FIG. 9 as well as for the other polarizer angle of 48.7° for comparison. Again, the performance is nearly identical. However in comparing table 3 with table 2 for the intrinsic π BTN display it is seen that the TAC layer significantly worsens the oblique viewing performance by dramatically narrowing $\theta_{\max}$ and increasing the maximum color shift $\Delta C_{\max}$.

TABLE 3

comparison of the oblique optical performance of mode 1-1 of the single-polarizer reflective π BTN display with one TAC layer for the two different polarizer configurations.

Config. No.	P angle	$\theta_{\max}$	$\Delta C_{\max}$
#1	-41.3	38°	18.6
#2	$+48.7$	38°	19.1

FIG. 10 shows the simulated conoscopic figures corresponding to the stack example of FIG. 9. Comparing with FIG. 8 for the intrinsic π BTN it is seen in more detail how the TAC layer significantly narrows the display viewing cone and

increases the color shift. This is quite the opposite behavior compared with conventional TN displays (Han, Journal of the SID, 3/1, 1995 p 15) where the TAC films actually improve the viewing angle characteristics.

2 π BTN Display Called Berreman Display

The 2 π BTN display was first introduced by Berreman (U.S. Pat. No. 4,239,345) and later by Tanaka (U.S. Pat. No. 5,900,852). The twist angle of the initial texture is $\phi+\pi$, and after a reset pulse, two planar metastable textures can be obtained having respectively ϕ and $\phi+2\pi$ twist for the case of strong azimuthal anchoring of the director at the substrate surfaces. The total twist angles of the two metastable textures thus differ by 2π (see FIG. 11). Switching between the two metastable textures is accomplished by applying an electric field perpendicular to the plane of the substrates. From various studies, it is known that the d/p ratio to achieve bistability in a 2 π BTN display is given by $d/p \approx 0.5 + \phi/2\pi$.

Intrinsic Viewing Angle Performance

Optimized optical modes for single polarizer, reflective 2 π BTN displays having both high brightness and high contrast ratio for normal incidence viewing have been proposed by Tang et al. *J. Appl. Phys.* 87, 632-637 (2000) and Guo et al., *Applied Optics* 42(19) 3853-3863 (2003). One of these modes, which Guo refers to as mode 1, is illustrated on FIG. 12.

FIG. 12 shows a stack including a polarizer **24** with $P=24.24^\circ$ and a 2 π BTN liquid crystal layer **38** with retardation $\Delta n \cdot d = 310.8$ nm and twist angles of -67.2° and $+292.8^\circ$ and a reflector **32**.

Table 4 presents $\theta_{\max}$ and $\Delta C_{\max}$ for the stack of FIG. 12 as well as for the other polarizer angle of -65.76° where the polarizer has been rotated by 90° . Configuration 1 with the 24.24° polarizer angle gives the best performance of the two, but poorer performance in comparison with the intrinsic π BTN performance given table 2. $\theta_{\max}$ is narrower for the 2 π BTN layer (43° vs. 49°) and $\Delta C_{\max}$ is much larger (32.9 vs. 6.7).

TABLE 4

comparison of the oblique optical performance of mode 1 of Guo's single-polarizer reflective 2 π BTN display for the two different polarizer configurations.			
Config. No.	P angle	$\theta_{\max}$	$\Delta C_{\max}$
#1	24.24°	43°	32.9
#2	-65.76°	32°	35.0

The optical performance of configuration 1 is presented in FIG. 13 where it is seen that the optical performance of Guo's 2 π BTN mode 1 is inferior to the corresponding intrinsic π BTN case shown in FIG. 8. Furthermore, the contrast ratio for perpendicular viewing is only 33 for mode 1 of the 2 π BTN display whereas it is 72 for mode 1-1 of the π BTN display. Influence of TAC Film

Adding a TAC layer to the mode 1 2 π BTN display further worsens its oblique viewing performance. FIG. 14 shows a 2 π BTN optical stack comprising a reflector **32**, a 2 π BTN liquid crystal layer **38** with retardation $\Delta n \cdot d = 310.8$ nm and twist angles of -67.2° and $+292.8^\circ$, a -55 nm TAC layer **25** and a polarizer **24** with $P=24.24^\circ$. The viewer **20** is positioned on the opposite side of the reflector with respect to the liquid crystal layer **38**.

Table 5 presents $\theta_{\max}$ and $\Delta C_{\max}$ for the stack of FIG. 14. Configuration 1 with the 24.24° polarizer angle gives the best performance of the two.

TABLE 5

comparison of the oblique optical performance of mode 1 of Guo's single-polarizer reflective 2 π BTN display with one TAC layer for the two different polarizer configurations.			
Config. No.	P angle	$\theta_{\max}$	$\Delta C_{\max}$
#1	24.24°	38°	37.9
#2	-65.76°	31°	39.2

The optical performance of configuration 1 is presented in FIG. 15.

Optical Compensation of BTN Displays

Osterman (IDW '02, p 101-103) proposed placing a simple uniaxial quarter-wave retardation film (in plane (x,y) retardation equal $\lambda/4$, no out of plane (x,z) retardation because uniaxial) with optical axis making an angle of 45° with respect to the liquid crystal director situated on the viewer side of the device, either inside or outside a reflective π BTN display. This birefringent film is an exact quarter wave plate, which transforms linear polarization into circular one, because of retardation value $\lambda/4$ combined with angular positioning between optical axis and entrance polarizer of 45° . The best transformation is obtained when the retardation $\lambda/4$ is taken with green light (maximum eye sensitivity), in this case $\lambda = 550$ nm. The object of Osterman's work was to optimize a single-polarizer reflective π BTN display for high contrast ratio and high brightness at normal incidence viewing. No attempt was made to optimize the retarder for high contrast ratio or reduced color shift at oblique viewing angles.

The principle of optical compensation of 2 π BTN LC mode is suggested in patent EP 1170624 from Rolic. This patent is related to domain stabilized 2 π BTN with specific patterned alignment layer. In §[0067], it is explained that the invention can be combined with "optical compensation layers" which can be "liquid crystalline thin films (uniaxial or twisted), stretched polymer films or of combinations of such films", "to improve brightness and/or contrast". Nothing more is described concerning the said compensation film, the sentence has a very general purpose and is obvious, because it is well known that any LC mode, including 2 π BTN, can be optically improved by the use of compensation film. Moreover, this patent is related to a 2 π BTN device comprising two polarizers, as it is said in the abstract.

As we previously said, single-polarizer reflective BTN displays with conventional birefringent retarders are known, but the retarders have not been optimized with the intent of improving the viewing angle. Kwok, for example, (Journal of Applied Optics vol. 88 No. 4 p 1718) published adding a quarter wave positive A plate to the reflector side of a 2 π BTN display cell so that it would operate with high contrast. Similarly, Guo (Applied Optics vol. 42 No. 19, 2003, p 3853) proposed adding a plurality of half-wave and full-wave plates to the observer side of a 2 π BTN display cell to obtain a high contrast, single-polarizer reflective display. The Guo birefringent film, which can be waveplate (retardation= λ) or half waveplate (retardation= $\lambda/2$), are, like for Ostermann case, defined with λ in the green area. The half wave has the function to rotate a linear polarization, and the best mode is when the half wave plate is defined with green light. So Guo uses birefringent film with retardation 550 nm and 275 nm (see p 3856).

The goal of these compensations was to improve the contrast ratio and reflectivity for observation at normal incidence. No attempt was made to optimize for high contrast ratio or reduced color shift at oblique viewing angles. Guo only shows that the viewing-angle properties of his compensation

scheme designed for normal incidence remains almost unchanged compared to the case without compensation for one case, and clearly explain that the viewing angle performance is "slightly narrower for compensated mode" (see p 3860). So the skilled person has no incitation to consider this document to improve viewing angle performance at oblique incidence of 2π BTN displays.

U.S. Pat. No. 6,765,640 also calculates a retarder optimized for reflective 2π BTN display at normal incidence. Like in the other publications, half and quarter wave plates are considered, also defined with green light: the used half wave plate is equal to 270 nm (540 nm/2; column 7) and the quarter wave plate is equal to 132.5 nm (530/4; column 8). Optical performances are only given at normal incidence.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The object of the present invention is to obtain a single-polarizer reflective π BTN liquid crystal display with high contrast ratio and minimal color shift over a wide range of oblique viewing angles.

After long analysis, the inventors have determined that this object may be achieved by the addition of one or more special compensation layers.

As shown in FIGS. 8, 10, 13 and 15 and in tables 2, 3, 4 and 5, the range of viewing angles which have a high contrast and minimal color shift is rather limited for the single-polarizer reflective BTN embodiments. In fact, unlike the monostable TN display, the acceptable viewing angle range is further degraded by the TAC substrates present on commercial polarizers. The planar, uniformly twisted bistable textures of BTN displays are quite different from the texture of the driven TN state, and therefore have quite different optical properties for obliquely incident light. For this reason birefringent compensation layers developed to improve contrast ratio and reduce color shift at oblique viewing angles for TN displays would not be suitable for use with BTN displays.

The present invention proposes to improve the off-axis optical performance of single-polarizer reflective π BTN displays by positioning at least one new compensation layer between the polarizer and the reflector.

More precisely the present invention proposes a single-polarizer reflective bistable twisted nematic (π BTN) liquid crystal display device comprising a liquid crystal layer of thickness d , birefringence Δn and retardation $\Delta n d$, said liquid crystal layer being situated between two substrates and between a polarizer and a reflector, said liquid crystal layer in the absence of an applied electric field having two stable uniformly twisted textures, the twists of the two stable textures differing by an angle being equal mainly to 180° , and at least one compensation layer positioned between said polarizer and said reflector, said compensation layer being composed of at least one birefringent film, said birefringent film having an optical anisotropy defined by three characteristic refractive indices n_x , n_y , n_z , along three corresponding axis x , y , z of index ellipsoid, x and y being situated inside the substrates plane, n_x and n_y being called in plane indices, with $n_x \geq n_y$, x being called slow axis, and z being perpendicular to the substrates plane with biaxiality parameter N_z equal to $(n_x - n_z)/(n_x - n_y)$, characterized in that N_z lies between $-\infty$ and $1/2$ so that under oblique viewing the retardation of the stable texture corresponding to the dark state is compensated to improve the contrast ratio and simultaneously the retardation of the stable texture corresponding to the bright state is modified to decrease the color shift.

In the present specification, "stable" is used to define textures which are considered as definitively stable in the

absence of an applied electric field, while "metastable" is used to define textures which may change after a long time, in the absence of an applied electric field.

Each retarder or birefringent film is thus either a uniaxial positive C plate ($n_z > n_x = n_y$ with $N_z = -\infty$), a uniaxial negative A plate ($n_x = n_z > n_y$ with $N_z = 0$) or a biaxial plate having either $N_z < 0$ or $0 < N_z < 1/2$.

Various embodiments of the invention are proposed. In accordance with the present invention, the compensation layer could consist of a single retarder of the desired retardation or it could consist of a plurality of retarders whose total retardation when added together equals the desired retardation. The retarders composing the compensation layer could also be of different types, such as for example a combination of an A+ plate and a C+ plate.

According to alternative features of the invention:

the device comprises a plurality of compensation layers.
a rear electrode is a reflective metallic material which also serves as the reflector.

switching between the two textures is obtained by applying an electric field having at least one component perpendicular to the plane of the liquid crystal cell substrates. the twists of the two stable textures differing by an angle being equal mainly to π is between 150° and 180° .

the birefringent film is constructed by stretching plastic films.

the birefringent film is constructed with polymerized liquid crystal molecules.

the birefringent film is constructed by exposing photopolymers to polarized light.

the birefringent film is deposited on the substrate surfaces through a coating process.

the birefringent film is part of the polarizer.

the d/p ratio is $d/p = 0.25 + \phi/2\pi$, ϕ being the twist of the lower twisted texture.

the liquid crystal layer retardation is equal to $\lambda/4$, λ being a wavelength of the visible spectrum (350 to 700 nm), meaning a layer retardation between 90 nm and 175 nm.

The present invention relates also to a process of manufacturing a bistable twisted nematic (π BTN) liquid crystal display device, wherein said process comprising the steps of providing a liquid crystal layer of thickness d , birefringence Δn and retardation $\Delta n d$, situated between two substrates and between a polarizer and a reflector, said liquid crystal layer in the absence of an applied electric field having two stable uniformly twisted textures, the twists of the two stable textures differing by an angle being equal mainly to 180° , and providing at least one compensation layer positioned between said polarizer and said reflector, said compensation layer being composed of at least one birefringent film or retarder, said birefringent film having an optical anisotropy defined by three characteristic refractive indices n_x , n_y , n_z , along three corresponding axis x , y , z of index ellipsoid, x and y being situated inside the substrates plane, n_x and n_y being called in plane indices, with $n_x \geq n_y$, x being called slow axis, and z being perpendicular to the substrates plane with biaxiality parameter N_z equal to $(n_x - n_z)/(n_x - n_y)$, said process being characterized in that N_z lies between $-\infty$ and $1/2$ so that under oblique viewing the retardation of the stable texture corresponding to the dark state is compensated to improve the contrast ratio and simultaneously the retardation of the stable texture corresponding to the bright state is modified to decrease the color shift.

Other features and advantages of the present invention are disclosed in the following detailed description as well on the enclosed drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 illustrates the refractive index ellipsoid of a biaxial medium according to the state of the art.

FIG. 2a illustrates a C+ plate uniaxial film according to the state of the art and FIG. 2b illustrates a A+ plate uniaxial film according to the state of the art.

FIG. 3 illustrates the architecture of commercially available polarizers according to the state of the art.

FIG. 4a illustrates a single-polarizer reflective π BTN cell structure according to the state of the art and FIG. 4b illustrates a single-polarizer reflective π BTN cell structure according to another embodiment of the state of the art.

FIG. 5 illustrates the definition of x'y'z' coordinate system and main optical parameters of a single-polarizer reflective π BTN cell structure according to the state of the art.

FIG. 6a illustrates the principle of a single-polarizer reflective π BTN display according to the state of the art and FIG. 6b illustrates the principle of a single-polarizer reflective π BTN display according to another embodiment of the state of the art.

FIG. 7 illustrates an example of an optical stack for a single-polarizer reflective π BTN display according to the state of the art.

FIG. 8 illustrates optical performance of single-polarizer reflective π BTN display according to the state of the art, shown in FIG. 7. More precisely, FIG. 8a illustrates an iso-contrast figure with iso-contrast ratio contours from center outward of 70, 60, 50, 40, 30, 20 and 10, while FIG. 8b illustrates color shift conoscopic diagram showing the iso-color shift contours from center outward of 2, 4 and 6.

FIG. 9 illustrates an example of an optical stack for a single-polarizer reflective π BTN display according to the state of the art, taking into account the TAC substrate of commercially available polarizers

FIG. 10 illustrates optical performance of single-polarizer reflective π BTN configuration according to the state of the art, including the TAC polarizer substrate as illustrated in FIG. 9. More precisely, FIG. 10a illustrates an iso-contrast figure with iso-contrast ratio contours from center outward of 70, 60, 50, 40, 30, 20 and 10, while FIG. 10b illustrates the color shift conoscopic diagram showing the iso-color shift contours from center outward of 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14 and 16.

FIG. 11 illustrates the principle of a 2π BTN display according to the state of the art.

FIG. 12 illustrates the example of an optical stack for a single-polarizer reflective 2π BTN display according to the state of the art.

FIG. 13 illustrates optical performance of a single-polarizer reflective 2π BTN transmissive configuration according to the state of the art, illustrated in FIG. 12. More precisely, FIG. 13a illustrates an iso-contrast figure with iso-contrast ratio contours from center outward of 30, 20 and 10, while FIG. 13b illustrates color shift conoscopic diagram showing the iso-color shift contours from center outward of 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14 and 16.

FIG. 14 illustrates the example of an optical stack for a single-polarizer reflective 2π BTN display according to the state of the art, taking into account the TAC substrate of commercially available polarizers.

FIG. 15 illustrates optical performance of a single-polarizer reflective 2π BTN configuration according to the state of the art, including the TAC polarizer substrate illustrated in FIG. 14. More precisely, FIG. 15a illustrates an iso-contrast figure with iso-contrast ratio contours from center outward of 30, 20 and 10, while FIG. 15b illustrates color shift cono-

scopic diagram showing the iso-color shift contours from center outward of 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14 and 16.

FIG. 16 illustrates a variant of the first embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 17 illustrates another variant of the first embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 18 illustrates another variant of the first embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 19 illustrates an example of a second embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 20 illustrates optical performance of compensated single-polarizer π BTN reflective configuration of the invention using a 65 nm C+ retarder and a polarizer without a TAC substrate as described in table 7. More precisely, FIG. 20a illustrates a conoscopic figure with iso-contrast ratio contours from center outward of 70, 60, 50, 40, 30, 20 and 10, while FIG. 20b illustrates color shift conoscopic figure having a maximum value ΔC_{max} of 0.6.

FIG. 21 illustrates optical performance of compensated single-polarizer π BTN reflective configuration of the invention using a 120 nm C+ retarder and including a TAC polarizer substrate as described in table 9. More precisely, FIG. 21a illustrates a conoscopic figure with iso-contrast ratio contours from center outward of 70, 60, 50, 40, 30, 20 and 10, while FIG. 21b illustrates color shift conoscopic figure having a maximum value ΔC_{max} of 0.4.

FIG. 22 illustrates optical performance of compensated single-polarizer π BTN reflective configuration of the invention using a 75 nm C+ retarder on top of the reflector and including a TAC polarizer substrate as described in table 11. More precisely, FIG. 22a illustrates an iso-contrast figure with iso-contrast ratio contours from center outward of 70, 60, 50, 40, 30, 20 and 10, while FIG. 22b illustrates color shift conoscopic diagram showing the iso-color shift contour of 2.

FIG. 23 illustrates optical performance of compensated single-polarizer π BTN reflective configuration of the invention using a biaxial retarder with $N_z = -5$ and including a TAC polarizer substrate as described in table 13. More precisely, FIG. 23a illustrates a conoscopic figure with iso-contrast ratio contours from center outward of 70, 60, 50, 40, 30, 20 and 10, while FIG. 23b illustrates color shift conoscopic figure having a maximum value ΔC_{max} of 0.6.

FIG. 24 illustrates optical performance of compensated single-polarizer π BTN reflective configuration of the invention using a biaxial retarder with $N_z = 0$ and including a TAC polarizer substrate as described in table 15. More precisely, FIG. 24a illustrates a conoscopic figure with iso-contrast ratio contours from center outward of 70, 60, 50, 40, 30, 20 and 10, while FIG. 24b illustrates color shift conoscopic figure having a maximum value ΔC_{max} of 0.6.

FIG. 25 illustrates optical performance of compensated single-polarizer π BTN reflective configuration of the invention using a biaxial retarder with $N_z = 1/2$ and including a TAC polarizer substrate as described in table 17. More precisely, FIG. 25a illustrates a conoscopic figure with iso-contrast ratio contours from center outward of 70, 60, 50, 40, 30, 20 and 10, while FIG. 25b illustrates color shift conoscopic diagram showing the iso-color shift contours from center outward of 2 and 4.

FIG. 26 illustrates optical performance of compensated single-polarizer π BTN reflective configuration of the invention using a biaxial retarder with $N_z = 1$ and including a TAC polarizer substrate as described in table 19. More precisely, FIG. 26a illustrates a conoscopic figure with iso-contrast ratio contours from center outward of 70, 60, 50, 40, 30, 20 and 10,

while FIG. 26b illustrates color shift conoscopic diagram showing the iso-color shift contours from center outward of 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14 and 16.

FIG. 27 illustrates optical performance of compensated single-polarizer π BTN reflective configuration of the invention using compensator consisting of positive A plate on top of a positive C plate that is located between the polarizer and the π BTN layer as described in table 21. More precisely, FIG. 27a illustrates a conoscopic figure with iso-contrast ratio contours from center outward of 70, 60, 50, 40, 30, 20 and 10, while FIG. 27b illustrates color shift conoscopic figure having a maximum value $\Delta C_{\max}$ of 1.3.

FIG. 28 illustrates optical performance of compensated single-polarizer π BTN reflective configuration of the invention using compensator consisting of positive C plate on top of a positive A plate that is located between the polarizer and the π BTN layer as described in table 23. More precisely, FIG. 28a illustrates a conoscopic figure with iso-contrast ratio contours from center outward of 70, 60, 50, 40, 30, 20 and 10, while FIG. 28b illustrates color shift conoscopic figure having a maximum value $\Delta C_{\max}$ of 1.0.

DESCRIPTION OF THE EMBODIMENTS

In a preferred embodiment of the present invention, the compensation layer 110 is situated on the viewer side of the display with respect to the liquid crystal layer 150. An example of a first variant of this first embodiment illustrated in FIG. 16, comprises a stack including a polarizer 100, a compensation layer 110, a transparent front substrate 120 (e.g. glass or plastic), a π BTN liquid crystal layer 150, a reflector 200 and a rear substrate 180. It is preferred that the reflector 200 be inside the cell, as shown, in order to avoid double imaging caused by parallax effects when the display is viewed obliquely. The reflector 200 could also be positioned external to the rear substrate 180 if the rear substrate 180 is transparent. For the purpose of clarity other cell components such as the orientation layers, transparent electrodes, color filters, spacers and sealants have been left out of the drawing.

In another variant of this first embodiment the compensation layer 110 and the polarizer 100 can be situated inside the cell (variant illustrated on FIG. 17) or the compensation layer 110 can be situated inside the cell and the polarizer 100 outside the cell (variant illustrated on FIG. 18).

In yet another embodiment the compensation layer 110 can also be positioned on the reflector side of the π BTN liquid crystal layer. FIG. 19 shows an example of this embodiment where the compensation layer 110 is inside the cell on top of the reflector 200 which is also inside the cell. Of course both the compensator 110 and reflector 200 can be external to the rear substrate 180 or the compensator 110 can be inside the cell on top of the rear substrate 180 and the reflector 200 could be external to the rear substrate 180.

Of course other combinations of the variant embodiments described in connection with FIGS. 16, 17, 18 and 19 are also possible. In particular, in another embodiment, the device may comprise a compensation layer on each side of the π BTN layer.

The optical stack illustrated on FIG. 17 comprises a transparent substrate 120, a polarizer 100, a compensation layer 110, a π BTN liquid crystal layer 150, a reflector 200 and a rear substrate 180.

The optical stack illustrated on FIG. 18 comprises a polarizer 100, a transparent substrate 120, a compensation layer 110, a π BTN liquid crystal layer 150, a reflector 200 and a rear substrate 180.

The optical stack illustrated on FIG. 19 comprises a polarizer 100, a transparent substrate 120, a π BTN liquid crystal layer 150, a compensation layer 110, reflector 200 and a rear substrate 180.

The compensator layer 110, provided according to the present invention, being composed of at least one retarder characterized by $-\infty \leq N_z \leq 1/2$, greatly improves the contrast ratio of the display when viewed at oblique angles and decreases the observed color shift with viewing angle for both intrinsic π BTN displays and π BTN displays that have TAC layers on the polarizer substrate.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF EXAMPLES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE INVENTION

Example 1

C+ Compensation Layer Between Polarizer with No TAC Substrate and π BTN Liquid Crystal Layer

For this example the polarizer has no birefringent TAC substrate. This example could correspond to any of the embodiments described in connection with FIGS. 16-18 but is particularly suited for the embodiment of FIG. 17 where the polarizer and compensation layer are located between the transparent substrate 120 and the π BTN liquid crystal layer 150. If the polarizer were deposited by a coating process, for example, a TAC substrate layer would not be present. For this configuration, the polarizer can be oriented either at -41.3° or at 48.7° . The optical performance of these two configurations are simulated, finding an optimum value for the C+ compensator, and the results are given in the table 6 where it is seen that configuration #1 gives a slightly wider viewing cone and slightly less color shift. The stack corresponding to configuration #1 is explicitly presented in table 7.

TABLE 6

the oblique optical performance of mode 1-1 of the single-polarizer reflective π BTN display with an optimized C+ retarder between a polarizer without a TAC substrate and the π BTN liquid crystal layer.				
Config. No.	P angle	Positive C (nz - no) · d	$\Delta C_{\max}$	$\theta_{\max}$
#1	-41.3°	65 nm	0.6	61°
#2	48.7°	60 nm	1.0	59°

TABLE 7

configuration #1 of π BTN optical compensation with an optimized C+ uniaxial retarder between the polarizer without a TAC substrate and the π BTN liquid crystal layer.	
Observer	
Polarizer P = -41.3°	
+65 nm C+ retarder	
π BTN LC layer with $\Delta n_d = 137.8$ nm	
U twist = -5.7° , T twist = 174.3°	
Reflector	

The compensation layer of this embodiment is composed of a positive uniaxial retarder with optic axis perpendicular to the substrates (C+), having a retardation (nz-no)·d 65 nm.

The contrast and color shift conoscopic figures are given in FIG. 20. The addition of an optimized C+ retarder to the intrinsic π BTN layer brings about a dramatic improvement in the contrast ratio and color shift. Comparing table 6 with table 2 for the intrinsic π BTN layer, it is noted that adding an

optimized 65 nm C+ retarder to the intrinsic π BTN layer increases $\theta_{\max}$ from 49° to 61° and decreases $\Delta C_{\max}$ from noticeable 6.7 to an imperceptible 0.6.

Example 2

C+ Compensation Layer Between Polarizer with TAC Substrate and π BTN Liquid Crystal Layer

For this configuration a birefringent TAC layer has been added to the polarizer. As before, the polarizer can be oriented either at -41.3° or at 48.7° . The optical performance of these two configurations are simulated, finding an optimum value for the C+ compensator, and the results are given in the table 8 where it is seen that configuration #1 gives a slightly wider viewing cone and slightly less color shift. The stack corresponding to configuration #1 is explicitly presented in table 9.

TABLE 8

the oblique optical performance of mode 1-1 of the single-polarizer reflective π BTN display with one TAC layer and an optimized positive C retarder between the TAC layer and the π BTN LC layer.					
Config. No.	P angle	TAC (nz - no) · d	Positive C (nz - no) · d	$\Delta C_{\max}$	$\theta_{\max}$
#1	-41.3°	-55 nm	120 nm	0.4	61°
#2	48.7°	-55 nm	115 nm	0.6	59°

TABLE 9

configuration #1 of π BTN optical compensation with one TAC substrate and an optimized positive uniaxial retarder between the polarizer and the π BTN LC layer.					
Observer Polarizer P = -41.3° -55 nm TAC +120 nm C+ retarder π BTN LC layer with $\Delta n d = 137.8$ nm U twist = -5.7° , T twist = 174.3° Reflector					

This example could take the form of any of the embodiments described in connection with FIGS. 16-18. The compensation layer of this embodiment is composed of a positive uniaxial retarder with optical axis perpendicular to the substrates (C+), having a retardation (nz-no)·d=120 nm. The -55 nm retardation of the TAC film on the inner side of the polarizer is taken into account.

The corresponding contrast and color shift conoscopic diagrams are given in FIG. 21. These conoscopic figures should be compared with the nearly conoscopic figures of FIG. 20 for the case where the 65 nm C+ layer is optimized with no TAC layer present. The $\Delta C_{\max}$ and $\theta_{\max}$ data of tables 6 and 8 are also nearly the same. This comparison demonstrates that although the TAC substrate significantly degrades viewing angle performance when no compensator layer is present, the addition of an optimized compensator layer can completely correct for the TAC substrate, ending up with same performance as if the TAC substrate were not present.

Example 3

Polarizer with TAC Substrate and C+ Compensation Layer Between π BTN Liquid Crystal Layer and the Reflector

In this example the compensator is located on the other side of the π BTN layer between the π BTN layer and the reflector.

This example could take the form of the embodiments described in connection with FIG. 19. For this configuration, the polarizer can be oriented either at -41.3° or at 48.7° . The optical performance of these two configurations are simulated, finding an optimum value for the C+ compensator, and the results are given in the table 10 where it is seen that configuration #2 gives slightly larger $\theta_{\max}$ and slightly less maximum color shift $\Delta C_{\max}$. The stack corresponding to configuration #2 is explicitly presented in table 11.

TABLE 10

the oblique optical performance of mode 1-1 of the single-polarizer reflective π BTN display with one TAC layer and an optimized C+ retarder between the π BTN liquid crystal layer and the reflector.					
Config. No.	P angle	TAC (nz - no) · d	Positive C (nz - no) · d	$\Delta C_{\max}$	$\theta_{\max}$
#1	-41.3°	-55 nm	70 nm	4.7	55°
#2	48.7°	-55 nm	75 nm	3.9	56°

TABLE 11

example of π BTN optical compensation using a C+ uniaxial retarder between the π BTN LC layer and the reflector.					
Observer Polarizer P = $+48.7^\circ$ -55 nm TAC π BTNLc layer with $\Delta n d = 137.8$ nm U twist = -5.7° , T twist = 174.3° +75 nm positive C plate Reflector					

The compensation layer for this example is composed of a positive uniaxial retarder with optical axis perpendicular to the substrates (C+), having an optimized retardation (nz-no)·d=75 nm. The -55 nm retardation of the TAC film on the inner side of the polarizer is taken into account.

The corresponding contrast and color shift conoscopic diagrams are given in FIG. 22. Comparing FIG. 22 with FIG. 21 and table 10 with table 8 shows that $\theta_{\max}$ is wider (61° vs. 56°) and the color shift $\Delta C_{\max}$ is significantly less (0.4 vs. 3.9) if the optimized C+ compensator is located between the polarizer and the π BTN LC layer rather than between the π BTN LC layer and the reflector.

Example 4

Biaxial Compensation Layer with Nz=-5 Between Polarizer with TAC Substrate and π BTN Liquid Crystal Layer

There are four possibilities for this configuration, the polarizer can be in either of its two orientations and the x-axis (slow axis) of the biaxial retarder can either be parallel or perpendicular to the polarizer absorption axis. Exact positioning, parallel or perpendicular, gives best results, but some tolerance of $\pm 5^\circ$ is acceptable.

Each of these four configurations are simulated and the results are presented in table 12. This example could take the form of any of the embodiments described in connection with FIGS. 16-19.

TABLE 12

the four possible configurations for π BTN optical compensation using a biaxial compensation layer with $N_z = -5$ between the polarizer and the LC layer.						
Config. No.	P angle	nx angle	Optimum $(nx - ny) \cdot d$	Optimum $(nx - nz) \cdot d$	ΔC_{max}	θ_{max}
#1	48.7°	48.7°	22 nm	-110 nm	1.1	60°
#2	48.7°	-41.3°	22 nm	-110 nm	0.5	59°
#3	-41.3°	48.7°	22 nm	-110 nm	0.6	62°
#4	-41.3°	-41.3°	22 nm	-110 nm	0.9	63°

Configuration #3 gives the best overall compromise between color shift and contrast ratio. This configuration is explicitly diagrammed in table 13.

TABLE 13

Configuration #3 example of π BTN optical compensation using a biaxial compensation layer with $N_z = -5$ between the polarizer and the LC layer.						
Observer						
Polarizer P = -41.3°						
-55 nm TAC						
Biaxial compensator with $N_z = -5$ with $(nx - ny) \cdot d = 22$ nm and $(nx - nz) \cdot d = -110$ nm with nx angle 48.7°						
π BTN LC layer with $\Delta n d = 137.8$ nm						
U twist = -5.7°, T twist = 174.3°						
Reflector						

The corresponding contrast and color shift conoscopic diagrams of configuration #3 are presented in FIG. 23. Comparing FIG. 23b with FIG. 21b it can be seen that the maximum color shift of 0.6 with the biaxial $N_z = -5$ compensator is equally as small as the color shift with the C+ plate. Comparison of FIG. 23a with 21a shows that the biaxial $N_z = -5$ compensator gives a slightly wider contrast viewing cone than the C+ plate. The polar angle θ_{max} is 62° for the $N_z = -5$ biaxial compensator, whereas it is a slightly narrower 60° for the C+ compensator.

Example 5

Biaxial Compensation Layer with $N_z = 0$ Between Polarizer with TAC Substrate and π BTN Liquid Crystal Layer

There are four possibilities for this configuration, the polarizer can be either of its two orientations and the x-axis (slow axis) of the biaxial retarder can either be parallel or perpendicular to the polarizer absorption axis. Exact positioning, parallel or perpendicular, gives best results, but some tolerance of $\pm 5^\circ$ is acceptable.

Each of these four configurations are simulated and optimized and the results are given in table 14. This example could take the form of any of the embodiments described in connection with FIGS. 16-18.

TABLE 14

the four possible configurations for π BTN optical compensation using a biaxial compensation layer with $N_z = 0$ between the polarizer and the LC layer.						
Config. No.	P angle	nx angle	Optimum $(nx - ny) \cdot d$	Optimum $(nx - nz) \cdot d$	ΔC_{max}	θ_{max}
#1	48.7°	48.7°	160 nm	0 nm	0.6	70°
#2	48.7°	-41.3°	175 nm	0 nm	4.0	65°

TABLE 14-continued

the four possible configurations for π BTN optical compensation using a biaxial compensation layer with $N_z = 0$ between the polarizer and the LC layer.						
Config. No.	P angle	nx angle	Optimum $(nx - ny) \cdot d$	Optimum $(nx - nz) \cdot d$	ΔC_{max}	θ_{max}
#3	-41.3°	48.7°	175 nm	0 nm	4.0	65°
#4	-41.3°	-41.3°	155 nm	0 nm	2.8	68°

Configuration #1 gives the best performance for both color shift and contrast ratio. The configuration is explicitly diagrammed in table 15.

TABLE 15

Configuration #1 example of π BTN optical compensation using a biaxial compensation layer with $N_z = 0$ between the polarizer and the π BTN liquid crystal layer.						
Observer						
Polarizer P = 48.7°						
-55 nm TAC						
Biaxial compensator with $N_z = 0$ with $(nx - ny) \cdot d = 160$ nm and $(nx - nz) \cdot d = 0$ nm with nx angle 48.7°						
π BTN LC layer with $\Delta n d = 137.8$ nm						
U twist = -5.7°, T twist = 174.3°						
Reflector						

The corresponding contrast and color shift conoscopic diagrams of configuration #1 are given in FIG. 24. Comparing FIG. 24b with 23b and FIG. 21b it can be seen that the maximum color shift of 0.6 remains equally small for the $N_z = 0$, $N_z = -5$ and $N_z = -\infty$ retarders. But the $N_z = 0$ ($\theta_{max} = 70^\circ$) compensates somewhat better for contrast than the $N_z = -5$ compensator ($\theta_{max} = 62^\circ$) or $N_z = -\infty$ compensator ($\theta_{max} = 61^\circ$).

Example 6

Biaxial Compensation Layer with $N_z = \frac{1}{2}$ Between Polarizer with TAC Substrate and π BTN Liquid Crystal Layer

There are four possibilities for this configuration, the polarizer can be either of its two orientations and the x-axis (slow axis) of the biaxial retarder can either be parallel or perpendicular to the polarizer absorption axis. Exact positioning, parallel or perpendicular, gives best results, but some tolerance of $\pm 5^\circ$ is acceptable.

Each of these four configurations are simulated and optimized and the results are given in table 16. This example could take the form of any of the embodiments described in connection with FIGS. 16-18.

TABLE 16

the four possible configurations for π BTN optical compensation using a biaxial compensation layer with $N_z = \frac{1}{2}$ between the polarizer and the LC layer.						
Config. No.	P angle	nx angle	Optimum $(nx - ny) \cdot d$	Optimum $(nx - nz) \cdot d$	ΔC_{max}	θ_{max}
#1	48.7°	48.7°	150 nm	75 nm	5.3	75°
#2	48.7°	-41.3°	390 nm	195 nm	5.6	75°
#3	-41.3°	48.7°	400 nm	200 nm	7.0	76°
#4	-41.3°	-41.3°	140 nm	70 nm	4.2	77°

Configuration #4 gives the best performance for both color shift and contrast ratio. The configuration is explicitly diagrammed in table 17.

TABLE 17

Configuration #4 example of π BTN optical compensation using a biaxial compensation layer with $N_z = \frac{1}{2}$ between the polarizer and the π BTN liquid crystal layer.	
Observer	
Polarizer P = -41.3°	
~ 55 nm TAC	
Biaxial compensator with $N_z = \frac{1}{2}$ with $(n_x - n_y)d = 140$ nm and $(n_x - n_z)d = 70$ nm with n_x angle -41.3°	
π BTN LC layer with $\Delta n d = 137.8$ nm	
U twist = -5.7° , T twist = 174.3°	
Reflector	

The corresponding contrast and color shift conoscopic diagrams of configuration #1 are given in FIG. 25. Comparing with the results for the other compensation layers with $N_z = -\infty$, -5 and 0 it is seen from FIG. 25 and table 15 that an optimized biaxial retarder with $N_z = \frac{1}{2}$ gives the widest contrast cone but also significantly larger color shift.

We can see from all those examples that calculated optimum compensation films described by the invention has no relationship with uniaxial quarter waveplate (in plane (x,y) retardation equal $\lambda/4$, no out of plane (x,z) retardation), optimized for green light, dedicated to change linear polarization into circular one, and combining for this purpose $\lambda/4$ retardation with 45° between entrance polarizer and optical axis, like in the Ostermann prior art. This is because the films described in the invention are the result of a calculation made for improving global optical performance at oblique viewing, not at normal incidence.

Example 7 (This Example is Outside the Invention)

Biaxial Compensation Layer with $N_z=1$ Between Polarizer with TAC Substrate and π BTN Layer

There are four possibilities for this configuration, the polarizer can be either in the standard or alternate orientation and the x-axis (slow axis) of the biaxial retarder can either be parallel or perpendicular to the polarizer absorption axis. Each of these four configurations are simulated and the results are given in table 18. This example could take the form of any of the embodiments described in connection with FIGS. 16-18.

TABLE 18

the four possible configurations for π BTN optical compensation using a biaxial compensation layer with $N_z = 1$ between the polarizer and the LC layer.						
Config. No.	P angle	n_x angle	Optimum $(n_x - n_y) \cdot d$	Optimum $(n_x - n_z) \cdot d$	ΔC_{max}	θ_{max}
#1	48.7°	48.7°	320 nm	320 nm	22.2	55°
#2	48.7°	-41.3°	440 nm	440 nm	45.6	51°
#3	-41.3°	48.7°	450 nm	450 nm	47.5	51°
#4	-41.3°	-41.3°	315 nm	315 nm	19.3	57°

Configuration #4 gives the best performance for both color shift and contrast ratio. The configuration is explicitly diagrammed in table 19.

TABLE 19

Configuration #4 example of π BTN optical compensation using a biaxial compensation layer with $N_z = 1$ between the polarizer and the π BTN layer.	
Observer	
Polarizer P = -41.3°	
~ 55 nm TAC	
Biaxial compensator with $N_z = 1$ with $(n_x - n_y)d = 315$ nm and $(n_x - n_z)d = 315$ nm with n_x angle -41.3°	
π BTN layer with $\Delta n d = 137.8$ nm	
U twist = -5.7° , T twist = 174.3°	
Reflector	

The corresponding contrast and color shift conoscopic diagrams of configuration #4 are given in FIG. 26. Comparing with FIGS. 25, 24 and 23 for the $N_z = \frac{1}{2}$, $N_z = 0$ and $N_z = -5$ biaxial retarders it can be seen that the $N_z = 1$ compensator introduces massive color shifts and narrowing of the contrast ratio viewing cone.

Example 8

Compensation Layer Consisting of a 40 nm Positive a Plate on Top of a Positive C Plate Between the Polarizer with TAC Substrate and π BTN Layer

The compensation layer can also consist of a combination of more than one birefringent layer and the different layers need not be of the same type. In this example the compensation layer consists of a 40 nm positive A plate on top of a positive C plate and this sandwich is placed between the polarizer and the π BTN layer. There are four possibilities for this configurations the polarizer can be either of its two orientations and the x-axis (slow axis) of the A plate can either be parallel or perpendicular to the polarizer absorption axis. Each of these four configurations are simulated and the results are given in table 20. This example could take the form of any of the embodiments described in connection with FIGS. 16-18.

TABLE 20

the four possible configurations for π BTN optical compensation using consisting of a 40 nm positive A plate on top of a positive C plate between polarizer and LC cell					
Config. No.	P angle	n_x angle A plate	Optimum $(n_x - n_y) \cdot d$ C plate	ΔC_{max}	θ_{max}
#1	48.7°	48.7°	115 nm	0.9	51°
#2	48.7°	-41.3°	125 nm	1.1	68°
#3	-41.3°	48.7°	130 nm	1.3	74°
#4	-41.3°	-41.3°	120 nm	0.6	52°

Configuration #3 has an extremely wide viewing angle and the color shift is also quite small. The configuration is explicitly diagrammed in table 21.

TABLE 21

Configuration #3 example of π BTN optical compensation consisting of a 40 nm A plate on top of a C plate between polarizer and the LC cell	
Observer	
Polarizer P = -41.3°	
~ 55 nm TAC	
40 nm A plate with slow axis at 48.7°	

TABLE 21-continued

Configuration #3 example of π BTN optical compensation consisting of a 40 nm A plate on top of a C plate between polarizer and the LC cell
130 nm positive C plate π BTN layer with $\Delta n d = 137.8$ nm U twist = -5.7° , T twist = 174.3° Reflector

The corresponding contrast and color shift conoscopic diagrams of configuration #3 are given in FIG. 27.

Example 9

Compensation Layer Consisting of a C Plate on Top of a 40 nm Positive A Between Polarizer with TAC Substrate and π BTN Layer

This embodiment differs from the previous example 8 in that the positions of the positive C plate and the A plate have been interchanged. There also are four possibilities for this configuration, the polarizer can be either of its two orientations and the x-axis (slow axis) of the positive A plate can either be parallel or perpendicular to the polarizer absorption axis. Each of these four configurations are simulated and the results are given in table 22. This example could take the form of any of the embodiments described in connection with FIGS. 16-18.

TABLE 22

the four possible configurations for π BTN optical compensation using consisting of a positive C plate on top of a 40 nm A plate between polarizer and LC cell					
Config. No.	P angle	nx angle A plate	Optimum (nx - ny) · d C plate	ΔC_{max}	θ_{max}
#1	48.7°	48.7°	165 nm	1.0	70°
#2	48.7°	-41.3°	120 nm	4.5	48°
#3	-41.3°	48.7°	125 nm	3.9	49°
#4	-41.3°	-41.3°	175 nm	4.4	63°

Configuration #1 has the smallest color shift and the widest viewing angle for contrast. The configuration is explicitly diagrammed in table 23.

TABLE 23

Configuration #1 example of π BTN optical compensation consisting of a positive C plate on top of a 40 nm A plate between polarizer and LC cell.
Observer Polarizer P = 48.7° -55 nm TAC 165 nm positive C plate 40 nm A plate with slow axis at 48.7° π BTN layer with $\Delta n d = 137.8$ nm U twist = -5.7° , T twist = 174.3° Reflector

The corresponding contrast and color shift conoscopic diagrams of configuration #1 are given in FIG. 28. It is noted that θ_{max} of configuration #1 is slightly less than θ_{max} of configuration #3 of the previous example 8 where the A and C plates are interchanged with the A plate on top (70° vs. 74°). The maximum color shift ΔC_{max} is also smaller (1.0 vs. 1.3) but both these values are small enough to be nearly imper-

According to the invention two ranges for Nz and (nx-nz) · d are identified for the π BTN compensator, both of which improve the optical performance of π BTN displays for oblique viewing: a first range where Nz is between $-\infty$ and 0 and (nx-nz) · d is between -150 nm and 0 nm, and a second range where Nz is between 0 and $\frac{1}{2}$ and (nx-nz) · d is between 0 nm and 200 nm. By using biaxial retarders according to the invention in the first range, contrast ratio and color shift are both improved compared to π BTN both with TAC layers (FIG. 10) and without TAC layers (FIG. 8). Whereas by using biaxial retarders according to the invention in the second range, contrast ratio and color shift are improved compared to π BTN with TAC layers (FIG. 10), but only contrast is significantly improved compared to intrinsic π BTN without TAC layers (FIG. 8). Best compensation for contrast and color shift is obtained for retarders in the first range having $Nz \leq 0$ and the absolute value |(nx-nz) · d| smaller than or equal to the value of $\Delta n \cdot d$ of the LC layer (typically 90 to 175 nm), meaning smaller or equal to 175 nm. In both ranges (nx-nz) · d < 200 nm.

Best results of compensation for $Nz \neq -\infty$ (meaning that the birefringent film has a determined slow axis x corresponding to $n_x > n_y$) have been obtained when the slow axis of the film is parallel or perpendicular to the polarizer axis, with some $\pm 5^\circ$ of tolerance.

Of course the present invention is not limited to the above described examples but extend to any embodiments in accordance with the enclosed claims.

What is claimed is:

1. A bistable twisted nematic (π BTN) liquid crystal display device comprising a liquid crystal layer (150) of thickness d, birefringence Δn and retardation $\Delta n d$, said liquid crystal layer being situated between two substrates (120, 180) and between a polarizer (100) and a reflector (200), said liquid crystal layer (150) in the absence of an applied electric field having two stable uniformly twisted textures, the twists of the two stable textures differing by an angle being equal mainly to 180° , and at least one compensation layer (110) positioned between said polarizer (100) and said reflector (200), said compensation layer (110) being composed of at least one birefringent film, said birefringent film having an optical anisotropy defined by three characteristic refractive indices n_x , n_y , n_z , along three corresponding axis x, y, z of index ellipsoid, x and y being situated inside the substrates plane, n_x and n_y being called in plane indices, with $n_x \geq n_y$, x being called slow axis, and z being perpendicular to the substrates plane (120, 180) with biaxiality parameter Nz equal to $(n_x - n_z)/(n_x - n_y)$, characterized in that Nz lies between $-\infty$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ so that under oblique viewing the retardation of the stable texture corresponding to the dark state is compensated to improve the contrast ratio and simultaneously the retardation of the stable texture corresponding to the bright state is modified to decrease the color shift.

2. Device according to claim 1, characterized in that the birefringent film 110 is in the group comprising a uniaxial positive C plate ($n_z > n_x = n_y$ with $Nz = -\infty$), a uniaxial negative A plate ($n_x = n_z > n_y$ with $Nz = 0$) or a biaxial plate having either $Nz < 0$ or $0 < Nz < \frac{1}{2}$.

3. Device according to claim 1, characterized in that the compensation layer (110) consists of a single retarder of the desired retardation.

4. Device according to claim 1, characterized in that the compensation layer (110) consists of a plurality of retarders whose total retardation when added together equals the desired retardation.

5. Device according to claim 1, characterized in that it comprises a compensation layer (110) on each side of the liquid crystal layer (150).

6. Device according to claim 1, characterized in that switching between the two stable textures is obtained by applying an electric field having at least one component perpendicular to the plane of the liquid crystal cell substrates (120, 180).

7. Device according to claim 1, characterized in that the twists of the two stable textures differing by an angle equal mainly to π is between 150° and 180° .

8. Device according to claim 1, characterized in that it comprises a compensation layer (110) between the polarizer (100) and the liquid crystal layer (150).

9. Device according to claim 1, characterized in that it comprises a compensation layer (110) on the reflector side, with respect to the liquid crystal layer (150).

10. Device according to claim 1, characterized in that N_z is comprised between $-\infty$ and 0, corresponding to $n_z \geq n_x \geq n_y$.

11. Device according to claim 1, characterized in that N_z is equal to $-\infty$, corresponding to $n_z \geq n_x = n_y$.

12. Device according to claim 1, characterized in that N_z of the compensation layer (110) is between $-\infty$ and 0 and the retardation $(n_x - n_z)d$ is between -150 nm and 0 nm.

13. Device according to claim 1, characterized in that absolute retardation $|(n_x - n_z)d|$ is smaller or equal to the LC retardation $\Delta n d$.

14. Device according to claim 1, characterized in that N_z is comprised between 0 and 0.5 , corresponding to $n_x \geq n_z \geq n_y$.

15. Device according to claim 1, characterized in that N_z of the compensation layer (110) is between 0 and $1/2$ and the retardation $(n_x - n_z)d$ is between 0 nm and 200 nm.

16. Device according to claim 1, characterized in that absolute retardation $|(n_x - n_z)d|$ is smaller or equal to 200 nm.

17. Device according to claim 1, characterized in that the slow axis is parallel or perpendicular to the adjacent polarizer absorption axis with a tolerance of $\pm 5^\circ$.

18. Device according to claim 1, characterized in that the slow axis is parallel to the adjacent polarizer absorption axis with a tolerance of $\pm 5^\circ$.

19. Device according to claim 1, characterized in that the slow axis is perpendicular to the adjacent polarizer absorption axis with a tolerance of $\pm 5^\circ$.

20. Device according to claim 1, characterized in that the compensation layer (110) is composed of at least two birefringent films, one birefringent film having $N_z = 1$.

21. Device according to claim 1, characterized in that the compensation layer (110) comprises one birefringent film having $N_z = -\infty$.

22. Device according to claim 1, characterized in that the birefringent film (110) is part of the polarizer (100).

23. Device according to claim 1, characterized in that the d/p ratio is $d/p \approx 0.25 + \phi/2\pi$, ϕ being the twist of the lower twisted texture, d being the liquid crystal layer thickness or cell gap and p being the intrinsic pitch of the chiral nematic liquid crystal.

24. Device according to claim 1, characterized in that the liquid crystal layer (150) introduces a layer retardation between 90 nm and 175 nm.

25. Process of manufacturing a bistable twisted nematic (π BTN) liquid crystal display device, wherein said process comprising the steps of providing a liquid crystal layer (150) of thickness d , birefringence Δn and retardation $\Delta n d$, situated between two substrates and between a polarizer (100) and a reflector (200), said liquid crystal layer (150) in the absence of an applied electric field having two stable uniformly twisted textures, the twists of the two stable textures differing by an angle being equal mainly to 180° , and providing at least one compensation layer (110) positioned between said polarizer (100) and said reflector (200), said compensation layer (110) being composed of at least one birefringent film or retarder, said birefringent film having an optical anisotropy defined by three characteristic refractive indices n_x , n_y , n_z , along three corresponding axis x , y , z of index ellipsoid, x and y being situated inside the substrates plane, n_x and n_y being called in plane indices, with $n_x \geq n_y$, x being called slow axis, and z being perpendicular to the substrates plane with biaxiality parameter N_z equal to $(n_x - n_z)/(n_x - n_y)$, said process being characterized in that N_z lies between $-\infty$ and $1/2$ so that under oblique viewing the retardation of the stable texture corresponding to the dark state is compensated to improve the contrast ratio and simultaneously the retardation of the stable texture corresponding to the bright state is modified to decrease the color shift.

* * * * *

专利名称(译)	单偏振器反射双稳态扭曲向列 (BTN) 液晶显示器件		
公开(公告)号	US8085370	公开(公告)日	2011-12-27
申请号	US11/920933	申请日	2006-05-10
[标]申请(专利权)人(译)	JOUBERT CECILE SCHEFFER TERRY		
申请(专利权)人(译)	JOUBERT CECILE SCHEFFER TERRY		
当前申请(专利权)人(译)	法国BREVETS		
[标]发明人	JOUBERT CECILE SCHEFFER TERRY		
发明人	JOUBERT, CECILE SCHEFFER, TERRY		
IPC分类号	G02F1/1335		
CPC分类号	G02F1/133634 G02F1/1391 G02F2001/133531 G02F2001/133567		
优先权	2005291087 2005-05-20 EP		
其他公开文献	US20090213312A1		
外部链接	Espacenet USPTO		

摘要(译)

本申请涉及一种单偏振器反射双稳态扭曲向列 (BTN) 液晶显示装置，包括厚度为 d ，双折射 Δn 和延迟 Δnd 的液晶层，所述液晶层位于两个基板之间以及偏振器和反射器之间。所述液晶层在没有施加电场的情况下具有两个稳定均匀扭曲的纹理，两个稳定纹理的扭曲相差一个角度主要等于 180° ，并且至少一个补偿层位于所述偏振器和所述偏振器之间反射器，所述补偿层由至少一个双折射薄膜，所述双折射薄膜组成具有由三个特征折射率 n_x ， n_y ， n_z 定义的光学各向异性，沿着索引椭球的三个对应轴 x ， y ， z ， x 和 y 位于衬底平面内， n_x 和 n_y 在平面索引中被称为 n_x 和 n_y ，具有 $n_x \geq n_y$ ， x 被称为慢轴， z 垂直于衬底平面，双轴性参数 N_z 等于 $(n_x - n_z) / (n_x - n_y)$ ，其特征 N_z 位于 $-\infty$ 和 $1/2$ 之间，因此在倾斜观察下补偿对应于暗状态的稳定纹理的延迟以改善对比度，同时修改对应于亮状态的稳定纹理的延迟以减少颜色转移。

